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#### Abstract

CONSTANTINE STEINBERG. Continue, Quit, Restart Probability Model and Related Problems. (Under the direction of DR. ISAAC SONIN )


We discuss a new class of applied probability models. There is a system whose evolution is described by a Markov chain with known transition matrix in a discrete state space. At each moment of a discrete time a decision maker can apply one of three possible actions: to continue, to quit, or to restart Markov chain to the "restarting point." Where restarting point is a fixed state of the Markov chain. The decision maker is earning a reward (fee), which is the function of the state and chosen action. The goal for the decision maker is to maximize expected total discounted reward on an infinite time horizon.

Such model is a generalization of a model of Katehakis and Veinott, Katehakis and Veinott [1987], where restart to a unique point is allowed without any fee, and quit action is absent. Both models are related to Gittins index and another index defined in a Whittle family of stopping retirement problems. We propose a transparent recursive finite algorithm to find an optimal strategy in $O\left(n^{3}\right)$ operations.
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## CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

Our main goal is to study the new applied probability model and develop a recursive algorithm for its solution. This model is a special case of a general Markov Decision Process (MDP) model, while it is essentially more general than the Optimal Stopping (OS) model. The main definition from MDP are given in Chapter 1.1, for further details we direct the reader to, e.g., Feinberg and Shwartz [2002] or Puterman [2005].

The general finite MDP model is defined by a tuple $M=(X, A(x), P, r(x \mid a), \beta)$, where $X$ is a finite state space, $A(x)$ is a finite set of actions available at point $x \in X, P=\{p(x, y \mid a)\}$ is a stochastic matrix, describing transitions of a system if an action $a \in A(x)$ is selected at state $x$, and $r(x \mid a)$ is a reward obtained at $x$ if $a$ is applied.

The goal of a decision maker (DM) is to maximize the total expected discounted reward on an infinite time horizon, or to average an expected reward or some other criterium. In the OS model, the set $A(x)$ consists of two actions: continue and stop (quit).

In our model a decision maker (DM) can apply one of three possible actions-continue, when system continues its evolution as Markov chain (MC); quit when dynamics is stopped forever and a terminal reward is obtained; and restart, when a system continues its dynamics from one of finite number of fixed "restarting" states. If there are $m>1$ restarting states, then the last restart action consists in fact from $m$ distinct actions. Each action is accompanied by a corresponding fee (reward), which can be positive or negative and depends on the state of a system where this action was taken. We consider the case when the goal of DM is to maximize the total expected reward on an infinite time horizon. For sake of simplicity we call this model Continue-Quit-Restart (CQR) model. CQR model is also a generalization of a model in Sonin [2008], which in turn is a natural generalization of a model of Katehakis and Veinott [1987], where a DM has two options to continue, or to restart to a unique point with zero fee for a restart. Our model is also related to such important notion as Gittins index and its generalizations. We will elaborate on this later.

Formally, a general CQR model is specified by a tuple

$$
M=\left(X, B, P, A(x), c(x), q(x), r_{i}(x), i=1,2, \ldots, m, \beta\right),
$$

where $X$ is a finite (countable) state space, $B=\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m}\right\}$ is a fixed subset of $X$, and $P=\{p(x, y)\}$ is a stochastic matrix. At each state $x$ a set of available actions $A(x)=\left\{c, q, r_{j}, j=1, . ., m\right\}$ is given. A reward function $r(x \mid a)$, with $x \in X, a \in A(x)$, is specified by particular functions $c(x), q(x)$, and $r_{i}(x), i=1,2, \ldots, m$. If an action $c$, continue, is selected, then $r(x \mid c)=c(x)$, and transition to a new state occurs according to transition probabilities $p(x, y)$. If an action $q$, quit, is selected, then $r(x \mid q)=q(x)$, and transition to an absorbing state $e$ occurs with probability one. If an action $r_{i}$, restart to state $s_{i}$, is selected, then $r\left(x \mid r_{i}\right)=r_{i}(x)$, and transition to a state $s_{i}$ occurs with probability one. Coefficient $\beta$ is a discount factor, $\beta \leq 1$. Later we consider a more general case of a variable discount $\beta(x)$.

As in Katehakis and Veinott model, it is convenient to assume that after restart a new "cycle" starts instantly at the moment of restart. So, at the moment of restart to $s_{i}$ from some point $x$, an action is also chosen at $s_{i}$, a transition according to this action occurs, and a corresponding extra reward $c\left(s_{i}\right), q\left(s_{i}\right)$, or $r_{j}\left(s_{i}\right)$ is obtained. Here we consider the the case when $m=1$, i.e., there is only one restart point.

We denote by $v(x)$ the value function in this model, i.e., sup of the total expected discounted reward on an infinite time horizon with an initial point $x$ over all possible strategies. We assume that the value functions $v(x)<\infty$ for all $x \in X$. In this case, a general theory of MDP models implies that it is sufficient to consider only the nonrandomized stationary strategies. Such strategies can be defined by a partition of a state space into three disjoint sets, 3-partitions, where each of these sets specifies a particular action which is applied when MC hits this set. An optimal partition exists and is uniformly optimal, i.e., optimal for all initial points.

