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#### Abstract

GEORGE M. STUKES. On the infinite divisibility and non infinite divisibility of certain classes of random variables. (Under the direction of DR. STANISLAV MOLCHANOV)

In this dissertation we present new results on the classification of limit distributions of random geometric processes. In particular, that develop on the work of Penrose and Wade [1, who were the first to document the phenomenon of infinite divisibility in the case of a particular (uniform) distribution. In this dissertation we put forth not only new results, but a new method of obtaining results through analyzing the sequence of moments produced by random variables. Additionally we have new results in cycle decomposition of the related Dickman-Goncharov distribution. We present a novel proof of the distribution of the three highest order cycles in a random partition.
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## CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

The subject of this dissertation involves several different, but related distributions. The details of these distributions will be laid out in subsequent sections. Our early results are focused on the distributions the lengths of cycles that result from decompositions from random permutations of $S_{n}$. These cycle lengths were studied extensively by Goncharov [2] and later Vershik [3]. More recently, Molchanov and Panov [4] have produced results that this dissertation expands on.

The bulk of the dissertation is focused on random geometric progressions in the spirit of Penrose and Wade [1] and Vervaat [5]. The authors discovered that under particular conditions, the random variable $S$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=Y_{1}+Y_{1} Y_{2}+Y_{1} Y_{2} Y_{3}+Y_{1} Y_{2} Y_{3} Y_{4}+\cdots \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is infinitely divisible, where the $Y_{i}$ are independent, identically distributed random variables. Later work by Grabchak and Molchanov [6] provided more results. This dissertation presents a new method to determine infinite divisibility of such random geometric progressions as well as classifies many examples.

### 1.1 Motivation for Joint Distribution of Maximal Cycles

Here we will discuss some of the motivation and background behind our first problem, which involves joint distributions of cycle lengths in the decomposition of a random permutation on $S_{n}$.

We begin by considering

$$
\pi=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & \cdots & n  \tag{1.2}\\
i_{1} & i_{2} & i_{3} & i_{4} & \cdots & i_{n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

which is a random permutation on the first $n$ natural numbers. We define 'random' here as every particular element of the group $S_{n}$ can be selected with uniform probability. Naturally, then, we can say for any permutation $\pi \in S_{n}$,

$$
\mathbb{P}(\pi)=\frac{1}{n!}
$$

The notation in (1.2) indicates that the permutation $\pi$ maps the element 1 to another element $i_{1}$ and 2 to another element $i_{2}$ and so on. This permutation can be decomposed into a number of cycles in the following way: $1 \rightarrow i_{1} \rightarrow i_{j} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow$ $i_{r} \rightarrow 1$, which completes one cycle. The next cycle begins with the smallest element remaining that was not included in the first cycle.

Example 1.1. Consider the permutation of the first 5 natural numbers

$$
\pi=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\
3 & 1 & 2 & 5 & 4
\end{array}\right)
$$

Here the permutation produces the cycles $1 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1$ and $4 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 4$. We can write the decomposed permutation as $(1,3,2)(4,5)$. Note here that the first cycle has length 3 and the second cycle has length 2 .

In our problem, we consider the distribution of the lengths of the maximal cycles in random permutations. We denote the length of the $i$ th cycle as $\left|c_{i}\right|$. Where the $i$ th cycle begins with the smallest element not included in the first $i-1$ cycles.

In a straightforward manner, we can determine

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\mathbb{P}\left\{\left|c_{1}\right|=1\right\}=\frac{1}{n} \quad \text { (the only such cycle is } 1 \rightarrow 1\right) \\
& \mathbb{P}\left\{\left|c_{1}\right|=2\right\}=\frac{(n-1)}{n} \frac{1}{n-1}=\frac{1}{n} \quad\left(\text { the cycles here are } 1 \rightarrow i_{1} \rightarrow 1, i_{1} \neq 1\right) . \\
& \mathbb{P}\left\{\left|c_{1}\right|=3\right\}=\frac{(n-1)}{n} \frac{(n-2)}{(n-1)} \frac{1}{(n-1)}=\frac{1}{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Iterating this process, we arrive at

$$
\mathbb{P}\left\{\left|c_{1}\right|=k\right\}=\frac{1}{n} .
$$

If the length of the first cycle is less than $n$ (which it is with probability $\frac{n-1}{n}$ ), then it is natural to consider the length of the second cycle, conditioned on the length of the first cycle. Understanding that if the first cycle is length $k_{1}$ the second cycle has length $n-k_{1}$, we arrive at the equation

$$
\mathbb{P}\left\{\left|c_{2}\right|=k_{2}| | c_{1} \mid=k_{1}\right\}=\frac{1}{n-k_{1}} .
$$

In the same way, we can compute the conditional probability for all higher cycles

$$
\mathbb{P}\left\{\left|c_{i}\right|=k_{i}| | c_{i-1}\left|=k_{i-1} \bigcap\right| c_{i-1}\left|=k_{i-2} \bigcap \cdots \bigcap\right| c_{1} \mid=k_{1}\right\}=\frac{1}{s_{i}},
$$

where $1 \leq c_{i} \leq s_{k} \quad$ and $\quad s_{k}=n-k_{1}-k_{2}-\cdots-k_{i-1}$.
In this dissertation, we are interested in the limiting distribution $(n \rightarrow \infty)$ of normalized lengths $\frac{\left|c_{i}\right|}{n}$.

We create random variables $Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{k}$ and set

$$
\frac{\left|c_{1}\right|}{n} \xrightarrow{\text { law }} Y_{1}, \frac{\left|c_{2}\right|}{n} \xrightarrow{\text { law }} Y_{2}, \ldots, \frac{\left|c_{k}\right|}{n} \xrightarrow{\text { law }} Y_{k} \quad n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

One can see that the distribution of the variables will follow

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y_{1}=X_{1} \sim U n i f[0,1] \\
& Y_{2}=X_{2}\left(1-X_{1}\right) \quad, X_{2} \sim \operatorname{Unif}[0,1], \text { where } X_{2}, X_{1} \text { are independent. } \\
& Y_{3}=X_{3}\left(1-X_{1}\right)\left(1-X_{2}\right) \quad, X_{3} \sim U n i f[0,1], \text { where } X_{3}, X_{2}, X_{1} \text { are independent. } \\
& \quad \vdots
\end{aligned}
$$

We are primarily interested in the order statistics of the distribution of $\left\{Y_{1}, Y_{2}, Y_{3}, \ldots\right\}$. In particular we develop a novel method to derive a formula for the joint distribution of $\left(Y_{(1)}, Y_{(2)}, Y_{(3)}\right)$, where $Y_{i}$ indicates the $i$ th largest value. The distribution of the longest cycle appears in Feller [7] and further cycles were extensively studied by Vershik in [3] and [8]. It is in this context that the Dickman-Goncharov distribution arises. More details and a derivation will be offered in section 2.2,

### 1.2 Motivation for Random Geometric Progressions

In this part of the dissertation we are going to discuss two concepts that come together in our work, infinite divisibility and random geometric progressions.

### 1.2.1 Infinite Divisibility

The notion of infinite divisibility began in the early twentieth century through pioneering work by Di Finetti [9], Lévy [10, Kolmogorov [11], and Khintchine [12]. The notion of divisibility of a random variable, $X$, concerns whether you can represent
$X$ in the following way

$$
\begin{aligned}
X & \stackrel{l a w}{=} Y_{1}+Y_{2}+Y_{3}+\cdots+Y_{n} \\
& Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{n} \text { independent and identically distributed. }
\end{aligned}
$$

If such a representation is possible, $X$ is said to be $n$-divisible. It is interesting to note that $(n+1)$ divisibility does not imply $n$ divisibility. This will make our notion of infinite divisibility slightly more complex than simply a limit of the sum of i.i.d. random variables.

Theorem 1.1. We call a random variable $X$ infinitely divisible if for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, you can represent it in the following way

$$
X \stackrel{l a w}{=} X_{n, 1}+X_{n, 2}+\cdots+X_{n, n}
$$

where $X_{n, 1}, X_{n, 2}, X_{n, n}$ are i.i.d. and there exists some $X_{n}$ such that $X_{n, j} \stackrel{\text { law }}{=} X_{n}$ for all $j$.

