

LOCAL EXISTENCE AND GEVREY REGULARITY OF 3-D PERIODIC NSE WITH ℓ_p INITIAL DATA.

ANIMIKH BISWAS

We obtain local existence and Gevrey regularity of 3-D periodic Navier-Stokes equations with ℓ_p ($p < 3/2$) initial data.

1. INTRODUCTION

C. Foias and R. Temam introduced in [FT], a method for estimating the space-analyticity radius of solutions of Navier-Stokes equations (henceforth abbreviated as NSE) with space-periodic boundary condition. The basic idea of interpolating between a suitably defined analyticity (Gevrey) norm and a Sobolev norm leads to a very simple energy method which eliminates the need of traditional estimates on higher order derivatives (for example, as in [M]). This also provides an explicit estimate of the radius of analyticity in terms of the size of the initial data and the forcing term. Subsequently, in [GK], estimate of the analyticity radius was obtained for L^p initial data. Unlike in [FT], instead of estimating the Gevrey norm directly, in [GK] the authors achieve the relevant estimates on the analyticity radius by interpolating between the L^p norm of the initial data and the L^p norm of the complexified solution.

Here, we consider the 3-D Navier-Stokes equations with space periodic boundary condition. In this set-up, the NSE can be reformulated in terms of its Fourier coefficients. The resulting system can be regarded as a nonlinear evolution equation in appropriate sequence space. This is the so-called wave-vectors formulation of NSE (see [F] for a detailed exposition). In [F], by employing only elementary functional analytic techniques, which completely bypasses Sobolev inequalities, it is proven that if the initial data has finite ℓ_1 norm, then there exists a local in time solution of the 3D-NSE with bounded Gevrey norm. A treatment of the 2-D case, in wave-vectors formulation and involving the ℓ_p norms, can be found in [MS]. However, their assumption on the initial data is much more restrictive.

Motivated by the approach in [FK] and [GM], in Section 2, we first obtain a local in time solution which is bounded in ℓ_p ($p < 3/2$) norm on the Fourier coefficients, in case the initial data also belongs to ℓ_p ($p < 3/2$). We also show that this solution is regular. Subsequently, in Section 3, we obtain local in time solution, the Gevrey norm of which is bounded. This provides an alternative approach to [GK] and is more in the spirit of the results in [FT] and [F]. The treatment here is essentially self-contained and elementary, completely avoiding the use of Sobolev inequalities.

2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

We consider the Navier-Stokes equations of viscous incompressible fluids in $\Omega = [0, L]^3$ with space periodic boundary condition:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} - \nu \Delta \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} + \nabla p = \mathbf{f} \quad (2.1)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \quad (2.2)$$

The unknown (real-valued) functions are the vector-valued velocity function $\mathbf{u}(x, t) = (u_1(x, t), u_2(x, t), u_3(x, t))$ and the scalar-valued pressure $p = p(x, t)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^3, t \geq 0$. The volume force $\mathbf{f}(x, t) = (f_1(x, t), f_2(x, t), f_3(x, t))$ is given and $\nu > 0$ is the kinematic viscosity. For notational simplicity, henceforth, we will set $\nu \equiv 1$. We assume that $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{u}, p$ are periodic in space variables with period L . For a L -periodic complex-valued scalar or vector function ϕ which is integrable over Ω , we define its Fourier coefficients by

$$\phi(k) = \frac{1}{L^3} \int_{\Omega} e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{L} k \cdot x} \phi(x) dx, \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}^3).$$

and its corresponding Fourier series is defined by

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \phi(k) e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{L} k \cdot x}.$$

If ϕ, ψ are two complex vector functions, square-integrable on Ω , Parseval's identity says that

$$\frac{1}{L^3} \int_{\Omega} \phi(x) \cdot \psi(x)^* dx = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \phi(k) \cdot \psi(k)^*,$$

where for $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{C}^3$

$$\mathbf{b}^* = (\bar{b}_1, \bar{b}_2, \bar{b}_3), \quad \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} = a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_2 + a_3 b_3.$$

For the remainder of the paper, for notational simplicity, we set the kinematic viscosity $\nu \equiv 1$. Also, for scalar or vector valued function $\phi = \phi(x, t) : \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$, $T \leq \infty, n \in \mathbb{N}$, L -periodic in the space variable, we denote by $(\phi(k, t))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3}$ the sequence of Fourier coefficients of the function $\phi(\cdot, t)$.

Rewriting (2.1) and (2.2) in terms of its Fourier coefficient as is done in [F], one obtains the so-called wave-vectors formulation of Navier-Stokes equation as follows:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{u}(k, t) = \mathbf{f}(k, t) - \frac{2\pi i}{L} k p(k, t) - \left(\frac{2\pi}{L} \right)^2 |k|^2 \vec{u}(k, t) - Q[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}](k, t) \quad (2.3)$$

$$k \cdot \mathbf{u}(k, t) = 0 \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}^3), \quad (2.4)$$

where, for two \mathbb{C}^3 or \mathbb{R}^3 -valued sequences $(\mathbf{u}(k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3}, (\mathbf{v}(k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3}$,

$$Q[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}](k) = \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^3} (k \cdot \mathbf{u}(k)) \mathbf{v}(k - h).$$

Note that if the sequences $(\mathbf{u}(k))_k \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ and $(\mathbf{v}(k))_k \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ are square-summable, $Q[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}](k)$ is well defined for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}^3$. Since the functions $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{f}, p$ are all real, we also have

$$\mathbf{u}(-k, t) = \mathbf{u}(k, t)^*, \quad p(-k, t) = \bar{p}(k, t), \quad \mathbf{f}(-k, t) = \mathbf{f}(k, t)^*, \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}^3, t \geq 0).$$

Moreover, without loss of generality (see [T]), we may also assume

$$\mathbf{u}(0, t) = \mathbf{f}(0, t) = \mathbf{0}, \quad p(0, t) = 0, \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}^3).$$

Using (2.4) and taking dot-product with k on both sides of (2.3), one readily obtains

$$\frac{2\pi\iota}{L} p(k, t) = [k \cdot \mathbf{f}(k, t) - k \cdot Q[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}](k, t)] / |k|^2.$$

Reintroducing this in (2.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{u}(k, t) &= \mathbf{g}(k, t) - \left(\frac{2\pi}{L} \right)^2 |k|^2 \mathbf{u}(k, t) - B[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}](k, t) \\ k \cdot \mathbf{u}(k, t) &= 0 \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}^3) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$B[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}](k, t) = Q[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}](k, t) - \frac{k(k \cdot Q[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}](k, t))}{|k|^2}, \quad \mathbf{g}(k, t) = \mathbf{f}(k, t) - \frac{k(k \cdot \mathbf{f}(k, t))}{|k|^2}.$$

In view of the above discussion, following the treatment in [F], one may thus obtain infinite dimensional ODE formulation of Navier-Stokes equations in sequence space. We describe the set-up below in detail.