Our main goal is to construct an algorithm to find an optimal strategy (partition) and the value function for the CQR model.

We will extensively use the results and methods for a particular case of CQR and MDP models, a well-known Optimal Stopping (OS) model. In this model, an action set at each point consists of only two actions: $A(x)=\{c, q\}$, namely, continue and quit (usually called stop). We also have a one step reward (cost) function $r(x \mid c)=c(x)$, and a terminal reward function $r(x \mid q)=q(x)$; both functions are defined on $X$. The value function $v(x)$ for an OS model is defined as $v(x)=$ $\sup _{\tau \geq 0} E_{x}\left[\sum_{i=0}^{\tau-1} \beta^{i} c\left(Z_{i}\right)+\beta^{\tau} q\left(Z_{\tau}\right)\right]$, where the sup is taken over all stopping times $\tau, \tau \leq \infty$, and $\beta$ is a discount factor, $\beta \leq 1$. If $\tau=\infty$ with positive probability, we assume that $q\left(Z_{\infty}\right)=0$. It is well known that function $v$ is a minimal solution of the corresponding Bellman equation, which has a form $v=\max (q, c+\beta P v)$, where $P f(x)=\sum p(x, y) f(y)$. Denote by $S$ the set $S=\{x: v(x)=q(x)\}$. If the state space $X$ is finite, then the random time $\tau_{0}=\min \left\{n \geq 0: Z_{n} \in S\right\}$ is an optimal stopping
time. The set $S$ is called the optimal stopping set. We are going to extensively use the so-called State Elimination (SE) algorithm to solve OS problems, this algorithm was developed by one of the authors, see Sonin [1999a,b, 2006].

For remainder of the work we employ the notation of a Reward Model to describe the stopping model without termination reward, i.e. with $q(x)=-\infty$ for all $x$.

Under the assumption that there is only one point of restart, $m=1$, we distinguish three situations, each of them is a special case of the next one

- CQR model with no quit action, free restart $q=-\infty, r=0$,
- CQR model with no quit action allowed, $q=-\infty, r<\infty$,
- CQR model, $q<\infty$ and $r<\infty$.

The first case with no quit and free restart (it coincides with Katehakis-Veinott model, which is defined later), is a direct generalization of a classical Gittins index, and is described in Sonin [2008]. The algorithm solving CQR model, can also solve other cases, but it is substantially more complicated than its version to solve CQR model with not quit. Therefore, to make our ideas clear, we prefer to present the algorithm in two steps: solution for case with no quit action, and, separately, solution for case with quit action.

### 1.1 Markov Decision Processes

The goal of this section is to provide main definitions and facts from general theory of the Markov Decision Processes (MDP), used in this text.

MDP is defined through the following objects

- a state space $X$;
- an action space $A$;
- sets $A(x)$ of available actions at states $x \in A$;
- transition probabilities, denoted by $p(Y \mid x, a)$;
- reward functions $r(x, a)$ denoting the one step reward using action $a$ in state $x$.

The meaning of these objects as follows. The state space defines possible states of underlying stochastic system. Given state $x \in X$, the decision maker (DM) can select an action from the set of available actions $A(x)$. After an action $a$ is selected, the system moves to the next state according to the probability distribution $p(\cdot \mid x, a)$ and the decision maker collects one step reward $r(x, a)$.

An MDP is called finite if the state and the action sets are finite. An MDP is called discrete if both state and action sets are finite or countable. From now on we only consider finite and discrete MDPs.

For a discrete state space $X$ we use letters $x, y$ and also $i, j, k$ to denote states. Transition probabilities are denoted as $p(x, y), p_{i j}, p(x, y \mid a)$, or $p(y \mid x, a)$. Unless mentioned otherwise, we always assume $p(X \mid x, a)=1$.

The time parameter is usually denoted by $n, t$, or $s \in \mathbb{N}$. The trajectory is a sequence $x_{0} a_{0} x_{1} a_{1} \ldots$ The set of all trajectories is $H_{\infty}=(X \times A)^{\infty}$. A trajectory of length $n$ is called a history, and denoted by $h_{n}=x_{0} a_{0} \ldots x_{n-1} a_{n-1} x_{n}$. Let $H_{n}=X \times(A \times X)^{n}$ be the space of histories up to epoch $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

A non-randomized policy $\pi$ is a sequence of mappings $\pi_{n}, n \in N$, from $H_{n}$ to $A$ such that $\pi_{n}\left(H_{n}\right) \in A\left(x_{n}\right)$. If for each $n$ this mapping depends only on $x_{n}$, then the policy $\pi$ is called Markov. A Markov policy is called stationary if $\pi_{n}$ do not depend on $n$. A stationary policy is therefore defined by a single mapping $\pi: X \rightarrow A$.

The evolution rule for the stochastic process with policy $\pi$ is as follows. If at time $n$ the process is in state $x$, having followed the history $h_{n}$, then the action is chosen (perhaps randomly) according to the policy $\pi$. If action $a$ ensued, then at time $n+1$ the process will be in the state $y$ with probability $p(y \mid x, a)$.