Since the infinite divisibility of a random variable is based on it's distribution, we commonly use the term infinitely divisible to describe a random variable, distribution function, or density function (for absolutely continuous distributions).

We can also generalize the definition of infinite divisibility in (1.1) through the following theorem. To do so, we will utilize the following definition.

Here we define a triangular array. A triangular array is a double sequence of random variables $X_{i, j} i=1,2, \ldots, j ; j=1,2,3, \ldots$ and the variables in the $n$th row ( $X_{1, n}, \ldots, X_{n, n}$ are mutually independent.

In our triangular arrays, we are interested in rows where individual components $X_{i, j}$ do not exert significant influence over the sum of the elements in the row. To
this end, we impose the additional constraint that for each $\epsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left\{\left|X_{i, j}\right|>\epsilon\right\}<\epsilon \quad(i=1,2, \ldots, j) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for sufficiently large $n$. These arrays are sometimes called null arrays.

Theorem 1.2. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}=\sum_{i=i}^{n} X_{i, n} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the sum of rows of a triangular array, $X_{i, j}$ with property (1.3). If there exists an $S$ such that $S_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { law }} S$, then $S$ is infinitely divisible.

This remarkable fact generalizes (1.1) and drops the requirement that the components $X_{i, j}$ have some common distribution. For more details, the reader is directed to [13].

It is straightforward to show that a infinitely divisible distribution $F$ can be written for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ as the $n$-fold convolution of some other distribution $F_{n}$ with itself. Similarly, a characteristic function $\phi$ will be infinitely divisible iff for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ it is the $n$th power of some characteristic function $\phi_{n}$ :

$$
F=F_{n}^{\star n} \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \phi(z)=\left(\phi_{n}(z)\right)^{n} \forall n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

Next we show the some of the most common types of infinitely divisible distributions.

Example 1.2. Let $X$ have a Normal $\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$ distribution. Then $X$ is infinitely divisible and for every $n \in \mathbb{N} X_{n} \sim N\left(\frac{\mu}{n}, \frac{\sigma^{2}}{n}\right)$.

Example 1.3. Let $X$ have a Poisson ( $\lambda$ ) distribution. Then $X$ is infinitely divisible
with $X_{n} \sim \operatorname{Poisson}\left(\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Example 1.4. Let $X$ have a exponential distribution with parameter $k$. Then $X$ is infinitely divisible with $X_{n} \sim \operatorname{Exp}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Example 1.5 (Penrose Wade). Let $\left\{Y_{i}\right\}_{i}$ be a sequence of random variables with distribution $Y_{i} \sim \operatorname{Unif}[0,1]$. Then the sum, $S$

$$
S=Y_{1}+Y_{1} Y_{2}+Y_{1} Y_{2} Y_{3}+\cdots
$$

is infinitely divisible.

Alternative characterizations are discussed extensively in [14]. These characterizations will be utilized in chapter 3 .

### 1.2.2 Random Geometric Progressions

In a paper about Minimal Directed Spanning Trees, Penrose and Wade [1] discovered that it is possible to generate an infinitely divisible distribution as the limit of a random geometric progression

$$
S=Y_{1}+Y_{1} Y_{2}+Y_{1} Y_{2} Y_{3}+Y_{1} Y_{2} Y_{3} Y_{4} \cdots
$$

In the paper the authors demonstrated that if each of the $Y_{i}$ are $U^{\frac{1}{\Theta}}(\Theta>0)$, and $U \sim U n i f[0,1]$ that the resulting geometric progression is infinitely divisible. We will recreate this result later in the paper. What is interesting to note is the specific parameters around the distribution of the $Y_{i}$ variables. The main thrust of this dissertation is to make more clear what distribution of $Y_{i}$ might result in an infinitely divisible distribution.

It is straightforward to show the condition $U^{\frac{1}{\theta}}(\Theta>0)$, and $U \sim U n i f[0,1]$ corresponds to a random variable with a distribution of $\operatorname{Beta}[\Theta, 1]$. In our search for
other potentially infinitely divisible progressions, we will naturally look at distributions that are very similar to the uniform and beta distributions. Details and proofs are presented in chapter 3.

### 1.3 Important Distributions

There are three main distributions that will be discussed in this dissertation. The first of these was discovered in the 1930's by Karl Dickman when researching natural numbers free of large prime factors [15]. The density of the Dickman distribution satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left\{p_{1}(\xi) \leq n^{1 / a}\right\} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{D}(a)=\int_{a}^{\infty} d(u) d u \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi$ is a random integer with uniform distribution on $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $p_{1}(\xi)$ is it's smallest prime factor. It is well established that this Dickman distribution is infinitely divisible. The Dickman function $\mathcal{D}(a)$ satisfies the delay differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \mathcal{D}^{\prime}(a)+\mathcal{D}(a-1)=0 \quad \mathcal{D}(a)=1 \quad \forall a \in[0,1] \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

A similar distribution that will be studied is the Penrose-Wade distribution. Consider a random variable $\mathfrak{D}$ defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{D}=U_{1}+U_{1} U_{2}+U_{1} U_{2} U_{3}+\cdots \\
& \mathfrak{D} \stackrel{\text { law }}{=} U(1+\mathfrak{D})
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathfrak{D}$ has a density that satisfies the Dickman equation (1.6) with the initial condition $p(x)=e^{-\gamma} \forall x \in[0,1]$. It can also be written

$$
p(x)=e^{-\gamma} \mathcal{D}(x)
$$

where $\mathcal{D}$ again is the Dickman function from (1.6).
Our third and final distribution was discovered by Vasily Leonidovich Goncharov in the 1940s while investigating asymptotics of the type discussed in section 1.1. Let $\pi$ be a random permutation of $n$ elements and consider the cycle decomposition of $\pi$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi=c_{1}(\pi) c_{2}(\pi) \cdots c_{j}(\pi) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we take $c_{(1)}(\pi)$ to be the longest cycle in the decomposition, we have for any $a \in[0,1]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left\{\frac{\left|c_{(1)}(\pi)\right|}{n} \leq a\right\} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} G(a)=\int_{0}^{a} g(u) d u \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $G(a)$ is the distribution function for the Goncharov law. Notably if a random variable $X$ has the Goncharov distribution, then $Y=1 / X$ then $Y$ has the distribution function $F(y)=1-\mathcal{D}(y)$ where $\mathcal{D}$ is the Dickman function from (1.6). This remarkable connection between the two distributions was not discovered until the 1980s by Vershik in [8].

## CHAPTER 2: JOINT DISTRIBUTION OF SEVERAL HIGHER ORDER CYCLES

### 2.1 Preliminaries

Here we will derive two important identities for the Goncharov distribution. These identities appear multiple times in literature that predates this paper. The reader is directed to [4]. Consider a random variable $\mathcal{G}$ that has the distribution

$$
\mathcal{G} \stackrel{l a w}{=} \max \{1-U, \tilde{\mathcal{G}} U\}
$$

Where $U$ is uniformly distributed on $[0,1], \mathcal{G}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ are independent and have the same distribution. Note that this distribution is analogous to the situation in 1.8). Because $\mathcal{G}$ is concentrated on $[0,1]$ we can write the following equation with $\Phi(x)$ representing the distribution of $\mathcal{G}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\{\mathcal{G} \leq x\} & =\Phi(x)=\int_{0}^{1} \mathbb{P}\{\max \{t,(1-t) \mathcal{G}\} \leq x\} d t \\
\Phi(x) & =\int_{0}^{x} \Phi\left(\frac{x}{1-t}\right) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we will substitute $z=\frac{x}{1-t}$ and we obtain

$$
\frac{\Phi(x)}{x}=\int_{x}^{\frac{x}{1-x}} \frac{\Phi(z)}{z^{2}} d z
$$

If we let $\phi(x)=\Phi^{\prime}(x)$ be the density of $\mathcal{G}$ we can take differentiate both sides and we arrive at the first Goncharov identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \phi(x)=\Phi\left(\frac{x}{1-x}\right) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