Let

$$\mathcal{K} = \{ \vec{v} = \{(\vec{v}(k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3} : \vec{v}(k) \in \mathbb{C}^3, \vec{v}(0) = 0, \vec{v}(-k) = \vec{v}(k)^*, k \cdot \vec{v}(k) = 0 \}.$$

The vector space space K , when endowed with the distance

$$d(\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \frac{|\vec{v}_1(k) - \vec{v}_2(k)|}{1 + |\vec{v}_1(k) - \vec{v}_2(k)|} 2^{-|k|^2}$$

is a Frechet space. For $\alpha \geq 0$ and $p \geq 1$ define

$$V_{\alpha,p} = \{\vec{u} \in K : \|\vec{u}\|_{\alpha,p} := \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3} [|k|^\alpha |u(k)|]^p \right)^{1/p} < \infty\}.$$

Clearly, $V_{\alpha_1,p} \subset V_{\alpha_2,p}$ if $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2$ and in this case,

$$\|\vec{u}\|_{\alpha_2,p} \leq \|\vec{u}\|_{\alpha_1,p} \quad (\vec{u} \in V_{\alpha_1,p}). \quad (2.5)$$

In case $\alpha = 0$, for notational simplicity, we will refer to $V_{0,p}$ as V_p and the corresponding norm $\|\cdot\|_{0,p}$ is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_p$. For $\vec{u}, \vec{v} \in V_p$ define $Q[\vec{u}, \vec{v}]$ and $B[\vec{u}, \vec{v}]$ by

$$Q[\vec{u}, \vec{v}](k) = \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^3} (k \cdot u(k))v(k-h), \quad B[\vec{u}, \vec{v}](k) = Q[\vec{u}, \vec{v}](k) - \frac{k \cdot Q[\vec{u}, \vec{v}](k)}{|k|^2}k. \quad (2.6)$$

We will first state here Young's inequality for convolution. For $\vec{u}, \vec{v} \in \ell_p(\mathbb{Z}^3)$ and $p \leq 2$, Young's inequality implies that the convolution $\vec{w} = \vec{u} * \vec{v}$

$$w(k) = \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^3} u(h)v(k-h), \quad \vec{w} \in \ell_r(\mathbb{Z}^3), r = \frac{p}{2-p}, \quad \|\vec{w}\|_r \leq \|\vec{u}\|_p \|\vec{v}\|_p. \quad (2.7)$$

Note that by Young's inequality, for all $\vec{u}, \vec{v} \in V_p$, $Q[\vec{u}, \vec{v}](k)$ is well-defined for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}^3$. Moreover, it can be easily checked that

$$|B[\vec{u}, \vec{v}](k)| \leq |Q[\vec{u}, \vec{v}](k)| \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}^3) \quad (2.8)$$

and $B[\vec{u}, \vec{v}]$ is in K .

Let A be the positive, unbounded, densely defined operator on V_p given by

$$A\vec{u} = \left(\left(\frac{2\pi}{L} \right)^2 |k|^2 u(k) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3}, \quad (\vec{u} \in V_p).$$

We note here that for any $\vec{v} \in V_{\alpha+2\delta,p}$ for some $\alpha \geq 0$ and $\delta \geq 0$

$$\|A^\delta \vec{v}\|_{\alpha,p} = \left(\frac{2\pi}{L} \right)^{2\delta} \|\vec{v}\|_{\alpha+2\delta,p}. \quad (2.9)$$

For $T \leq \infty$, denote

$$L^q([0, T]; V_{\alpha,p}) = \{\vec{v} : [0, T] \rightarrow V_{\alpha,p} : \int_0^T \|\vec{v}(s)\|_{\alpha,p} ds < \infty\}$$

and

$$L^\infty([0, T]; V_{\alpha,p}) = \{\vec{v} : [0, T] \rightarrow V_{\alpha,p} : \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} \|\vec{v}(s)\|_{\alpha,p} < \infty.\}$$

We will denote the k -th “co-ordinate” of $\vec{v} \in L^q([0, T]; V_{\alpha, p})$ by $\vec{v}(k, \cdot)$. Moreover, $C([0, T]; X)$, $X = V_{\alpha, p}$ or K denotes the set of all X -valued continuous function on $[0, T]$ where the continuity is with respect to the norm topology if $X = V_{\alpha, p}$ and with respect to the metric defined before if $X = K$.