We denote by $\Pi, \Pi^{M}$, and $\Pi^{S}$ the sets of all non-randomized, Markov, and stationary policies.
A randomized policy $\pi$ is a sequence of transition probabilities $\pi_{n}\left(a_{n} \mid h_{n}\right)$ from $H_{n}$ to $A, n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\pi_{n}\left(A\left(x_{n}\right) \mid h_{n}\right)=1$. We denote by $\Pi^{R}$, $\Pi^{R M}$, and $\Pi^{R S}$ the sets of all randomized, randomized Markov, and randomized stationary policies respectively.

Given an initial state $x$ and policy $\pi$, the evolution rule described above defines all finitedimensional distributions $x_{0}, a_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Kolmogorov's extension theorem guarantees that any initial state $x$ and any policy $\pi$ define a stochastic sequence $x_{0} a_{0} x_{1} a_{1} \ldots$ We denote by $\mathbb{P}_{x}^{\pi}$ and $\mathbb{E}_{x}^{\pi}$ respectively the probabilities and expectations related to this stochastic sequence; $\mathbb{P}_{x}^{\pi}\left\{x_{0}=x\right\}=$ 1.

Let $f$ be the terminal reward function and $\beta$ be the discount factor. We denote by $v_{N}(x, \pi, \beta, f)$ the expected total reward over the first $N$ steps, $N \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$
v_{N}(x, \pi, \beta, f)=E_{x}^{\pi}\left[\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \beta^{n} r\left(x_{n}, a_{n}\right)+\beta^{N}\right]
$$

whenever this expectation is well-defined.

The expected total reward over an infinite horizon is

$$
v(x, \pi)=v(x, \pi, \beta)=v_{\infty}(x, \pi, \beta, 0) .
$$

If the reward function $r$ is bounded either from above or from below, the expected total rewards over the infinite horizon are well-defined when $\beta \in[0,1)$.

If a performance measure $g(x, \pi)$ is defined for all policies, we denote

$$
G(x)=\sup _{\pi \in \Pi^{R}} g(x, \pi) .
$$

In terms of the performance measures defined above, this yields the values

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{N}(x, \beta, f) \triangleq \sup _{\pi \in \Pi^{R}} v_{N}(x, \pi, \beta, f), \\
& V(x)=V(x, \beta) \triangleq \sup _{\pi \in \Pi^{R}} v(x, \pi, \beta) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The main result we use from general theory of MDP is given in many textbooks, for example, in Corollary 2.3 of Feinberg and Shwartz [2002]: if for value function, defined as expected total reward over infinite horizon, there exists nonrandomized stationary optimal policy.

Therefore, from this point, we consider only nonrandomized stationary policies.

## CHAPTER 2: OPTIMAL STOPPING OF MCS AND STATE REDUCTION ALGORITHM

Optimal stopping model lacks the restart action as an MDP model, however, as it will be shown later, it is an essential tool for finding the value function in an MDP model.

### 2.1 Classical and Generalized Gittins, Kathehakis-Veinott, and $w$ Indices

In this section we discuss the relationship of CQR model, and its versions with no quit, or free restart, to the classical problems and indices. This material is a brief, revised text from Sonin [2008].

Traditionally, the most well-known and the most studied is the model related to the classical Gittins index, $\gamma(x)$. This index plays an important role in the theory of Multi-armed bandit problems with independent arms. It also naturally appears in many other problems of stochastic optimization.

Let us recall some useful facts related to Gittins index. Given a reward model $M=(X, P, c(x), \beta)$, $\beta=$ const, $0<\beta<1$, and point $s \in X, \gamma(x)$, is defined as the maximum of the expected discounted total reward on the interval $[0, \tau)$ per unit of expected discounted time for the Markov chain starting from $x$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma(x)=\sup _{\tau>0} \frac{E_{x} \sum_{n=0}^{\tau-1} \beta^{n} c\left(Z_{n}\right)}{E_{x} \sum_{n=0}^{\tau-1} \beta^{n}}=(1-\beta) \sup _{\tau>0} \frac{E_{x} \sum_{n=0}^{\tau-1} \beta^{n} c\left(Z_{n}\right)}{1-E_{x} \beta^{\tau}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<\beta<1, \tau$ is a stopping time, $\tau>0$. Here we used trivial equality $(1-\beta) \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \beta^{n}=1-\beta^{k}$. Without loss of generality we consider only stopping times-the moments of a first visit to a certain set $G \subset X, x \notin G$.

An interesting interpretation of the Gittins index, the so-called Restart in State interpretation, was given in Katehakis and Veinott [1987]. Given a reward model $M=(X, P, c(x), \beta)$, let us consider a family of Markov Decision models indexed by a fixed initial point $s \in X$, where a set of actions $A(x)$ has two actions - either to continue, or to restart to $s$ and continue from there. In other words, MC $\left(Z_{n}\right)$ starting from a point $s$ can be restarted after a positive stopping time $\tau>0$, and returned to the same point $s$, and so on.

Let $h(x \mid s)$ denote the supremum over all strategies of the expected total reward on the infinite time interval in this model with an initial point $x$, and restart point $s$. Using the standard results of Markov Decision Processes theory, Katehakis and Veinott proved that function $h(x \mid s)$ satisfies the
equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x \mid s)=\sup _{\tau>0} E_{x}\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\tau-1} \beta^{n} c\left(Z_{n}\right)+\beta^{\tau} h(s)\right], \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that $\gamma(s)=(1-\beta) h(s)$, where $h(s)=h(s \mid s)$ by definition. We refer to this model as Katehakis and Veinott model and to an index $h(s)$ as Katehakis and Veinott index.