To arrive at the second Goncharov identity, we differentiate both sides of (2.1).

$$
\begin{align*}
x \phi(x)+\phi^{\prime}(x) & =\phi\left(\frac{x}{1-x}\right) \frac{1}{(1-x)^{2}} \\
\phi^{\prime}(x) & =\phi\left(\frac{x}{1-x}\right) \frac{1}{(1-x)^{2}}-x \phi(x) . \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we can see that the identity below

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x)(1-x)=\int_{x}^{\frac{x}{1-x}} \frac{\phi(z)}{z} d z \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is trivially equal at $x=0$. We differentiate both sides of (2.3) to arrive at

$$
\phi(x)-x \phi^{\prime}(x)=\frac{\phi\left(\frac{x}{1-x}\right)}{x}-\frac{\phi(x)}{x}
$$

Substituting (2.2) for $\phi^{\prime}(x)$ we get

$$
\phi(x)(1-x)=\frac{\phi\left(\frac{x}{1-x}\right)}{(1-x)^{2}}+\frac{\phi\left(\frac{x}{1-x}\right)}{x}-\frac{\phi(x)}{x}
$$

and we now have the verified the identity in (2.3)

$$
\phi(x)(1-x)=\int_{x}^{\frac{x}{1-x}} \frac{\phi(z)}{z} d z
$$

The case $k=2$ was established in [4], and is listed here:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{2}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{a_{1}\left(1-a_{1}\right)} \phi\left(\frac{a_{2}}{1-a_{1}}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi$ is the Dickman-Goncharov distribution.

### 2.2 The case $\mathrm{k}=3$

Here we continue the work of Molchanov and Panov [4] and also Vershik [3]. We seek to prove a joint distribution for the lengths of the three highest order cycles in a permutation selected at uniformly at random from $S_{n}$. We utilize the relationship

$$
\left\{Y_{(1)}, Y_{(2)}, Y_{(3)}\right\} \stackrel{\text { law }}{=}\left\{3 \text { largest of: } X_{1},\left(1-X_{1}\right) \tilde{Y}_{1},\left(1-X_{1}\right) \tilde{Y}_{2},\left(1-X_{1}\right) \tilde{Y}_{3}\right\}
$$

where $X_{1}$ and $\tilde{Y}_{1}$ are independent, uniformly distributed random variables on $[0,1]$.
As the argument is laid out in [4], we designate events:
$\Omega_{1}:\left\{3\right.$ largest elements $\left.-\left(1-X_{1}\right) \tilde{Y}_{1},\left(1-X_{1}\right) \tilde{Y}_{2},\left(1-X_{1}\right) \tilde{Y}_{3}\right\} \quad X_{1}<\left(1-X_{1}\right) \tilde{Y}_{3}$ $\Omega_{2}:\left\{3\right.$ largest elements $\left.-\left(1-X_{1}\right) \tilde{Y}_{1},\left(1-X_{1}\right) \tilde{Y}_{2}, X_{1}\right\} \quad\left(1-X_{1}\right) \tilde{Y}_{3}<X_{1}<\left(1-X_{1}\right) \tilde{Y}_{2}$ $\Omega_{3}:\left\{3\right.$ largest elements - $\left.\left(1-X_{1}\right) \tilde{Y}_{1}, X_{1},\left(1-X_{1}\right) \tilde{Y}_{2}\right\} \quad\left(1-X_{1}\right) \tilde{Y}_{2}<X_{1}<\left(1-X_{1}\right) \tilde{Y}_{1}$ $\Omega_{4}:\left\{3\right.$ largest elements $\left.-X_{1},\left(1-X_{1}\right) \tilde{Y}_{1},\left(1-X_{1}\right) \tilde{Y}_{2}\right\} \quad\left(1-X_{1}\right) \tilde{Y}_{1}<X_{1}$

From [4] we denote the joint distribution for $\left\{Y_{(1)}, Y_{(2)}, Y_{(3)}\right\}$ as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right) d a_{1} d a_{2} d a_{3}=\mathbb{P}\left\{Y_{(1)} \in\left(a_{1}, a_{1}+d a_{1}\right), Y_{(2)} \in\left(a_{2}, a_{2}+d a_{2}\right), Y_{(3)} \in\left(a_{3}, a_{3}+d a_{3}\right)\right\} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and additionally we designate the right hand side of 2.5 as $\Omega_{0}$.

Theorem 2.1. The density for $\left\{Y_{(1)}, Y_{(2)}, Y_{(3)}\right\}, a_{1}>a_{2}>a_{3}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
r\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{a_{1} a_{2}\left(1-a_{1}-a_{2}\right)} \phi\left(\frac{a_{3}}{1-a_{1}-a_{2}}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We first show that $(2.6)$ is a valid density function on $[0,1]$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} r\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right) d a_{1} d a_{2} d a_{3}=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{a_{1} a_{2}\left(1-a_{1}-a_{2}\right)} \phi\left(\frac{a_{3}}{1-a_{1}-a_{2}}\right) d a_{1} d a_{2} d a_{3} \\
=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{a_{1}} \int_{0}^{a_{1}} \frac{1}{a_{2}} \int_{0}^{a_{2}} \frac{1}{\left(1-a_{1}-a_{2}\right)} \phi\left(\frac{a_{3}}{1-a_{1}-a_{2}}\right) d a_{1} d a_{2} d a_{3}
\end{gathered}
$$

let $t=\frac{a_{3}}{1-a_{1}-a_{2}}$

$$
=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{a_{1}} d a_{1} \int_{0}^{a_{1}} \frac{1}{a_{2}} d a_{2} \int_{0}^{\frac{a_{2}}{1-a_{1}-a_{2}}} \phi(t) d t
$$

let $\gamma=\frac{a_{2}}{1-a_{1}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{a_{1}} d a_{1} \int_{0}^{a_{1}} \frac{1}{a_{2}} d a_{2} \int_{0}^{\frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma}} \phi(t) d t \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{a_{1}} d a_{1} \int_{0}^{a_{1}} \frac{1}{a_{2}} \Phi\left(\frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma}\right) d a_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and by (2.1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{a_{1}} d a_{1} \int_{0}^{a_{1}} \frac{1}{1-a_{1}} \phi\left(\frac{a_{2}}{1-a_{1}}\right) d a_{2} \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} \phi\left(a_{1}\right) d a_{1}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

We will construct the formula for $r\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)$ using the cases outlined above. We proceed with the individual cases:

1. $\Omega_{0} \cap \Omega_{1}$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
=\left\{\left(1-X_{1}\right) \tilde{Y}_{1} \in\left(a_{1}, a_{1}+d a_{1}\right),\left(1-X_{1}\right) \tilde{Y}_{2} \in\left(a_{2}, a_{2}+d a_{2}\right),\left(1-X_{1}\right) \tilde{Y}_{3} \in\left(a_{3}, a_{3}+d a_{3}\right)\right\} \\
X_{1}<\left(1-X_{1}\right) \tilde{Y}_{3}
\end{array}
$$

hence,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
r_{(1)}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)=\int_{0}^{a_{3}} \frac{d x}{(1-x)^{3}} r\left(\frac{a_{1}}{1-x}, \frac{a_{2}}{1-x}, \frac{a_{3}}{1-x}\right) \\
=\frac{1}{a_{1} a_{2}} \int_{0}^{a_{3}} \frac{1}{1-a_{1}-a_{2}-x} \phi\left(\frac{a_{3}}{1-a_{1}-a_{2}-x}\right) d x
\end{array}
$$

let $t=\frac{a_{3}}{1-a_{1}-a_{2}-x}$

$$
=\frac{1}{a_{1} a_{2}} \int_{\frac{a_{3}}{1-a_{1}-a_{2}}}^{\frac{a_{3}}{1-a_{1}-a_{2}-a_{3}}} \frac{1}{t} \phi(t) d t
$$

let $\beta=\frac{a_{3}}{1-a_{1}-a_{2}}$, note also that $\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}=\frac{a_{3}}{1-a_{1}-a_{2}-a_{3}}$,

$$
=\frac{1}{a_{1} a_{2}} \int_{\beta}^{\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}} \frac{\phi(t)}{t} d t
$$

by equation (2.3),

$$
\begin{gather*}
=\frac{1}{a_{1} a_{2}}(1-\beta) \phi(\beta) \\
r_{(1)}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)=\frac{1-a_{1}-a_{2}-a_{3}}{a_{1} a_{2}\left(1-a_{1}-a_{2}\right)} \phi\left(\frac{a_{3}}{1-a_{1}-a_{2}}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