Definition Given $\vec{u}_0 \in V_p, p \leq 2$ and $\vec{g}(\cdot) \in L^1([0, \infty); V_p)$, a function $\vec{u}(\cdot)$ is said to be a weak solution of the Navier-Stokes initial value problem if it is in $C([0, T], K) \cap L^\infty([0, T]; V_p)$ and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \vec{u}(k, t) &= \vec{g}(k, t) - \left(\frac{2\pi}{L} \right)^2 |k|^2 \vec{u}(k, t) - B[\vec{u}, \vec{u}](k, t), \\ \vec{u}(k, 0) &= \vec{u}_0(k), \quad (t > 0, k \in \mathbb{Z}^3). \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Definition We say that \vec{u} is a Leray-strong solution of the Navier-Stokes initial value problem if it is a weak solution which moreover satisfies

$$\vec{u}(\cdot) \in L^\infty([0, T]; V_{1, p}). \quad (2.11)$$

3. LOCAL EXISTENCE AND REGULARITY

Let $\vec{g} \in L^1([0, \infty); V_p)$. For $\vec{u}_0 \in V_p$ define

$$\vec{G}(t) = e^{-tA} \vec{u}_0 + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A} \vec{g}(s) ds. \quad (3.1)$$

Remark 1 Since e^{-tA} is a contraction semigroup on V_p we have

$$\|\vec{G}(t)\| \leq \|\vec{u}_0\|_p + \int_0^\infty \|\vec{g}(s)\|_p := M \quad (t \geq 0). \quad (3.2)$$

We denote by $C([0, T]; V_p)$ the set of all V_p -valued continuous function on $[0, T]$. It is a Banach space when equipped with the sup norm. In other words, for $\vec{u} \in C([0, T]; V_p)$, we denote

$$\|\vec{u}\| = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\vec{u}(t)\|_p.$$

The assumption $\vec{g} \in L^1([0, \infty); V_p)$ and the fact that e^{-tA} is a contractive semigroup on V_p implies that G belongs to $C([0, T]; V_p)$. Define

$$E = \{\vec{v} \in C([0, T]; V_p) : \sup_{[0, T]} \|v(t) - \vec{G}(t)\|_p \leq M\}. \quad (3.3)$$

Clearly,

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|v(t)\| \leq 2M \text{ for all } \vec{v} \in E. \quad (3.4)$$

Let $S : E \rightarrow C([0, T]; V_p)$ be the map defined by the formula

$$(S\vec{v})(t) = \vec{G}(t) - \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A} B[\vec{v}(s), \vec{v}(s)] ds, \quad (\vec{v} \in E). \quad (3.5)$$

We will show below that S maps E to E . We first state an elementary inequality which would be used repeatedly. For $a > 0, b > 0$, we have

$$f(\lambda) = \lambda^a e^{-b\lambda} \leq \left(\frac{a}{e}\right)^a \frac{1}{b^a} \text{ for all } \lambda > 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Lemma 3.1. *Assume that $\vec{u}, \vec{v} \in V_{\alpha, p}$ for some $\alpha \geq 0$ and let $\eta > 0$. Then, for $p \leq 2$ and $\beta > 0$ satisfying $\beta p > 3(p-1)$, there exists a constant $C = C(p, \alpha, \beta)$ such that*

$$\|e^{-\eta A} B[\vec{u}, \vec{v}]\|_{\alpha, p} \leq C \frac{1}{\eta^{\frac{\beta+1}{2}}} \|\vec{u}\|_{\alpha, p} \|\vec{v}\|_{\alpha, p} \quad (3.7)$$

Proof. Recalling (2.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-\eta A} B[\vec{u}, \vec{v}]\|_{\alpha, p}^p &= \\ & \sum_k |k|^{\alpha p} e^{-p\eta(\frac{2\pi}{L})^2 |k|^2} |B[\vec{u}, \vec{v}](k)|^p \leq \sum_k |k|^{\alpha p} e^{-p\eta(\frac{2\pi}{L})^2 |k|^2} |Q[\vec{u}, \vec{v}](k)|^p = \\ & \sum_k |k|^{\alpha p} e^{-p\eta(\frac{2\pi}{L})^2 |k|^2} \left| \sum_h (k \cdot u(h)) v(k-h) \right|^p \\ & \leq \sum_k |k|^{\alpha p} e^{-p\eta(\frac{2\pi}{L})^2 |k|^2} \left| \sum_h |k| |u(h)| |v(k-h)| \right|^p \\ & = \sum_k |k|^p e^{-p\eta(\frac{2\pi}{L})^2 |k|^2} \left| |k|^\alpha \sum_h |u(h)| |v(k-h)| \right|^p \\ & \leq C \sum_k |k|^p e^{-p\eta(\frac{2\pi}{L})^2 |k|^2} \left| \sum_h (|h|^\alpha + |k-h|^\alpha) |u(h)| |v(k-h)| \right|^p \quad (3.8) \\ & = C \left(\sum_k |k|^p e^{-p\eta(\frac{2\pi}{L})^2 |k|^2} \left| \sum_h |h|^\alpha |u(h)| |v(k-h)| \right|^p \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_k |k|^p e^{-p\eta(\frac{2\pi}{L})^2 |k|^2} \left| \sum_h |u(h)| |k-h|^\alpha |v(k-h)| \right|^p \right). \quad (3.9) \end{aligned}$$

To obtain (3.8) above, we used the inequality $(a+b)^\alpha \leq C(a^\alpha + b^\alpha)$ where the constant C may depend only on α .

Let $w_1(k) = \sum_h |h|^\alpha |u(h)| |v(k-h)|$ and $\vec{w}_1 = (w_1(k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3}$. By Young's inequality for convolution, we have

$$\|\vec{w}_1\|_r \leq \|\vec{u}\|_{\alpha,p} \|\vec{v}\|_p \leq \|\vec{u}\|_{\alpha,p} \|\vec{v}\|_{\alpha,p}, \quad r = \frac{p}{2-p}. \quad (3.10)$$

The first term in the inequality in (3.9) is

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k |k|^p e^{-p\eta(\frac{2\pi}{L})^2 |k|^2} \left| \sum_h |h|^\alpha |u(h)| |v(k-h)| \right|^p &= \sum_k |k|^p |k|^{\beta p} e^{-p\eta(\frac{2\pi}{L})^2 |k|^2} \frac{|w_1(k)|^p}{|k|^{\beta p}} \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{\eta^{\frac{(\beta+1)p}{2}}} \sum_k \frac{|w_1(k)|^p}{|k|^{\beta p}} \leq C \frac{1}{\eta^{\frac{(\beta+1)p}{2}}} \|\vec{w}_1\|_r^p \left(\sum_k \frac{1}{|k|^{\frac{\beta p r}{r-p}}} \right)^{\frac{r-p}{r}} \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{\eta^{\frac{(\beta+1)p}{2}}} \|\vec{u}\|_{\alpha,p}^p \|\vec{v}\|_{\alpha,p}^p \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

where, to obtain the inequalities in the second last line, we first used (3.6) and then applied Holder's inequality. To obtain the last inequality, we used (3.10) and the fact that $\sum_k \frac{1}{|k|^{\frac{\beta p r}{r-p}}} < \infty$ if $\frac{\beta p r}{r-p} > 3$, which is indeed the case since $r = \frac{p}{2-p}$ and $\beta p > 3(p-1)$. A similar computation shows that for the second term in (3.9) we have

$$\sum_k |k|^p e^{-p\eta(\frac{2\pi}{L})^2 |k|^2} \left| \sum_h |u(h)| |k-h|^\alpha |v(k-h)| \right|^p \leq C \frac{1}{\eta^{\frac{(\beta+1)p}{2}}} \|\vec{u}\|_{\alpha,p}^p \|\vec{v}\|_{\alpha,p}^p \quad (3.12)$$