Another important interpretation of the Gittins index, the so-called Retirement Process formulation, was provided in Whittle [1980]. Given a reward model $M=(X, P, c(x), \beta), 0<\beta<1$, he introduced the parametric family of OS models $M(k)=(X, P, c(x), k, \beta)$, where parameter $k$ is a real number, and the terminal reward function $q(x)=k$ for all $x \in X$. Denote by $v(x, k)$ the value function for such model, i.e., $v(x, k)=\sup _{\tau \geq 0} E_{x},\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\tau-1} \beta^{n} c\left(Z_{n}\right)+\beta^{\tau} k\right]$; denote $w(x)=\inf \{k: v(x, k)=k\}$. Since $\beta<1$, it is optimal to stop immediately for sufficiently large $k$ and $v(x, k)=k$. Thus $w(x)<\infty$. The results of Whittle imply that $v(x, k)=k$ for $k \geq w(x), v(x, k)>k$ for $k<w(x)$, and $w(x)=h(x)$. Since Whittle index is a term used in literature for the other index we will use the term index $w(x)$. For sake of brevity, instead of a parametric family of OS models we shall say just Whittle model $M(k)$.

Combined with the results of Katehakis and Veinott, the last equality implies that $\gamma(x)=(1-\beta)$ $h(x)=(1-\beta) w(x)$. In Theorem 3 we will prove the equality $h(x)=w(x)=\alpha(x)$, where $\alpha(x)$ is an index generalizing $\gamma(x)$ in a more general setting.

To describe this more general setting, let us make the following almost trivial, but important remark. As usual in MDP theory, the optimizations problems with an explicit discount factor $\beta$, such as described above for CQR or OS models, are equivalent to problems where a state space is complemented by an absorbing point $e$, and new transition probabilities are defined as follows: for any state $y \neq e$ the probability of entering an absorbing point $e$ in one step (probability of termination) is equal to $1-\beta$, and all other initial transition probabilities are multiplied by $\beta$. In other words, $\beta$ is the probability of "survival". To implement our algorithm it is convenient to consider more general case with the variable discount factor $\beta(x), x \in X$. Such assumption is quite natural in many problems, e.g., in replacement models, where states represent the possible condition of a machine. But the main reason lies in the fact that to apply the SE algorithm we need possibly variable discount factor. Therefore, from now on, for every model we assume that the state space $X$ contains an absorbing point $e$, with $p(e, e)=1$. Function $\beta(x)$ is the probability of "survival" at point $x$, so $1-\beta(x)=p(x, e)$. Strictly speaking, function $\beta(x)$ is completely specified by a new transition matrix $P$. However, to stress the presence of $e$ and $\beta(x)$, we sometimes include $\beta(x)$ in the tuple $M$. Correspondingly, the notation $E_{x}, P_{x}$, and $\left(Z_{n}\right)$ refers to such model, and now survival
probabilities $\beta(\cdot)$ are automatically included under the signs $P_{x}$ and $E_{x}$. The Bellman equation now has a form $v=\max (q, c+P v)$. We also assume that $c(e)=0$, and, without loss of generality, that $r(s)=0$ in CQR model. We remind that restart action is in fact a pair of actions: restart to $s$, and make one more step at $s$.

CQR model with no quit and free restart is nothing else than three models described above where constant discount factor $\beta$ is replaced by a variable survival probability (discount) $\beta(x)$. In this case, models and results of Katehakis and Veinott and Whittle, almost do not need any adjustments. Given a reward model with termination $M=(X, P, c(x), \beta(x))$, we again consider a family of Markov Decision models indexed by a fixed initial point $x \in X$. We again define $h(x)$ as the value function in a restart in $x$ problem with an initial point $x$, i.e., $h(x)=h(x \mid x)$.

Similarly, we define index $w(x)=\inf \{k: v(x, k)=k\}$, where $v(x, k)$ is a value function in the (generalized) Whittle model $M(k)=(X, P, c(x), \beta(x), k)$. In this model we assume that $g(x)=k$ for $x \neq e ; c(e)=q(e)=0$.

However, we can not replace $\beta$ by $\beta(x)$ or by $\beta\left(Z_{n}\right)$ in the Gittins index in (2.1). As a result, the, classical Gittins index $\gamma(x)$ was replaced by a generalized Gittins Index in Sonin [2008] as follows.