2. $\Omega_{0} \cap \Omega_{2}$

$$
=\left\{\left(1-X_{1}\right) \tilde{Y}_{1} \in\left(a_{1}, a_{1}+d a_{1}\right),\left(1-X_{1}\right) \tilde{Y}_{2} \in\left(a_{2}, a_{2}+d a_{2}\right), X_{1} \in\left(a_{3}, a_{3}+d a_{3}\right)\right\}, X_{1}>(1-X
$$

So we have:

$$
r_{(2)}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{\left(1-a_{3}\right)^{2}} \int_{0}^{\frac{a_{3}}{1-a_{3}}} r\left(\frac{a_{1}}{1-a_{3}}, \frac{a_{2}}{1-a_{3}}, x\right) d x
$$

By equation (2.6),

$$
=\frac{1}{\left(1-a_{3}\right)^{2}} \int_{0}^{\frac{a_{3}}{\left(1-a_{3}\right)}} \frac{\left(1-a_{3}\right)^{3}}{a_{1} a_{2}\left(1-a_{1}-a_{2}-a_{3}\right)} \phi\left(\frac{x\left(1-a_{3}\right)}{1-a_{1}-a_{2}-a_{3}}\right)
$$

let $t=\frac{x\left(1-a_{3}\right)}{1-a_{1}-a_{2}-a_{3}}$ and we have:

$$
=\frac{1}{a_{1} a_{2}} \int_{0}^{\frac{a_{3}}{1-a_{1}-a_{2}-a_{3}}} \phi(t) d t
$$

and now let $\gamma=\frac{a_{3}}{1-a_{1}-a_{2}}$,

$$
=\frac{1}{a_{1} a_{2}} \int_{0}^{\frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma}} \phi(t) d t
$$

by equation (2.3),

$$
=\frac{1}{a_{1} a_{2}} \Phi\left(\frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma}\right)
$$

and by (2.1) we can say

$$
=\frac{1}{a_{1} a_{2}} \gamma \phi(\gamma)
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{(2)}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)=\frac{a_{3}}{a_{1} a_{2}\left(1-a_{1}-a_{2}\right)} \phi\left(\frac{a_{3}}{1-a_{1}-a_{2}}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. $\Omega_{0} \cap \Omega_{3}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
=\left\{\left(1-X_{1}\right) \tilde{Y}_{1} \in\left(a_{1}, a_{1}+d a_{1}\right), X_{1} \in\left(a_{2}, a_{2}+d a_{2}\right),\left(1-X_{1}\right) \tilde{Y}_{2} \in\left(a_{3}, a_{3}+d a_{3}\right)\right\} \\
r_{(3)}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{\left(1-a_{2}\right)^{2}} r_{2}\left(\frac{a_{2}}{1-a_{1}}, \frac{a_{3}}{1-a_{1}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and by (2.4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{(3)}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{a_{2}\left(1-a_{1}-a_{2}\right)} \phi\left(\frac{a_{3}}{1-a_{1}-a_{2}}\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

4. $\Omega_{4} \cap \Omega_{0}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
=\left\{X_{1} \in\left(a_{1}, a_{1}+d a_{1}\right),\left(1-X_{1}\right) \tilde{Y}_{1} \in\left(a_{2}, a_{2}+d a_{2}\right),\left(1-X_{1}\right) \tilde{Y}_{2} \in\left(a_{3}, a_{3}+d a_{3}\right)\right\} \\
r_{(4)}=\frac{1}{\left(1-a_{1}\right)^{2}} r_{2}\left(\frac{a_{2}}{1-a_{1}}, \frac{a_{3}}{1-a_{1}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and by (2.4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{(4)}=\frac{1}{a_{1}\left(1-a_{1}-a_{2}\right)} \phi\left(\frac{a_{3}}{1-a_{1}-a_{2}}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now it remains to combine equations (2.7) - (2.10):

$$
\begin{aligned}
r\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right) & =r_{(1)}+r_{(2)}+r_{(3)}+r_{(4)} \\
& =\left(\frac{1-a_{1}-a_{2}-a_{3}}{a_{1} a_{2}\left(1-a_{1}-a_{2}\right)}+\frac{a_{3}}{a_{1} a_{2}\left(1-a_{1}-a_{2}\right)}+\frac{1}{a_{2}\left(1-a_{1}-a_{2}\right)}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{a_{1}\left(1-a_{1}-a_{2}\right)}\right) \phi\left(\frac{a_{3}}{1-a_{1}-a_{2}}\right) \\
r\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right) & =\frac{1}{a_{1} a_{2}\left(1-a_{1}-a_{2}\right)} \phi\left(\frac{a_{3}}{1-a_{1}-a_{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which concludes the proof.

## CHAPTER 3: RANDOM GEOMETRIC PROGRESSIONS

In this chapter we consider the infinite divisibility of particular classes of random variables that arise from a random geometric progression of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=Y_{1}+Y_{1} Y_{2}+Y_{1} Y_{2} Y_{3}+Y_{1} Y_{2} Y_{3} Y_{4}+\cdots, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $Y_{i}$ are independent, identically distributed random variables. As shown by Penrose and Wade [1], when the $Y_{i}$ are distributed uniformly on the interval [0, 1], the resulting limit is an infinitely divisible distribution.

We begin by showing some relationships that will be used throughout the chapter. The random variable $S$ has the following distribution equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
S \stackrel{\text { law }}{=} Y_{1}(1+\tilde{S}), \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S$ and $\tilde{S}$ are independent and equal in distribution. and from this we can say

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}(S)=\mathbb{E}\left(Y_{1}\right) \mathbb{E}(1+S) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using this relation, we can calculate all moments of $S$

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{n}=\mathbb{E}\left(S^{n}\right) & =\mathbb{E}\left(Y_{1}^{n}\right) \mathbb{E}\left((1+S)^{n}\right) \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left(Y_{1}^{n}\right) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\binom{n}{j} M_{j}+\mathbb{E}\left(Y_{1}^{n}\right) M_{n} \\
M_{n} & =\frac{\mu_{n}}{1-\mu_{n}} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\binom{n}{j} M_{j}  \tag{3.4}\\
& \text { with } M_{0}=1
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mu_{n}$ denotes the $n$th moment of $Y_{i}$. This relationship seems to have deep connections with the tail probabilities of infinitely divisible distributions shown in [14], [16], and 17].

As will be seen later in the paper, cumulants play an important role in infinitely divisible distributions. The cumulant generating function of a distribution is defined as

$$
K(t)=\log \mathbb{E}\left(e^{t X}\right)
$$

We can calculate individual cumulants $\kappa_{i}$ by the Maclaurin series

$$
K(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \kappa_{i} \frac{t^{n}}{n!}=\kappa_{1} \frac{t}{1!}+\kappa_{2} \frac{t^{2}}{2!}+\cdots
$$

Similarly to moments and the moment generating function we can calculate

$$
\kappa_{n}=K^{(n)}(0)
$$

In particular, we should note that $\kappa_{1}=\mu_{1}=\mathbb{E}(X)$ and that $\kappa_{2}=\sigma^{2}=\operatorname{Var}(X)$. Cumulants are so-named because of the property

$$
\kappa_{n}\left(X_{1}+X_{2}+\cdots+X_{j}\right)=\kappa_{n}\left(X_{1}\right)+\kappa_{n}\left(X_{2}\right)+\cdots+\kappa_{n}\left(X_{j}\right)
$$

We will make extensive use of the relationship between moments and cumulants from [18],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{n}=M_{n}-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\binom{n-1}{j} \kappa_{n-j} M_{j} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\kappa_{n}$ denotes the $n$th cumulant of the distribution.
Before we get into specific distributions, we will demonstrate an important relationship between the moments $\mu_{n}$ of $Y_{i}$ and the moments of the resulting random geometric progression $M_{n}$ using (3.4).