Putting together the inequalities (3.9), (3.11) and (3.12), the proof of the lemma is now complete. \square

In order to bootstrap higher order regularity, we will also need the following estimate on the bilinear term.

Lemma 3.2. *Assume that $\vec{u}, \vec{v} \in V_{\alpha,p}$ for some $\alpha \geq 0$ and let $\eta > 0$. Then, for $p \leq 2$ and $\beta > 0$ satisfying $\beta p > 3(p-1)$, there exists a constant $C = C(p, \alpha, \beta)$ such that*

$$\|e^{-\eta A} B[\vec{u}, \vec{v}]\|_{\alpha,p} \leq C \frac{1}{\eta^{\frac{(\alpha+\beta+1)}{2}}} \|\vec{u}\|_p \|\vec{v}\|_p \quad (3.13)$$

Proof. Let $w_2(k) = \sum_h |u(h)| |v(k-h)|$ and $\vec{w}_2 = (w_2(k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3}$. By Young's inequality for convolution, we have

$$\|\vec{w}_2\|_r \leq \|\vec{u}\|_p \|\vec{v}\|_p, \quad r = \frac{p}{2-p}. \quad (3.14)$$

Proceeding as in the proof of the previous lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|e^{-\eta A} B[\vec{u}, \vec{v}]\|_{\alpha, p}^p = \\
& \sum_k |k|^{\alpha p} e^{-p\eta(\frac{2\pi}{L})^2 |k|^2} |B[\vec{u}, \vec{v}](k)|^p \leq \sum_k |k|^{\alpha p} e^{-p\eta(\frac{2\pi}{L})^2 |k|^2} |Q[\vec{u}, \vec{v}](k)|^p = \\
& \sum_k |k|^{\alpha p} e^{-p\eta(\frac{2\pi}{L})^2 |k|^2} \left| \sum_h (k \cdot u(h)) v(k-h) \right|^p \\
& \leq \sum_k |k|^{\alpha p} e^{-p\eta(\frac{2\pi}{L})^2 |k|^2} \left| \sum_h |k| |u(h)| |v(k-h)| \right|^p \\
& = \sum_k |k|^{(\alpha+1)p} e^{-p\eta(\frac{2\pi}{L})^2 |k|^2} \left| \sum_h |u(h)| |v(k-h)| \right|^p \\
& = \sum_k |k|^{(\alpha+1+\beta)p} e^{-p\eta(\frac{2\pi}{L})^2 |k|^2} \frac{|w_2(k)|^p}{|k|^{\beta p}} \leq C \frac{1}{\eta^{\frac{(\alpha+1+\beta)p}{2}}} \sum_k \frac{|w_2(k)|^p}{|k|^{\beta p}} \\
& \leq C \frac{1}{\eta^{\frac{(\alpha+1+\beta)p}{2}}} \|\vec{w}_2\|_r^p \left(\sum_k \frac{1}{|k|^{\frac{\beta p r}{r-p}}} \right)^{\frac{r-p}{r}} \leq C \frac{1}{\eta^{\frac{(\alpha+1+\beta)p}{2}}} \|\vec{u}\|_p^p \|\vec{v}\|_p^p,
\end{aligned}$$

where, to obtain the inequality in the second last line, we used (3.6) and to obtain the inequalities in the last line, we first used Holder's inequality and then (3.14). \square

We will now prove that the map S defined in (3.5) takes E into $C([0, T]; V_p)$. Note first that if $p < 3/2$ then there exists $\frac{3(p-1)}{p} < \beta < 1$.

Lemma 3.3. *Assume that $\frac{3(p-1)}{p} < \beta < 1$. For $\vec{v} \in E$, we have $S\vec{v}$ is in $C([0, T]; V_p)$ and*

$$\|(S\vec{v} - G)(t)\|_p \leq \frac{4C}{1 - (\beta+1)/2} M T^{1-(\beta+1)/2} M. \quad (3.15)$$

Proof. For $\vec{v} \in E$ and $t < T$ using Lemma 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|(S\vec{v} - G)(t)\|_p = \\
& \left\| \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A} B[\vec{v}(s), \vec{v}(s)] ds \right\|_p \leq \int_0^t \|e^{-(t-s)A} B[\vec{v}(s), \vec{v}(s)]\|_p ds \leq \\
& C \int_0^t \frac{1}{(t-s)^{(\beta+1)/2}} \|\vec{v}(s)\|_p^2 ds \leq \frac{4C}{1 - (\beta+1)/2} M T^{1-(\beta+1)/2} M
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we used (3.4). This establishes that $S\vec{v}$ is in $L^\infty([0, T]; V_p)$. In fact, the above calculations also show that $S\vec{v}$ belongs to $C([0, T]; V_p)$. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Assume that $\frac{3(p-1)}{p} < \beta < 1$. For $\vec{v}, \vec{w} \in E$, we have*

$$\|(S\vec{v} - S\vec{w})(t)\|_p \leq \frac{2C}{1 - (\beta + 1)/2} MT^{1-(\beta+1)/2} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|(\vec{v} - \vec{w})(s)\|_p. \quad (3.16)$$