In the presence of an absorbing state $e$ and subset $G \subset X$, for $x \notin G$, the numerator in (2.1) equals to $E_{x} \sum_{n=0}^{\tau-1} c\left(Z_{n}\right)$, where $\tau=\min \left(n: Z_{n} \in G \cup e\right)$. Such equality holds independently of whether $\beta(x)$ is a constant or variable. Let us denote this numerator by $R^{\tau}(x)$. In the presence of an absorbing state $e$, and when $\beta=$ const, the denominator in the last expression in (2.1) equals to $P_{x}\left(Z_{\tau}=e\right)$. In the general case, when $\beta(x)$ can be variable, we denote $P_{x}\left(Z_{\tau}=e\right)$ by $Q^{\tau}(x)$, which is the probability of termination on $[0, \tau)$. We define the generalized Gittins index, $\alpha(x)$, for the model with termination as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(x)=\sup _{\tau>0} \frac{R^{\tau}(x)}{Q^{\tau}(x)}, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., $\alpha(x)$ is the maximum discounted total reward per chance of termination. In fact, similar form of an index was used in Tsitsiklis [1994], and earlier by Denardo et al. [2004], and by Mitten [1960]. Note that if $\beta(x)$ is a constant $\beta$, then the denominator in the second equality in (2.1) coincides with $Q^{\tau}(x)$, and, therefore, in this case $\gamma(x)=(1-\beta) \alpha(x)$.

Theorem 2.1 (Sonin [2008]). The three indices defined for a reward model with termination $M=$ $(X, P, c(x), \beta(x))$ coincide, i.e., $\alpha(x)=h(x)=w(x)$.

This theorem was proved using the specifics of these three models. Later, Sonin [2011] proved this theorem as a special case of a general equality, presented in Section 4. As a result of Theorem 1, any of three problems can be used as a basis to calculate $\alpha(x)$. Because the problem of calculation
$v(x, k)$ for a particular $k$ can be reduced to solving stopping problems using the State Elimination algorithm, we find the Whittle family of OS models $M(k)$ the most convenient. The corresponding algorithm, described in Sonin [2008], sequentially calculates the index $\alpha(x)$ for all points $x \in X$ in an order that is not known in advance. If, for a finite set $X$, the goal is to find $\alpha(s)$ for a particular $s$, then we know only that $\alpha(s)$ will be obtained at some stage. We also can apply this algorithm to some cases of countable $X$.

In our subsequent presentation the starting point is Katehakis and Veinott model, whereas Whittle OS family is the main tool for its solution.

### 2.2 The State Reduction (SR) Approach

The State Reduction (SR) Approach is a relatively new method to recursively calculate many important characteristics of MCs.

Let us assume that a Markov model $M_{1}=\left(X_{1}, P_{1}\right)$ is given, let $D \subset X_{1}, S=X_{1} \backslash D$. Then the matrix $P_{1}=\left\{p_{1}(x, y)\right\}$ can be decomposed as follows

$$
P_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
Q & T  \tag{2.4}\\
R & P_{10}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where the substochastic matrix $Q$ describes the transitions inside of $D, P_{10}$ describes the transitions inside of $S$, and so on. Let us introduce the sequence of Markov times $\tau_{0}, \tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{n}, \ldots$, the moments of zero, first, and so on, return of $\left(Z_{n}\right)$ to the set $S$. I.e., $\tau_{0}=0, \tau_{n+1}=\min \left\{k>\tau_{n}, Z_{k} \in S\right\}$. Let us consider the random sequence $Y_{n}=Z_{\tau_{n}}, n=0,1,2, \ldots$. The strong Markov property and standard probabilistic reasoning imply the following basic lemma of the SR approach, which probably should be credited to Kolmogorov and Doeblin.

Lemma 2.2. (a) The random sequence $\left(Y_{n}\right)$ is a Markov chain in model $M_{2}=\left(X_{2}, P_{2}\right)$, where $X_{2}=X_{1} \backslash D$, and
(b) the transition matrix $P_{2}=\left\{p_{2}(x, y), x, y \in S\right\}$ is given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{2}=P_{10}+R U=P_{10}+R N T . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this formula $U$ is a matrix of the distribution of the MC at the moment of first return to $S$, and $N$ is the fundamental matrix for the substochastic matrix $Q$, i.e., $N=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q^{n}=(I-Q)^{-1}$, where $I$ is the $|D| \times|D|$ identity matrix. This representation is given, for example, in the classical text of Kemeny et al. [1976]. We call models $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ adjacent. An important case is when the
set $D$ consists of one nonabsorbing point $z$. In this case formula (2.5) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{2}(x, \cdot)=p_{1}(x, \cdot)+p_{1}(x, z) n_{1}(z) p_{1}(z, \cdot) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{1}(z)=1 /\left(1-p_{1}(z, z)\right)$. According to this formula, each row-vector of the new stochastic matrix $P_{2}$ is a linear combination of two rows of $P_{1}$ (with the column $z$ deleted). Formally, this transformation corresponds to one step of the Gaussian elimination method.

Described above matrix $N=\{n(x, y), x, y \in D\}$, a fundamental matrix for the transient MC with transition matrix $Q$, has the following well-known probabilistic interpretation: $n(x, y)=$ $E_{x} \sum_{n=0}^{\tau_{S}} I_{y}\left(Z_{n}\right)$. Here $\tau_{S}$ is the moment of the first visit to $S, \tau_{S}=\min \left(n>0: Z_{n} \in S\right)$ (moment of first exit from $D$ ), i.e., the expected number of visits to $y$ starting from $x$ till $\tau_{S}$. The matrix $N$ also satisfies the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=I+Q N=I+N Q \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If an initial Markov model $M_{1}=\left(X_{1}, P_{1}\right)$ is finite, $\left|X_{1}\right|=k$, and only one point is eliminated at each time, then a sequence of stochastic matrices $\left(P_{n}\right), n=2, \ldots, k$ can be calculated recursively on the basis of formula (2.6). Generally, a set of points $D$ can be eliminated using formula (2.5). In both cases such sequence of stochastic matrices provides an opportunity to recursively calculate many characteristics of the initial Markov model $M_{1}$ starting from some reduced model $M_{s}, 1<s \leq k$. This approach was initiated by papers Grassmann et al. [1985] and Sheskin [1985], where the so-called GTH/S algorithm to calculate the invariant distribution for an ergodic Markov chain was obtained. The recursive calculation of the second fundamental matrix for the ergodic MC was described in Sonin and Thornton [2001].