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n}=\frac{\mu_{n}}{1-\mu_{n}} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\binom{n}{j} M_{j}, \quad M_{0}=1 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and here we calculate the first moment, $M_{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{1} & =\frac{\mu_{1}}{1-\mu_{1}} M_{0} \\
M_{1} & =\frac{\mu_{1}}{1-\mu_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and to simplify notation we will introduce the term

$$
a_{n}=\frac{\mu_{n}}{1-\mu_{n}} .
$$

So we can rewrite

$$
M_{1}=a_{1} .
$$

Continuing with (3.4) recursively, we can solve for $M_{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{2} & =\frac{\mu_{2}}{1-\mu_{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{1}\binom{2}{j} M_{j} \\
M_{2} & =a_{2}+\binom{2}{1} a_{1} a_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Again we can use (3.4), we can find $M_{3}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{3}=a_{3} \sum_{j=0}^{2}\binom{3}{j} M_{j} \\
& M_{3}=a_{3}\left(\binom{3}{0} M_{0}+\binom{3}{1} M_{1}+\binom{3}{2} M_{2}\right) \\
& M_{3}=a_{3}+\binom{3}{1} a_{3} a_{1}+\binom{3}{2} a_{3} a_{2}+\binom{3}{2}\binom{2}{1} a_{3} a_{2} a_{1} \\
& M_{3}=a_{3} \sum_{j=1}^{2}\binom{3}{j} a_{3} a_{j}+\sum_{j=2}^{3} \sum_{i=1}^{j}\binom{3}{j}\binom{j}{i} a_{3} a_{j} a_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From there we can generalize the pattern to finding any $M_{n}$ without the need for recursion. We arrive at the formula

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{n}=a_{n}+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\binom{n}{j} a_{n} a_{j}+\sum_{j=2}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{j}\binom{n}{j}\binom{j}{i} a_{n} a_{j} a_{1} \\
& +\cdots+\sum_{i_{k}=k}^{n} \sum_{i_{k-1}}^{i_{k}} \cdots \sum_{i_{1}}^{i_{2}}\binom{n}{i_{k}}\binom{i_{k}}{i_{k-1}} \cdots\binom{i_{2}}{i_{1}} a_{i_{1}} a_{i_{2}} \cdots a_{i_{k}} a_{n} \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

which gives moments $M_{n}$ of the random geometric progression based only on the moments $\mu_{n}$ of $Y_{i}$. We will use equation (3.7) extensively to calculate moments of various infinite geometric progressions.

Consider, as an example, the infinite progression studied in the paper by Vervaat [5] and Penrose and Wade [1], where

$$
S=Y_{1}+Y_{1} Y_{2}+Y_{1} Y_{2} Y_{3}+\cdots
$$

and

$$
Y_{i} \sim U n i f[0,1]
$$

We know this density to possess infinite divisibility, and we will use our new method based on equation (3.7) to demonstrate. We begin by calculating

$$
\mu_{n}=\frac{1}{n+1}
$$

which gives

$$
a_{n}=\frac{1}{n} .
$$

Here we will deviate slightly from the method slightly to show an important property of $S$ defined as by Penrose Wade. Recall the cumulants to moments equation (3.5):

$$
\kappa_{n}=M_{n}-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\binom{n-1}{j} \kappa_{n-j} M_{j} .
$$

To simplify the notation, consider the situation when $n=3$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\kappa_{3} & =M_{3}-\sum_{j=1}^{2}\binom{2}{j} \kappa_{3-j} M_{j} \\
& =a_{3}+\binom{3}{1} a_{3} a_{1}+\binom{3}{2} a_{3} a_{2}+\binom{3}{2}\binom{2}{1} a_{3} a_{2} a_{1}-\binom{2}{1} \kappa_{2} a_{1}-\kappa_{1}\left(a_{2}+2 a_{1} a_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the recursion for $\kappa_{n}$, we can insert the values for $\kappa_{1}$ and $\kappa_{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\kappa_{3} & =a_{3}+\binom{3}{1} a_{3} a_{1}+\binom{3}{2} a_{3} a_{2}+\binom{3}{2}\binom{2}{1} a_{3} a_{2} a_{1} \\
& +\binom{2}{1}\left(a_{2}+2 a_{1} a_{2}-a_{1} a_{1}\right) a_{1}+a_{1}\left(a_{2}+2 a_{1} a_{2}\right) . \\
& =\frac{1}{3}+\binom{3}{1} \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{2}+\binom{3}{2}\binom{2}{1} \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{2}-\binom{2}{1} \frac{1}{2}-\binom{2}{1}-\binom{2}{1}-\frac{1}{2}+1 \\
\kappa_{3} & =\frac{1}{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This demonstrates the unique quality of a geometric progression based on the $Y_{i}$ having uniform density on $[0,1]$, which can be extended to any cumulant. Because the $n$th moment for $Y_{i}$ is $\frac{1}{n+1}$, produces coefficients $a_{n}$ exactly equal to $\frac{1}{n}$ for every $n$.

When combined with the cumulant formula (3.5), the moments of $S$ cancel exactly the binomial coefficients that occur in the recursion formulas for cumulants and moments. As we will show in the paper, if those moments are changed slightly, the resulting variable $\tilde{S}$ loses the property of infinite divisibility.

Now we will show the method novel to this paper. Using the equation (3.7) we will demonstrate that the $S$ defined as above has moments that are not decreasing sufficiently quickly to produce negative moments. Recall that if a random variable distributed on $\mathbb{R}^{+}$has negative cumulants, it is not infinitely divisible. For details the reader is referred to [14] chap. III, Theorem 7.1.

To use our method, we start with the coefficient corresponding to $\mu_{n}=\frac{1}{n+1}$

$$
a_{n}=\frac{1}{n}
$$

and combine it with the equation (3.7) to get

$$
M_{n}=\frac{1}{n}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\binom{n}{j}}{j n}+\sum_{j=2}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \frac{\binom{n}{j}\binom{j}{i}}{j n i}+\cdots
$$

Here we split the summands of each of the series:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{1}=\frac{1}{n} \\
& T_{2}=\frac{\binom{n}{j}}{j n} \\
& T_{3}=\frac{\binom{n}{j}\binom{j}{i}}{j n i} \\
& \vdots \\
& T_{k}=\frac{\binom{n}{i_{k}} \cdots\binom{i_{2}}{i_{1}}}{i_{k} \cdots i_{2} i_{1} n} \\
& \vdots
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we perform a Taylor series expansion of each of the $T_{i}$ terms about an arbitrary
point $k$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{1} & =\frac{1}{k}-\frac{1}{k^{2}}(n-k)+O\left((n-k)^{2}\right) \\
T_{2} & =\frac{\Gamma(k+1)}{j^{2} k \Gamma(j) \Gamma(-j+k+1)} \\
& +\frac{\Gamma(k+1)\left(k\left(\psi^{(0)}(k+1)-\psi^{(0)}(k+1-j)\right)-1\right)}{j^{2} k^{2} \Gamma(j) \Gamma(-j+k+1)}(n-k)+O\left((n-k)^{2}\right) \\
T_{3} & =\frac{\Gamma(k+1)\binom{j}{i}}{i j^{2} k \Gamma(j) \Gamma(-j+k+1)} \\
+ & \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\binom{j}{i}\left(-k \psi^{(0)}(-j+k+1)+k \psi^{(0)}(k+1)-1\right)}{i j^{2} k^{2} \Gamma(j) \Gamma(-j+k+1)}(n-k)+O\left((n-k)^{2}\right) \\
& \vdots
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $\phi^{(0)}(z)$ is the digamma function, defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{(0)}=\frac{\Gamma^{\prime}(z)}{\Gamma(z)} . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We look only at the first order terms in the expansion of $T_{n}$ to get a sense of how quickly moments are changing. Recall for terms $T_{2}$ and higher, we must sum over all $j<k$. Examining $T_{2}$, we can produce the second coefficient in the series expansion

$$
T_{2}=\frac{\Gamma(k+1)\left(k\left(\psi^{(0)}(k+1)-\psi^{(0)}(k+1-j)\right)-1\right)}{j^{2} k^{2} \Gamma(j) \Gamma(-j+k+1)}
$$

We should note here that $T_{2}$ increases with $k$, which we should expect. The important part of the dynamics here is that for any $k$, the second term in the Taylor expansion is positive, and finite. From here we can say that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d M}{d n}\right|_{n=k}>0 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This produces a sequence of moments that are increasing with $n$ at a rate that will not produce negative cumulants from (3.5).