Proof. Note that by linearity,

$$B[\vec{v}, \vec{v}] - B[\vec{w}, \vec{w}] = B[\vec{v}, \vec{v} - \vec{w}] + B[\vec{v} - \vec{w}, \vec{w}]. \quad (3.17)$$

For $0 \leq t \leq T$, using (3.17) and Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(S\vec{v} - S\vec{w})(t)\|_p &\leq \\ &\int_0^t \|e^{-(t-s)A} B[\vec{v}(s), (\vec{v} - \vec{w})(s)]\|_p ds + \int_0^t \|e^{-(t-s)A} B[(\vec{v} - \vec{w})(s), \vec{v}(s)]\|_p ds \\ &\leq 4C \int_0^t \frac{1}{(t-s)^{(\beta+1)/2}} \|(\vec{v} - \vec{w})(s)\|_p \|\vec{v}(s)\|_p ds \\ &\leq \frac{4C}{1 - (\beta + 1)/2} MT^{1-(\beta+1)/2} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|(\vec{v} - \vec{w})(s)\|_p, \end{aligned}$$

□

where in the last inequality, we used (3.4).

We are now ready to state the main theorem. For a function $\vec{v} : [0, T] \rightarrow V_{\alpha, p}$, for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}^3$, we denote by $\vec{v}(k, t)$ the k -th component of $\vec{v}(t)$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $1 \leq p < 3/2$, $T < \frac{C}{M^{4p/(3-2p)}}$ and M as in (3.2). Then, there exists \vec{u} in $C([0, T]; V_p)$ with $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\vec{u}(s)\| < 2M$ satisfies (2.10). The constant C may depend on p only as $p \rightarrow 3/2$.*

Proof. Recall that $C([0, T]; V_p)$ is a Banach space with respect to the norm $\|\vec{u}(\cdot)\| = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\vec{u}(t)\|_p$. Set

$$\beta_0 = \left(\frac{3(p-1)}{p} + 1 \right) / 2 = \frac{4p-3}{2p}. \quad (3.18)$$

Then, β_0 satisfies the condition in (3.15). Moreover, if $T < \frac{C}{M^{4p/(3-2p)}}$ for appropriate C , then by Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4, the map S defined in (3.5) is a contractive map from E into E . Thus, by Banach fixed point theorem, there exists a \vec{u} in $E \subset C([0, T]; V_p)$ such that

$$\vec{u}(t) = e^{-tA} \vec{u}_0 + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A} \vec{g}(s) ds - \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A} B[\vec{u}(s), \vec{u}(s)] ds. \quad (3.19)$$

From (3.19) and the definition of the operator A , it follows that for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^3$, $\vec{u}(\cdot)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{u}(k, t) &= e^{-t(\frac{2\pi}{L})^2|k|^2}\vec{u}_0(k) + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)(\frac{2\pi}{L})^2|k|^2}\vec{g}(k, s) ds \\ &\quad - \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)(\frac{2\pi}{L})^2|k|^2}B[\vec{u}(s), \vec{u}(s)](k)ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

Note that for any vectors $\vec{w}, \vec{v} \in V_p$, $p \leq 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |B[\vec{w}, \vec{v}](k)| &\leq |Q[\vec{w}, \vec{v}](k)| \leq |k| \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^3} |\vec{w}(h)| |\vec{v}(k-h)| \\ &\leq |k| \left(\sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^3} |\vec{w}(h)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3} |\vec{v}(k)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq |k| \|\vec{w}\|_p \|\vec{v}\|_p \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

where to obtain the first inequality in (3.21), we used Cauchy-Schwartz and the last inequality in (3.21) follows from the fact that for $1 \leq p \leq q$ and $\vec{v} \in V_p$, $\|\vec{v}\|_q \leq \|\vec{v}\|_p$. Since $\vec{u}(\cdot)$ is in $C([0, T]; V_p)$, from (3.21) it immediately follows that for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}^3$, the map $s \rightarrow B[\vec{u}(s), \vec{u}(s)](k)$ is continuous on $[0, T]$. Thus we may differentiate under the integral sign in (3.20) to conclude that \vec{u} satisfies (2.10). \square

Remark If $p = 1$, then β can be taken to be zero in Lemma 3.1 and hence in Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4. In this case, there exists a solution \vec{u} as in (3.19) for $T < \frac{C}{M^2}$. This is precisely the result in [F].

We now proceed to show that the mild solution \vec{u} obtained in Theorem 3.1 is indeed a strong solution. We first state a lemma.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\vec{u} \in V_{\alpha, p}$. Then, there exists a constant $C = C(\alpha, \delta, p)$ depending only on α, δ and p such that*

$$\|A^\delta e^{-\eta A} \vec{u}\|_{\alpha, p} \leq C \frac{1}{\eta^\delta} \|\vec{u}\|_{\alpha, p} \quad (3.22)$$

Proof. The proof is a straight forward calculation as shown below.

$$\begin{aligned} \|A^\delta e^{-\eta A} \vec{u}\|_{\alpha, p}^p &= \\ \sum_k |k|^{\alpha p} \left(\frac{2\pi}{L} |k| \right)^{2\delta p} e^{-\eta p \left(\frac{2\pi}{L} \right)^2 |k|^2} |u(k)|^p &\leq C \frac{1}{\eta^{\delta p}} \sum_k |k|^{\alpha p} |u(k)|^p \\ &= C \frac{1}{\eta^{\delta p}} \|\vec{u}\|_{\alpha, p}^p, \end{aligned}$$

where to obtain the inequality above we used (3.6). \square

Theorem 3.2. *Assume that the force \vec{g} satisfies*

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\vec{g}(t)\|_{\alpha_0, p} < \infty \quad (3.23)$$

and the initial data u_0 is in V_p , $1 \leq p < 3/2$. The mild solution obtained in (3.19) $\vec{u} = \vec{u}(t)$ is in fact a strong solution satisfying

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} t^{\alpha/2} \|\vec{u}(t)\|_{\alpha, p} < \infty \quad (3.24)$$

for all $0 \leq \alpha < \alpha_0 + 2\delta$ where $0 \leq \delta < 1$.