### 2.2.1 Transformation of the cost function

Let us also introduce a transformation of the cost function $c_{1}(x)$ (or any function $f(x)$ ) defined on $X_{1}$ into the cost function $c_{2}(x)$ defined on $X_{2}=S$, under the transition from model $M_{1}$ to model $M_{2}$.

Given the set $D, D \subset X_{1}$, let $\tau$ be the moment of the first return to $X_{2}$, i.e., $\tau=\min \left(n \geq 1, Z_{n} \in\right.$ $\left.X_{2}\right)$. Then, given the function $c_{1}(x)$ defined for $x \in X_{1}$, let us define function $c_{2}(x)$ on $x \in X_{2}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{2}(x)=E_{x} \sum_{n=0}^{\tau-1} c_{1}\left(Z_{n}\right)=c_{1}(x)+\sum_{z \in D} p_{1}(x, z) \sum_{w \in D} n(z, w) c_{1}(w) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, the new function $c_{2}(x)$ represents the expected cost (reward) gained by a MC starting from point $x \in X_{2}$ up to the moment of first return to $X_{2}$. For a function $f(x)$ defined on a set $X_{1}$ and a set $B \subset X_{1}$ denote by $f_{B}$ the column-vector function reduced to a set $B$. Then formula (2.8) can be written in a matrix form as

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{2}=c_{1, X_{2}}+R N c_{1, D} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the set $D=\{z\}$, then the function $c_{1}(x)$ is transformed as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{2}(x)=c_{1}(x)+p_{1}(x, z) n_{1}(z) c_{1}(z), \quad x \in X_{2} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.3. This formula was used first in Sheskin [1999] in the context of MDP.

### 2.2.2 Relation between $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$

Now we present some useful formulas explaining how operators $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$, and related operators act on functions in two adjacent models. We denote $F_{i} f(\cdot)=c_{i}+P_{i} f(\cdot)$, and $G_{i} f(\cdot)=f(\cdot)-\left(c_{i}+\right.$ $\left.P_{i} f(\cdot)\right)$. This lemma was not described in the original version of SE algorithm, and was proved in Sonin [2006].

Lemma 2.4. Let $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ be two adjacent models with state spaces $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}=X_{1} \backslash D$, where $D \subseteq X_{1}, P_{i}$, and $F_{i}, i=1,2$ be the corresponding averaging and reward operators, where functions $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are related by (2.9), matrices $R, T$ are as in (2.4) and matrix $N$ is a fundamental matrix for $Q$. Let $f$ be the function defined on $X_{1}$. Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{X_{2}}-P_{2} f_{X_{2}}=\left(f-P_{1} f\right)_{X_{2}}+R N\left(f-P_{1} f\right)_{D}  \tag{2.11}\\
f_{D}=N\left[T f_{X_{2}}+\left(f-P_{1} f\right)_{D}\right] \tag{2.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

The formula similar to (2.11) holds if operators $P_{i}$ are replaced by operators $F_{i}$ and $G_{i}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{2} f_{X_{2}}=\left(G_{1} f\right)_{X_{2}}+R N\left(G_{1} f\right)_{D} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

If set $D=\{z\}$, these formulas take the form $\left(x \in X_{2}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)-P_{2} f(x)=f(x)-P_{1} f(x)+p_{1}(x, z) n_{1}(z)\left(f(z)-P_{1} f(z)\right) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=n_{1}(z)\left(\sum_{y \in X_{2}} p_{1}(z, y) f(y)+f(z)-P_{1} f(z)\right) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{2} f(x)=G_{1} f(x)+p_{1}(x, z) n_{1}(z) G_{1} f(z) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.2.3 The State Elimination Algorithm for optimal stopping of Markov chain

We consider here only the finite state space, though the method with some modifications can also be used in a countable state space. The State Elimination (SE) algorithm for the optimal stopping problem of an MC is based on three following facts.

Fact 2.5. Though in the optimal stopping problem it may be difficult to find the states where it is optimal to stop, it is easy to find a state (states) where it is optimal not to stop. In reality, it is optimal to stop at $z$ if $q(z) \geq c(z)+P v(z) \equiv F v(z)$, but $v$ is unknown until the problem is solved. On the other side, it is optimal not to stop at $z$ if $q(z)<F q(z)$, i.e., the expected reward of doing one more step, then stopping, is larger than the reward from stopping. (Generally, it is optimal not to stop at any state where the expected reward of doing some, perhaps random number of steps, is larger than the reward from stopping).