Here we will show that if a random variable $Y_{i}$ has moments that produce coefficients $a_{n}$ which are grow infinitesimally larger than $\frac{1}{n}$, then the random variable based on the infinite progression of $Y_{i}$ is not infinitely divisible.

Theorem 3.1. Let $Y_{i}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with the moment of each $Y_{i}$ defined as follows

$$
\mu_{n}=\frac{1}{n^{1+\epsilon}+1}
$$

Then the random variable $S$

$$
S=Y_{1}+Y_{1} Y_{2}+Y_{1} Y_{2} Y_{3}+Y_{1} Y_{2} Y_{3} Y_{4}+\cdots
$$

is not infinitely divisible.

Proof. Again we construct the moments of the geometric progression based on $\mu_{n}=$ $\frac{1}{n^{1+\epsilon}+1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{n} & =\frac{\mu_{n}}{1-\mu_{n}} \\
& =\frac{\frac{1}{n^{1+\epsilon}+1}}{1-\frac{1}{n^{1+\epsilon}+1}} \\
& =\frac{1}{n^{1+\epsilon}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we can find a formula for $M_{n}$, the $n$th moment of the progression via the formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{n} & =a_{n}+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\binom{n}{j} a_{n} a_{j}+\sum_{j=2}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{j}\binom{n}{j}\binom{j}{i} a_{n} a_{j} a_{1}+\cdots \\
& =\frac{1}{n^{1+\epsilon}}+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\binom{n}{j} \frac{1}{(n j)^{1+\epsilon}}+\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{j-1}\binom{n}{j}\binom{j}{i} \frac{1}{(i n j)^{1+\epsilon}}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

And here we perform our Taylor series expansion about the point $\epsilon=0$ for $T_{1}=\frac{1}{n^{1+\epsilon}}$

$$
T_{1}=\frac{1}{n}-\frac{\log (n)}{n} \epsilon+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)
$$

Similarly we find expansions for $T_{2}$ and $T_{3}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{2}=\frac{\binom{n}{j}}{j n}-\frac{\binom{n}{j} \log (j n)}{j n} \epsilon+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right) \\
& T_{3}=\frac{\binom{j}{i}\binom{n}{j}}{n i j}-\frac{\binom{j}{i}\binom{n}{j} \log (n i j)}{n i j} \epsilon+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This provides with the first order coefficient in the Taylor Series expansion for $M_{n}$ about $\epsilon=0$

$$
\left.\frac{d M_{n}}{d \epsilon}\right|_{\epsilon=0}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{-\log (n)}{n}-\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \frac{\binom{j}{i}\binom{n}{j} \log (n i j)}{n i j}-\cdots\right)
$$

Further $T_{i}$ have similarly negative first-order terms, which brings us to our conclusion. Since the first order terms are negative and increasing without bound around the point $\epsilon=0$, since the $M_{n}$ are strictly positive, we can conclude that the sequence $M_{n}$ converges pointwise to 0 for any $\epsilon>0$. Hence there exists an $N$ such that provides a sufficiently small $M_{N}$ to produce a negative $K_{N}$. It follows that $S$ defined in this
way is not infinitely divisible.

### 3.1 Uniform Type Distributions

We start with the case where each $Y_{i}$ follows the distribution

$$
Y_{i} \sim\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
0 & q \\
U n i f[0,1] & p
\end{array}\right.
$$

As we will see soon, this distribution has strong connections to distributions that are uniform on sub-intervals of $[0,1]$.

Theorem 3.2. The random variable $S$,

$$
S=Y_{1}+Y_{1} Y_{2}+Y_{1} Y_{2} Y_{3}+Y_{1} Y_{2} Y_{3} Y_{4}+\cdots
$$

with

$$
Y_{i} \sim\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
0 & q \\
\text { Unif[0,1] } & p
\end{array}\right.
$$

is not infinitely divisible.

Proof. To begin, we first calculate moments, $\mu_{n}$ of $Y_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{n}=\mathbb{E}\left(Y_{i}\right)=q \cdot 0+p \cdot\left(\frac{1}{1+n}\right) \\
& \mu_{n}=\frac{p^{n}}{1+n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

To simplify notation, we will create the coefficient

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{n}=\frac{\mu_{n}}{1-\mu_{n}} \\
& a_{n}=\frac{p^{n}}{(n+1)-p^{n}} . \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

We now calculate the moments of $S=Y_{1}+Y_{1} Y_{2}+Y_{1} Y_{2} Y_{3}+\cdots$ as a function of $p$, the probability that $Y_{i}$ is non-zero. Using the formula (3.4) gives the following recursion relation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{n}(p) & =\frac{\mu_{n}}{1-\mu_{n}} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\binom{n}{j} M_{j} \\
& =a_{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\binom{n}{j} M_{j}(p) \\
& =\frac{p^{n}}{(n+1)-p^{n}} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\binom{n}{j} M_{j}(p)
\end{aligned}
$$

Solving for the recursion yields

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{n}(p)= & \frac{p^{n}}{(n+1)-p^{n}}+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\binom{n}{j} p^{n+j}}{\left((n+1)-p^{n}\right)\left((j+1)-p^{j}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \frac{\binom{n}{j}\binom{j}{i} p^{n+i+j}}{\left((n+1)-p^{n}\right)\left((j+1)-p^{j}\right)\left((i+1)-p^{i}\right)}+  \tag{3.11}\\
& +\sum_{a_{k}=k}^{n} \sum_{a_{k-1}=k-1}^{a_{k}} \cdots \sum_{a_{1}=1}^{a_{2}} \frac{\binom{n}{a_{k}}\binom{a_{k}}{a_{k-1}} \cdots\binom{a_{2}}{a_{1}} p^{\sum a_{i}+k}}{\left((n+1)-p^{n}\right)\left(\left(a_{k}+1\right)-p_{k-1}^{a}\right) \cdots\left(\left(a_{1}+1\right)-p^{a_{1}}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

We should take note of several important facts about $M_{n}(p)$ : It is analytic on the interval $(0,1)$, it is non-negative on $[0,1]$ and it's only real root on the interval $[0,1]$ is $M_{n}(0)=0$ for all $n$. With these facts in hand, we proceed by performing a Taylor
expansion about the point $p=1$ for each of the terms in (3.11).