Proof. Using (2.9) and (3.22), for all $\alpha \geq 0$ we have

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} t^{\alpha/2} \|e^{-tA} \vec{u}_0\|_{\alpha, p} = \left(\frac{2\pi}{L}\right)^{2\delta} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} t^{\alpha/2} \|A^{\alpha/2} e^{-tA} \vec{u}_0\|_p \leq C \|\vec{u}_0\|_p. \quad (3.25)$$

Furthermore, once again using (2.9) and (3.22), for $0 \leq \delta < 1$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq \alpha_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A} \vec{g}(s) ds \right\|_{\alpha+2\delta, p} = \\ & \left(\frac{2\pi}{L}\right)^{2\delta} \|A^\delta \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A} \vec{g}(s) ds\|_{\alpha, p} \leq \left(\frac{2\pi}{L}\right)^{2\delta} \int_0^T \|A^\delta e^{-(t-s)A} \vec{g}(s)\|_{\alpha, p} ds \leq \\ & C \int_0^T \frac{1}{(t-s)^\delta} \|\vec{g}(s)\|_{\alpha, p} ds \leq C \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\vec{g}(t)\|_{\alpha, p} \int_0^T \frac{1}{(t-s)^\delta} ds < \infty \end{aligned}$$

In the above inequalities, we implicitly used the fact that $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\vec{g}(t)\|_{\alpha, p} \leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\vec{g}(t)\|_{\alpha_0, p} < \infty$ for all $\alpha \leq \alpha_0$. In view of the above estimate and (3.25), for all $0 \leq \alpha \leq \alpha_0$ and $0 \leq \delta < 1$ we have

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2} + \delta} \|\vec{G}(t)\|_{\alpha+2\delta, p} < \infty. \quad (3.26)$$

We will now bootstrap regularity from a lower order bound. Assume (3.24) holds for some $\alpha \geq 0$. Set $\delta_0 = \frac{1-(\beta_0+1)/2}{2}$ where β_0 is as in (3.18). Clearly, with this choice

of δ_0 , we have $(\beta_0 + 1)/2 + \delta_0 < 1$. Note first that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|A^{\delta_0}(S\vec{u} - G)(t)\|_{\alpha,p} = \\ & \|A^{\delta_0} \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A} B[\vec{u}(s), \vec{v}(s)] ds\|_{\alpha,p} \leq \int_0^t \|A^{\delta_0} e^{-(t-s)A} B[\vec{u}(s), \vec{u}(s)]\|_{\alpha,p} ds \\ & = \int_0^t \|A^{\delta_0} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(t-s)A} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(t-s)A} B[\vec{u}(s), \vec{u}(s)]\|_{\alpha,p} ds \\ & \leq C \int_0^t \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\delta_0}} \|e^{-\frac{1}{2}(t-s)A} B[\vec{u}(s), \vec{u}(s)]\|_{\alpha,p} ds \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & = C \left(\int_0^\epsilon \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\delta_0}} \|e^{-\frac{1}{2}(t-s)A} B[\vec{u}(s), \vec{u}(s)]\|_{\alpha,p} ds + \int_\epsilon^t \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\delta_0}} \|e^{-\frac{1}{2}(t-s)A} B[\vec{u}(s), \vec{u}(s)]\|_{\alpha,p} ds \right) \\ & \leq C \left(\int_0^\epsilon \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\delta_0 + (\beta_0 + \alpha + 1)/2}} \|\vec{u}(s)\|_p^2 ds + \int_\epsilon^t \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\delta_0 + (\beta_0 + 1)/2}} \|\vec{u}(s)\|_{\alpha,p}^2 ds \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

where to obtain (3.27) we used (3.22) and to obtain the two inequalities in (3.28), we used (3.13) and (3.7) respectively. We know from Theorem 3.1 that $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\vec{u}(t)\|_p \leq 2M$. Thus, the first integral in (3.28) is finite. Concerning the second integral in (3.28), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\epsilon^t \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\delta_0 + \frac{(\beta_0 + 1)}{2}}} \|\vec{u}(s)\|_{\alpha,p}^2 ds & = \int_\epsilon^t \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\delta_0 + \frac{(\beta_0 + 1)}{2}}} s^\alpha \|\vec{u}(s)\|_{\alpha,p}^2 ds \\ & \leq \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} t^{\alpha/2} \|\vec{u}\|_{\alpha,p} \right)^2 \int_\epsilon^t \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\delta_0 + \frac{(\beta_0 + 1)}{2}}} s^\alpha ds < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

where the last integral is finite since $\delta_0 + (\beta_0 + 1)/2 < 1$. We thus obtained the estimate estimate obtained above, we have

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|A^{\delta_0}(S\vec{u} - G)(t)\|_{\alpha,p} = \left(\frac{2\pi}{L} \right)^{2\delta} \|(S\vec{u} - G)(t)\|_{\alpha+2\delta,p} < \infty.$$

The above estimate together with (3.26) yields

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2} + \delta_0} \|\vec{u}(\cdot)\|_{\alpha+2\delta_0} < \infty.$$

This finishes the proof. \square

4. GEVREY REGULARITY

We will now proceed to obtain solution of NSE which is in Gevrey class. For $p \geq 1$, the Gevrey class $X_{Gv(\gamma),p}$ is defined as

$$X_{Gv(\gamma),p} = \{ \vec{u} \in K : \|\vec{u}\|_{Gv(\gamma),p} := \|e^{\gamma A^{1/2}} \vec{u}\|_p = \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3} e^{p\gamma \frac{2\pi}{L}|k|} |u(k)|^p \right)^{1/p} < \infty \}.$$

Obviously, $X_{Gv(\gamma),p} \subset V_p$, and in fact, $\|\vec{u}\|_p \leq \|\vec{u}\|_{Gv(\gamma),p}$, $\gamma > 0$. Throughout this section, we assume

$$\mu \leq \frac{2\pi}{L}.$$

Define the Banach space

$$C_G = \left\{ \vec{u}(\cdot) \in C([0, T]; V_p) : \|\vec{u}(\cdot)\|_{Gv} = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\vec{u}(t)\|_{Gv(\mu t), p} < \infty \right\}.$$