Fact 2.6. After we have found states (state) that are not in the optimal stopping set, we can eliminate them and recalculate the transition matrix using (2.6), if one state is eliminated, or (2.5), if a larger subset of the state space is eliminated. Such transformation will keep the distributions at the moments of visits to any subset of remaining states the same, and the excluded states do not matter since it is not optimal to stop there. After that, in the reduced model we can repeat the first step and so on.

Fact 2.7. Finally, though if $q(z) \geq F q(z)$ at a particular state $z$, we cannot make a conclusion about whether this state belongs to the stopping set or not, but if this inequality is true for all states in the state space, then we have the following simple statement

Proposition 2.8. Let $M=(X, P, q)$ be an optimal stopping problem, and $q(x) \geq F q(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Then $X$ is the optimal stopping set in the problem $M$, and $v(x)=q(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

The formal justification of the transition from the initial model $M_{1}$ to the reduced model $M_{2}$ is given by Theorem 2.9 below. This theorem was formulated in Sonin [1995] and its proof was given in Sonin [1999a] for the case when $c(x)=0$ for all $x$.

```
Algorithm 2.1 State Elimination (SE) Algorithm
    Input: optimal stopping model \(M(X, P, c, q)\)
    Output: optimal stopping set \(S^{*}\), value function \(v(x)\)
    Assumption: optimal stopping set \(S^{*}=\{x: v(x)=q(x)\}\) does exists
    \(k \leftarrow 1\)
    \(\left(X_{k}, P_{k}, c_{k}, q_{k}\right) \leftarrow M(X, P, c, q)\)
    while \(\exists x: q(x)-P_{k} q(x)<0\) do
        \(k \leftarrow k+1\)
        \(D_{k} \leftarrow\left\{x: q(x)<P_{k-1} q(x)\right\}\)
        \(X_{k} \leftarrow X_{k-1} \backslash D_{k}\)
        \(\left(P_{k}, c_{k}\right) \leftarrow\) apply formulas (2.5), (2.9) to ( \(P_{k-1}, c_{k-1}\) ) by eliminating \(D_{k}\)
        \(q_{k} \leftarrow\) remove states \(D_{k}\) from \(q_{k-1}\)
    end while
    \(S^{*} \leftarrow X_{k}\)
    \(v(x) \leftarrow q(x)\) for \(x \in S^{*}\)
    \(v(x) \leftarrow\) apply formula (2.17) for \(x \in X \backslash S^{*}\)
```

Theorem 2.9 (Elimination theorem). Let $M_{1}=\left(X_{1}, P_{1}, c_{1}, q\right)$ be an OS model, $D \subseteq C_{1}=\{z \in$ $\left.X_{1}: q(z)<F_{1} q(z)\right\}$. Consider an OS model $M_{2}=\left(X_{2}, P_{2}, c_{2}, q\right)$ with $X_{2}=X_{1} \backslash D, p_{2}(x, y)$ defined by (2.5), and $c_{2}$ is defined by (2.9). Let $S$ be the optimal stopping set in $M_{2}$. Then

1. $S$ is also the optimal stopping set in $M_{1}$, and
2. $v_{1}(x)=v_{2}(x) \equiv v(x)$ for all $x \in X_{2}$, and for all $z \in D$

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1}(z)=E_{1, z}\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\tau-1} c_{1}\left(Z_{n}\right)+v\left(Z_{\tau}\right)\right]=\sum_{w \in D} n_{1}(z, w) c_{1}(w)+\sum_{y \in X_{2}} u_{1}(z, y) v(y) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{1}(z, \cdot)$ is the distribution of an MC at the moment $\tau$ of first visit to $X_{2}$, and $N_{1}=$ $\left\{n_{1}(z, w), z, w \in D\right\}$ is the fundamental matrix for the substochastic matrix $Q_{1}$.

The state elimination algorithm is given in Algorithm 2.1. It takes OS model $M=(X, P, c, q)$ as input, and assumes that optimal stopping set $S^{*}=\{x: v(x)=q(x)\}$ does exists. For the finite space $X$ this algorithm solves the OS problem in no more than $|X|$ steps, it also allows us to find the distribution of the MC at the moment of stopping in an optimal stopping set $S^{*}$. A similar idea was applied for a particular OS problem (the Secretary Problem with random number of objects) in Sonin and Presman [1972], and was proposed for the OS of general stochastic processes in Irle [1980] without the specification to MC situation.

### 2.3 State Elimination Algorithm with Full Size Matrices

It could be more convenient for the implementation of state elimination algorithm to have all stochastic matrices of equal full size. Denote deleted set as $D ; X \backslash D=S$. Introduce two diagonal
characteristic matrices $I_{D}$ and $I_{S}$, e.g., $I_{D}$ is a diagonal matrix with $d_{i}=1$ if $i \in D$ and 0 otherwise. We remind that multiplication on diagonal matrix on the right is equivalent to multiplication of columns, and multiplication on the left is equivalent to multiplication of rows. Therefore, the formulas in the previous sections can be rewritten as follows.

Now we can skip index 1 for the initial model, and skip index 2 in a new model $M_{2}$, i.e. $P_{1}=P$, $P_{2}=P_{2}(D)=P(D)$. Note that $P, P_{2}(D), N(D)=N_{D}, I_{D}, I_{S}$ are full size $|X| \times|X|$ square matrices.