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{1} & =\frac{p^{n}}{\left(n+1-p^{n}\right)} \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{n}+\left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right)(p-1)+O\left((p-1)^{2}\right)\right) \\
T_{2} & =\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\binom{n}{j} p^{n+j}}{\left((n+1)-p^{n}\right)\left((j+1)-p^{j}\right)} \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left(\frac{\binom{n}{j}}{j}+\frac{\binom{n}{j}(j+n+2)}{j}(p-1)+O\left((p-1)^{2}\right)\right) \\
T_{3} & =\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \frac{\binom{n}{j}\binom{j}{i} p^{n+i+j}}{\left((n+1)-p^{n}\right)\left((j+1)-p^{j}\right)\left((i+1)-p^{i}\right)} \\
& =\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \frac{\binom{n}{j}\binom{j}{i}}{i j n}+\frac{\binom{n}{j}\binom{j}{i}(i+j+n+3)}{i j n}(p-1)+O\left((p-1)^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Recombining the terms yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{n}(p)= & T_{1}+T_{2}+T_{3}+\cdots \\
= & \left(\frac{1}{n}+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\binom{n}{j}}{j}+\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \frac{\binom{n}{j}\binom{j}{i}}{i j n}\right) \\
& +\left(1+\frac{1}{n} \frac{\binom{n}{j}(j+n+2)}{j}+\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \frac{\binom{n}{j}\binom{j}{i}(i+j+n+3)}{i j n}\right)(p-1)+O\left((p-1)^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Further terms $T_{n}$ can be calculated in the same way-although it is very tedious. The other terms produce the same important properties. Our Taylor series expansion provides a key insight into the behavior of the sequence of moments $M_{n}(p)$, namely that the first term of the is consistently positive. This indicates a positive derivative, increasing with $n$, for every $M_{n}$. Combining this with properties discussed above yields pointwise convergence in the limit, $M_{n}(p) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Now for any $\epsilon>0$, there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $M_{N}(p)<\epsilon, \forall p \in[0,1]$.

When we consider the cumulants-to-moments formula,

$$
\kappa_{n}=M_{n}-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\binom{n-1}{j} \kappa_{n-j} M_{j}
$$

we can now guarantee the existence of an $N$ such that $M_{N}$ is small enough to produce a corresponding negative $\kappa_{N}$. It follows that $S$ is not infinitely divisible for any $p$.

Here we consider the scenario where $Y_{i}$ is distributed uniformly on particular subintervals of $[0,1]$. We begin by considering

$$
Y_{i} \sim U n i f[0,1-\epsilon]
$$

It is important to note here that since it has finite support, the non-infinite divisibility of this random variable is already known. We proceed here to demonstrate the method novel to this paper.

Theorem 3.3. The random variable $S$,

$$
S=Y_{1}+Y_{1} Y_{2}+Y_{1} Y_{2} Y_{3}+Y_{1} Y_{2} Y_{3} Y_{4}+\cdots
$$

with $Y_{i} \sim U n i f[0,1-\epsilon]$ is not infinitely divisible.

Proof. Recall that the moments of $Y_{i}$ follow the relation

$$
\mu_{n}=\frac{(1-\epsilon)^{n}}{1+n}
$$

To simplify notation, we will create the coefficient

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{n} & =\frac{\mu_{n}}{1-\mu_{n}} \\
a_{n} & =\frac{(1-\epsilon)^{n}}{(n+1)-(1-\epsilon)^{n}} \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

This demonstrates a remarkable similarity between $S$ as defined here and $S$ from the previous section (in which $Y_{i} \sim \operatorname{Unif}[0,1]$ with probability $p$ and 0 with probability $q)$. Setting $(1-\epsilon)=p$, the two distributions have identical moments $M_{n}$ for all $n$. It immediately follows that our $S$ with $Y_{i} \sim U n i f[0,1-\epsilon]$ is also not infinitely divisible for any $\epsilon>0$.

### 3.2 Beta Distributed Random Variables

In this chapter we will consider the infinite divisibility of the random variable $S$, $S=Y_{1}+Y_{1} Y_{2}+Y_{1} Y_{2} Y_{3}+Y_{1} Y_{2} Y_{3} Y_{4}+\cdots$ where each $Y_{i}$ follows a Beta distribution with $\beta>1, Y_{i} \sim \operatorname{Beta}[\alpha, \beta]$. For simplicity of calculation, we will consider $Y_{i} \sim \operatorname{Beta}[1, \beta]$ WLOG. Note that Penrose and Wade showed that $S$ is infinitely divisible for when $Y_{i} \sim \operatorname{Beta}[\alpha, 1]$ in [1].

Theorem 3.4. The random variable $S$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=Y_{1}+Y_{1} Y_{2}+Y_{1} Y_{2} Y_{3}+Y_{1} Y_{2} Y_{3} Y_{4}+\cdots \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $Y_{i} \sim \operatorname{Beta}[1, \beta], \beta>1$ is not infinitely divisible.
Proof. The moments for each of the $Y_{i}$ are defined by the relation

$$
\mu_{n}(\beta)=\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{1+j}{1+\beta+j}
$$

Which produces the coefficient for the sequence $M_{n}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{n}=\frac{\mu_{n}}{1-\mu_{n}} \\
& a_{n}=\frac{\beta!n!}{(\beta+n)!} . \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

As in previous sections, we apply (3.4) to determine the sequence of moments of $S$

$$
M_{n}(\beta)=a_{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\binom{n}{j} M_{j}(\beta)
$$

Now we have all moments in terms of $\beta$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{n}(\beta) & =\frac{\beta!n!}{(\beta+n)!}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\binom{n}{j}(\beta!)^{2} n!j!}{(\beta+n)!(\beta+j)!}+\sum_{j=2}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \frac{\binom{n}{j}\binom{j}{i} n!j!i!(\beta!)^{3}}{(\beta+n)!(\beta+j)!(\beta+i)!} \\
& +\sum_{a_{k}=k}^{n} \sum_{a_{k-1}=k-1}^{a_{k-1}} \cdots \sum_{a_{1}=1}^{a_{2}-1} \frac{\binom{n}{a_{k}}\binom{a_{k}}{a_{k-1}} \cdots\binom{a_{2}}{a_{1}} n!a_{k}!\cdots a_{1}!(\beta!)^{n}}{(\beta+n)!\left(\beta+a_{k}\right)!\cdots\left(\beta+a_{1}\right)!} \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Following the method established in the previous sections, we examine the first three terms in the sum,

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{1} & =\frac{\beta!n!}{(\beta+n)!} \\
T_{2} & =\frac{\binom{n}{j}(\beta!)^{2}}{(\beta+n)!(\beta+j)!} \\
T_{3} & =\frac{\binom{n}{j}\binom{j}{i} n!j!!!(\beta!)^{3}}{(\beta+n)!(\beta+j)!(\beta+i)!}
\end{aligned}
$$

And for each of the terms, we examine the Taylor series around $\beta=1$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{1} & =\frac{1}{(n+1)}+\frac{n!\left(\gamma-1+\psi^{(0)}(n+2)\right)}{n+1!}(\beta-1)+O\left((\beta-1)^{2}\right) \\
T_{2} & =\frac{\binom{n}{j} n!j!}{(j+1)(n+1)!} \\
& -\frac{\binom{n}{j} n!j!\left(j \psi^{(0)}(n+2)+2 \gamma j-2 j+\psi^{(0)}(n+2)+2 \gamma-1\right)}{(j+1)!(n+1)!}(\beta-1) \\
& +O\left((\beta-1)^{2}\right) \\
T_{3} & =\frac{\binom{n}{j}\binom{j}{i}}{(i+2)!(j+2)!(n+2)!} \\
& -\frac{\binom{n}{j}\binom{j}{i}\left(\psi^{(0)}(i+2)+\psi^{(0)}(j+2)+\psi^{(0)}(n+2)+3 \gamma-3\right)}{(i+2)!(j+2)!(n+2)!}(\beta-1) \\
& +O\left((\beta-1)^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we will try to classify the behavior of the first order terms in the series expansion of $M_{n}(\beta)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{d M_{n}(\beta)}{d \beta}\right|_{\beta=1} & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n!\left(\gamma-1+\psi^{(0)}(n+2)\right)}{n+1!} \\
& -\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\binom{n}{j} n!j!\left(j \psi^{(0)}(n+2)+2 \gamma j-2 j+\psi^{(0)}(n+2)+2 \gamma-1\right)}{(j+1)!(n+1)!} \\
& -\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \frac{\binom{n}{j}\binom{j}{i}\left(\psi^{(0)}(i+2)+\psi^{(0)}(j+2)+\psi^{(0)}(n+2)+3 \gamma-3\right)}{(i+2)!(j+2)!(n+2)!}
\end{aligned}
$$

We can see here again that the linear terms in the expansion around $\beta=1$ are negative and decreasing without bound and our sequence $M_{n}$ converges pointwise to 0 for any $\beta>1$ and the distribution defined this way is not infinitely divisible.