Note that

$$\vec{u} \in C_G, \quad \|\vec{v}(\cdot)\|_{Gv} = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|e^{\mu t A^{1/2}} \vec{v}(\cdot)\|_p. \quad (4.1)$$

We will need a Young-type inequality for Gevrey norms.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\vec{u}, \vec{v} \in X_{Gv(\gamma),p}$ and let $\vec{w} = (w(k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3}$ be defined by $w(k) = \sum_h |\vec{u}(h)| |\vec{v}(k-h)|$. Then,*

$$\|\vec{w}\|_{Gv(\gamma),r} = \left(\sum_k e^{\gamma r (\frac{2\pi}{L})|k|} w(k) \right)^{1/r} \leq \|\vec{u}\|_{Gv(\gamma),p} \|\vec{v}\|_{Gv(\gamma),p}, \quad r = \frac{p}{2-p}. \quad (4.2)$$

Proof. Since \vec{u} and \vec{v} are in $X_{Gv(\gamma),p}$, the vectors \vec{u}_1, \vec{v}_1 defined by

$$\vec{u}_1(k) = e^{\gamma \frac{2\pi}{L}|k|} |\vec{u}(k)|, \quad \vec{v}_1(k) = e^{\gamma \frac{2\pi}{L}|k|} |\vec{v}(k)|, \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}^3)$$

are in $\ell_p(\mathbb{Z}^3)$ and clearly,

$$\|\vec{u}_1\|_p = \|\vec{u}\|_{Gv(\gamma),p} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\vec{v}_1\|_p = \|\vec{v}\|_{Gv(\gamma),p}.$$

Consequently, by Young's inequality, the vector $\vec{w}_1 = \vec{u}_1 * \vec{v}_1$ is in $\ell_r(\mathbb{Z}^3)$, $r = \frac{p}{2-p}$ and

$$\|\vec{w}_1\|_r \leq \|\vec{u}_1\|_p \|\vec{v}_1\|_p \leq \|\vec{u}\|_{Gv(\gamma),p} \|\vec{v}\|_{Gv(\gamma),p}. \quad (4.3)$$

Using the inequality $|k| \leq |h| + |k-h|$ and noting that $\vec{w}_1(k) = (\vec{u}_1 * \vec{v}_1)(k) = \sum_h e^{\gamma \frac{2\pi}{L}|h|} |\vec{u}(h)| e^{\gamma \frac{2\pi}{L}|k-h|} |\vec{v}(k-h)|$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k e^{\gamma r \frac{2\pi}{L}|k|} w(k)^r &= \sum_k \left[e^{\gamma \frac{2\pi}{L}|k|} \left(\sum_h |\vec{u}(h)| |\vec{v}(k-h)| \right) \right]^r \leq \\ \sum_k \left[\sum_h e^{\gamma \frac{2\pi}{L}|h|} |\vec{u}(h)| e^{\gamma \frac{2\pi}{L}|k-h|} |\vec{v}(k-h)| \right]^r &= \sum_k \vec{w}_1(k)^r = \\ \|\vec{w}_1\|_r^r &\leq \|\vec{u}_1\|_p^r \|\vec{v}_1\|_p^r \leq \|\vec{u}\|_{Gv(\gamma),p}^r \|\vec{v}\|_{Gv(\gamma),p}^r. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain (4.2). \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\vec{u}, \vec{v} \in X_{\gamma,p}$ and $\eta > 0$. Let $p < 2$ and $\beta > 0$ is such that $\beta p > 3(p-1)$. Then, there exists a constant C (which may depend on p, β) such that*

$$\|e^{-\eta A} B[\vec{u}, \vec{v}]\|_{\gamma,p} \leq C \frac{1}{\eta^{\frac{(\beta+1)}{2}}} \|\vec{u}\|_{\gamma,p} \|\vec{v}\|_{\gamma,p}. \quad (4.4)$$

Proof. For \vec{u}, \vec{v} as in lemma, and \vec{w} defined by

$$\vec{w}(k) = \sum_h |u(h)| |v(k-h)|$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-\eta A} B[\vec{u}, \vec{v}]\|_{Gv(\gamma),p}^p &\leq \sum_k e^{-\eta p (\frac{2\pi}{L})^2 |k|^2} e^{\gamma p \frac{2\pi}{L} |k|} \left| \sum_h (k \cdot u(h)) v(k-h) \right|^p \leq \\ \sum_k e^{-\eta p (\frac{2\pi}{L})^2 |k|^2} e^{\gamma p \frac{2\pi}{L} |k|} |k|^p |w(k)|^p &= \sum_k |k|^{(\beta+1)p} e^{-\eta p (\frac{2\pi}{L})^2 |k|^2} \frac{1}{|k|^{\beta p}} e^{\gamma p \frac{2\pi}{L} |k|} |k|^p |w(k)|^p \leq \\ C \frac{1}{\eta^{\frac{(\beta+1)p}{2}}} \sum_k \frac{1}{|k|^{\beta p}} e^{\gamma p \frac{2\pi}{L} |k|} |w(k)|^p &\leq C \frac{1}{\eta^{\frac{(\beta+1)p}{2}}} \left(\sum_k \frac{1}{|k|^{\frac{\beta p r}{r-p}}} \right)^{\frac{r-p}{r}} \left(\sum_k e^{\gamma r \frac{2\pi}{L} |k|} |w(k)|^r \right)^{\frac{p}{r}} \\ &= C \frac{1}{\eta^{\frac{(\beta+1)p}{2}}} \|\vec{w}\|_{Gv(\gamma),r}^p \leq C \frac{1}{\eta^{\frac{(\beta+1)p}{2}}} \|\vec{u}\|_{\gamma,p}^p \|\vec{v}\|_{\gamma,p}^p \end{aligned}$$

where, to obtain the inequalities in the second last line, we used (3.6) followed by Holder's inequality, and for the inequality in the last line we used (4.2). \square

Let G be as in (3.1) and

$$M := \|\vec{G}(\cdot)\|_{Gv} = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|e^{\mu t A^{1/2}} \vec{G}(t)\|_p < \infty \quad (4.5)$$