### 3.3 Bernoulli type variables

Here we continue the work of determining whether infinitesimally changed distributions preserve infinite divisibility under the random geometric progression.

Theorem 3.5. Let $X$ be a random variable with the Bernoulli distribution

$$
Y_{i}= \begin{cases}0 & p  \tag{3.16}\\ 1 & q\end{cases}
$$

the resulting geometric progression

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=Y_{1}+Y_{1} Y_{2}+Y_{1} Y_{2} Y_{3}+Y_{1} Y_{2} Y_{3} Y_{4}+\cdots \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

is infinitely divisible.

Proof. To show this, we let

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{\mathcal{S}}(\lambda) & =\mathbb{E}\left(e^{-\lambda \mathcal{S}}\right)=e^{-\lambda Y_{i}(1+\mathcal{S})}  \tag{3.18}\\
& =p+q e^{-\lambda} \mathbb{E}\left(e^{-\lambda \mathcal{S}}\right) \\
\phi_{\mathcal{S}}(\lambda) & =\frac{p}{1-q e^{-\lambda}} \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we can make the substitution $t=\ln \frac{1}{\lambda}$ and $f(\lambda)=\frac{1}{\lambda} \ln \left(\frac{1}{1-q e^{-\lambda}}\right)$ and 3.19) can be represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\mathcal{S}}(\lambda)=e^{-t+t f(\lambda)} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

We should note the representation in (3.20) determines that the distribution is infinitely divisible, see [7].

Qualitatively, one can inspect the scenario from (3.17) and (3.21) is a representation of the exponential distribution. It is known that the exponential distribution is infinitely divisible.

In the spirit of the paper, we will construct a random variable $Y_{i}$ that has a related but different distribution and determine whether the resulting geometric progression
is infinitely divisible.

Theorem 3.6. The random variable $S$,

$$
S=Y_{1}+Y_{1} Y_{2}+Y_{1} Y_{2} Y_{3}+Y_{1} Y_{2} Y_{3} Y_{4}+\cdots
$$

with $Y_{i}$ defined as

$$
Y_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
0 & p  \tag{3.21}\\
\frac{1}{2} & \epsilon \\
1 & q-\epsilon
\end{array}\right.
$$

is not infinitely divisible.

Proof. Here we see that, with probability $\epsilon$ each term in the sequence will be reduced by a factor of $\frac{1}{2}$. We begin with the method by computing the sequence of moments $\mu_{n}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{n} & =\epsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n}+(q-\epsilon)(1)^{n} \\
& =\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n}-1\right) \epsilon+q
\end{aligned}
$$

As before, we then compute our coefficient $a_{n}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{n} & =\frac{\mu_{n}}{1-\mu_{n}} \\
& =\frac{\left(2^{-n}-1\right) \epsilon+q}{-\left(2^{-n}-1\right) \epsilon-q+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we are able to compute the moments $M_{n}$ of the resulting geometric progression using (3.7).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{n}=a_{n}+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\binom{n}{j} a_{n} a_{j}+\sum_{j=2}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{j}\binom{n}{j}\binom{j}{i} a_{n} a_{j} a_{1} \\
& \quad+\cdots+\sum_{i_{k}=k}^{n} \sum_{i_{k-1}}^{i_{k}} \cdots \sum_{i_{1}}^{i_{2}}\binom{n}{i_{k}}\binom{i_{k}}{i_{k-1}} \cdots\binom{i_{2}}{i_{1}} a_{i_{1}} a_{i_{2}} \cdots a_{i_{k}} a_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{n} & =\frac{\left(2^{-n}-1\right) \epsilon+q}{-\left(2^{-n}-1\right) \epsilon-q+1} \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\binom{n}{j}\left(\left(2^{-j}-1\right) \epsilon+q\right)\left(\left(2^{-n}-1\right) \epsilon+q\right)}{\left(-\left(2^{-j}-1\right) \epsilon-q+1\right)\left(-\left(2^{-n}-1\right) \epsilon-q+1\right)} \\
& +\sum_{j=2}^{n-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{j} \frac{\binom{j}{i}\binom{n}{j}\left(\left(2^{-i}-1\right) \epsilon+q\right)\left(\left(2^{-j}-1\right) \epsilon+q\right)\left(\left(2^{-n}-1\right) \epsilon+q\right)}{\left(-\left(2^{-i}-1\right) \epsilon-q+1\right)\left(-\left(2^{-j}-1\right) \epsilon-q+1\right)\left(-\left(2^{-n}-1\right) \epsilon-q+1\right)}\right) \\
& +\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we split the summands into our terms $T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}, \ldots$

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{1} & =\frac{\left(2^{-n}-1\right) \epsilon+q}{-\left(2^{-n}-1\right) \epsilon-q+1} \\
T_{2} & =\frac{\binom{n}{j}\left(\left(2^{-j}-1\right) \epsilon+q\right)\left(\left(2^{-n}-1\right) \epsilon+q\right)}{\left(-\left(2^{-j}-1\right) \epsilon-q+1\right)\left(-\left(2^{-n}-1\right) \epsilon-q+1\right)} \\
T_{3} & =\frac{\binom{j}{i}\binom{n}{j}\left(\left(2^{-i}-1\right) \epsilon+q\right)\left(\left(2^{-j}-1\right) \epsilon+q\right)\left(\left(2^{-n}-1\right) \epsilon+q\right)}{\left(-\left(2^{-i}-1\right) \epsilon-q+1\right)\left(-\left(2^{-j}-1\right) \epsilon-q+1\right)\left(-\left(2^{-n}-1\right) \epsilon-q+1\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and perform a Taylor expansion about the point $\epsilon=\frac{1}{3}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{1}=-\frac{9\left(2^{n}\left(2^{n}-1\right)\right)\left(\epsilon-\frac{1}{3}\right)}{\left(32^{n} q-2^{n+2}+1\right)^{2}}-\frac{32^{n} q-2^{n}+1}{32^{n} q-2^{n+2}+1}+O\left(\left(\epsilon-\frac{1}{3}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& T_{2}= \\
& T_{3}= \\
& \quad \vdots
\end{aligned}
$$

The terms $T_{2}$ and $T_{3}$ are too large to conveniently fit onto the page, they are listed in the appendix in section A. 1

When we combine the first order terms, we can determine $\frac{d}{d \epsilon} M_{n}$ at $\epsilon=0$ The first order terms of the series expansion are all negative (the terms are listed in the appendix in section A.2 and decreasing without bound for all $n>1$, hence $M_{n}$ converges pointwise to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $\epsilon>\frac{1}{3}$.

## CHAPTER 4: CONCLUSIONS AND FURTHER WORK

The method described in the paper is novel, and there are many possible applications and improvements. Notably, the method currently is only able to prove the negative with regard to infinite divisibility. It is only able to determine cases where the adjustment of a parameter causes moments to converge pointwise to zero on some interval of the domain of the function. There are scenarios indicated by (3.5) where the growth of $M_{n}$ is slow enough to become smaller in magnitude than sum of the subtracted product of cumulants and moments. The method presented in this paper is not able to determine infinite divisibility in such cases. The dynamics of the moments to cumulant equation

$$
\kappa_{n}=M_{n}-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\binom{n-1}{j} \kappa_{n-j} M_{j}
$$

suggest that there may be a method similar to the one presented here.
There is also significant work left to be done to improve the method with respect to its application to discrete random variables. It seems reasonable to think the result in Theorem 3.6 could be expanded to discrete random variables with arbitrarily many divisions of $[0,1]$.

## CHAPTER A: APPENDIX

## A. 1 Bernoulli Type Variables 1

The terms $T_{2}$ and $T_{3}$ from section 3.3 are presented on the following page.

## A. 2 Bernoulli Type Variables 2

The first order terms from the Taylor series in section 3.3 are listed on the following page.

For clarity, a plot of the function is on the following page.

Figure A.1: The linear terms in the expansion of $M_{n}$ about $\epsilon=0$
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