Remark Since $\mu < \frac{2\pi}{L}$, the condition (4.5) is satisfied if

$$\vec{u}_0 \in V_p, \text{ and } \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|e^{\mu A^{1/2} t} \vec{g}(t)\|_p < \infty.$$

Let $E_1 \subset C_{Gv}$ be defined as

$$E_1 = \{\vec{v} \in C_{Gv} : \|\vec{v}(\cdot) - \vec{G}(\cdot)\|_{Gv} \leq M\}. \quad (4.6)$$

Lemma 4.3. *For $\vec{v} \in E_1$, we have $S\vec{v}$ is in C_{Gv} and*

$$\|(S\vec{v} - G)(t)\|_{t,p} \leq \frac{4C}{1 - (\beta+1)/2} M T^{1 - (\beta+1)/2} M, \quad \frac{3(p-1)}{p} < \beta < 1 \quad (4.7)$$

Proof. Recall that for any $\gamma > 0$ we can write $\|\vec{u}\|_{\gamma,p} = \|e^{\gamma A^{1/2}} \vec{u}\|_p$. Moreover, since $\mu \leq \frac{\pi}{L}$

$$e^{-\beta[\frac{1}{2}A - \mu A^{1/2}]} \quad (\beta > 0) \text{ is a contraction on } V_p. \quad (4.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|(S\vec{v} - G)(t)\|_{\mu t,p} &= \\ \|e^{\mu t A^{1/2}}(S\vec{v} - G)(t)\|_p &\leq \int_0^t \|e^{\mu t A^{1/2}} e^{-(t-s)A} B[\vec{v}(s), \vec{v}(s)]\|_p ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t \|e^{\mu(t-s)A^{1/2}} e^{-\frac{(t-s)}{2}A} e^{-\frac{(t-s)}{2}A} e^{\mu s A^{1/2}} B[\vec{v}(s), \vec{v}(s)]\|_p ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t \|e^{-\frac{(t-s)}{2}A} e^{\mu s A^{1/2}} B[\vec{v}(s), \vec{v}(s)]\|_p ds = \int_0^t \|e^{-\frac{(t-s)}{2}A} B[\vec{v}(s), \vec{v}(s)]\|_{Gv(\mu s),p} ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^t \frac{1}{(t-s)^{(\beta+1)/2}} \|\vec{v}(s)\|_{Gv(\mu s),p}^2 ds \leq \frac{4C}{1 - (\beta+1)/2} MT^{1-(\beta+1)/2} M \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality, we used Lemma 4.2 with $\eta = (t-s)/2$ and $\gamma = \mu s$ as well as the fact that $\sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} \|e^{\mu s A^{1/2}} \vec{v}(s)\|_p \leq 2M$. \square

We will next need a lemma which shows that S is a contraction on E with respect to the gevrey norm on C_{Gv} .

Lemma 4.4. *For $\vec{v}, \vec{w} \in E_1$, and for $\frac{3(p-1)}{p} < \beta < 1$ we have*

$$\|(S\vec{v} - S\vec{w})(t)\|_p \leq \frac{2C}{1 - (\beta+1)/2} MT^{1-(\beta+1)/2} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|e^{\mu t A^{1/2}}(\vec{v} - \vec{w})(t)\|_p. \quad (4.9)$$

The proof of this lemma is analogous to the previous one and is omitted.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $1 \leq p < 3/2$, $\mu < \frac{\pi}{L}$, $T < \frac{C}{M^{4p/(3-2p)}}$ and M as in (4.5). Then, there exists \vec{u} in C_{Gv} such that $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|e^{\mu s A^{1/2}} \vec{u}(s)\| < 2M$ satisfying*

$$\frac{d\vec{u}(t)}{dt} = -A\vec{u}(t) + \vec{g}(t) - B[\vec{u}(t), \vec{u}(t)], \quad \vec{u}(0) = \vec{u}_0 \quad \text{a.e. } 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad (4.10)$$

where the constant C depends on p only as $p \rightarrow 3/2$.

Proof. Take $\beta = (\frac{3(p-1)}{p} + 1)/2 = \frac{4p-3}{2p}$. Then, β satisfies the condition in (3.15). Moreover, if $T < \frac{C}{M^{4p/(3-2p)}}$ for appropriate C , then by Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4,

the map S defined in (3.5) is a contractive map from E_1 into E_1 . Thus, by Banach fixed point theorem, there exists a \vec{u} in $E_1 \subset C_{G_v}$ such that

$$\vec{u}(t) = e^{-tA}\vec{u}_0 + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A}\vec{g}(s)ds - \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A}B[\vec{u}(s), \vec{u}(s)]ds.$$

The conclusion of the theorem now follows quite easily as in Theorem 3.1. □

Acknowledgement The author would like to thank Professor C. Foias for suggesting the problem and for subsequent discussions.

REFERENCES

- [F] C. Foias, Navier-Stokes Equations, *Texas A & M lecture notes, 2002*.
- [FT] C. Foias and R. Temam, Gevrey class regularity for the solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations, *J. Func. Anal.* **87** (1989), 359 - 369.
- [FK] H. Fujita and T. Kato, On the Navier-Stokes initial value problem I, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **16** (1964), 269 - 315.
- [GM] Y. Giga and T. Miyakawa, Solutions in L_r of the Navier-Stokes initial value problem, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **89** (1985), 267 - 281.
- [GK] Z. Grujić and I. Kukavica, Space analyticity for the Navier-Stokes and related equations with initial data in L^p , *J. Func. Anal.* **152** (1998), 447 - 466.
- [MS] J. C. Mattingly and Ya. G. Sinai, An elementary proof of the existence and uniqueness theorem for the Navier-Stokes equations, *Communications in Contemporary Mathematics*, **1** (1999), 497-516.
- [M] K. Masuda, On the analyticity and the unique continuation theorem for solutions of the Navier-Stokes equation, *Proc. Japan Acad.* **43** (1967), 827 - 832.
- [T] R. Temam, *Navier-Stokes equations and nonlinear functional analysis*, volume **66** of *CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics*, SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, second edition, 1995.