Lemma 1 remains true, but now we assume that $\left(Y_{n}\right)$ is an MC with the same state space $X$, i.e., we allow the initial points $x$ be in $D$ as well as in $S=X \backslash D$, though after the first step MC is always in $S$. Then, additionally to (2.5) for $x \in S, y \in S$, we have term $T+Q N T=(I+Q N) T=N T$ for $x \in D, y \in S$. The last equality is true by (2.7). Thus, instead of (2.5) we have the following full size stochastic matrix for an $\mathrm{MC}\left(Y_{n}\right)$

$$
P_{2}(D)=P I_{S}+P I_{D} N_{D} P I_{S}=\left(I+P I_{D} N_{D}\right) P I_{S}=N_{D} P I_{S}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & N T  \tag{2.18}\\
0 & P_{10}+R N T
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $P_{10}$ in formula (2.5) is replaced by $P I_{S}, R$ is replaced by $P I_{D}, T$ is replaced by $P I_{S}$, and $N=(I-Q)^{-1}$ is replaced by $N_{D}$. Here $N_{D}=\left(I-P I_{D}\right)^{-1}=I+P I_{D} N_{D}$,

$$
N_{D}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
N & 0  \tag{2.19}\\
R N & I
\end{array}\right]
$$

Also note that for $x \in D$ the rows of matrix $P_{2}(D)$ (namely, submatrix $N T$ ) give the distribution of MC $\left(Y_{n}\right)$ at the moment of first visit to set $S: P_{2, x}\left(Y_{1}=y\right), x \in D, y \in S$. And this moment coincides with the moment of first return to set $S$. For the points from set $S$ we are interested in the moment of a first return, corresponding distribution is given by submatrix $P_{10}+R N T$.

The full matrix analog of (2.9) will be

$$
\mathbf{c}_{2}=\mathbf{c}_{2}(D)=\mathbf{c}+P I_{D} N_{D} \mathbf{c}=\left(I+P I_{D} N_{D}\right) \mathbf{c}=N_{D} \mathbf{c}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
N c_{1, D}  \tag{2.20}\\
R N c_{1, D}+c_{1, S}
\end{array}\right],
$$

where $c_{1, D}$ and $c_{1, S}$ are the parts of vector $\mathbf{c}=\mathbf{c}_{1}$ with coordinates in $D$ and $S$ respectively. Now $\mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{c}_{2}$ are both full vectors defined on the whole $X=X_{1}$. As in formula (2.8), function $\mathbf{c}_{2}$ can be
also described as

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{2}(x)=E_{1 x} \sum_{n=0}^{\tau-1} c_{1}\left(Z_{n}\right), x \in X \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{1 x}=E_{x}$ is an initial expectation, $\tau=\tau_{S}$ is the moment of first return to $S=X \backslash D$ if $x \in S$.
The analog of Lemma 2, i.e., analogs of formulas (2.11)-(2.13) in full matrix form are: $\quad(P=$ $\left.P_{1}, \mathbf{c}=\mathbf{c}_{1}\right)$

$$
\begin{gather*}
P_{2}(D)=P+P I_{D} N_{D}(P-I)=P+P I_{D} N_{D}(P-I)  \tag{2.22}\\
F_{2}(D) f=F f+P I_{D} N_{D}(F-I) f  \tag{2.23}\\
F_{2}(D) f-f=(F-I) f+P I_{D} N_{D}(F-I) f=\left(I+P I_{D} N_{D}\right)(F-I) f=N_{D}(F-I) f, \tag{2.24}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $F f=\mathbf{c}+P f, F_{2} f=\mathbf{c}_{2}+P_{2}(D) f$. Later in the text the most important role will play the formula applied to the case $f=g$, where $g$ is the terminal reward function. In this case we use the shorthand notation $G_{i}(\cdot)=G_{i} g(\cdot)$. This main formula (compare with (2.16)) for the case $D=\{z\}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{2}(z)=n_{1}(z) G_{1}(z), G_{2}(x)=G_{1}(x)+p_{1}(x, z) n_{1}(z) G_{1}(z)=G_{1}(x)+p_{1}(x, z) G_{2}(z) \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that set $D$ in Lemma 2 is not necessarily a subset of $C_{1}=\left\{z \in X_{1}: G_{1} f(z)\right\}$, but if it is, then formula (2.25) immediately implies

Corollary 2.10. If the elimination set $D \subset\left\{C_{1}=\left\{z \in X_{1}: G_{1} q(z)<0\right\}\right.$ then $G_{2} q_{X_{2}}<G_{1} q_{X_{2}}$. This also means that if some points were eliminated at some stage, then they are eliminated forever. Remark 2.11. Formula (2.25) also helps to organize the recursive steps of the EA in a more efficient way. If a set $D$ is eliminated and new model $M_{D}$ is obtained, then the new transition probabilities $p_{D}$ have the following property

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{D}(x, z)=0, \text { if } x \in S=X \backslash D, z \in D ; p_{D}(z, u)=0, \text { if } z, u \in D \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

We say that an OS model $M=(X, P, c(x), g(x), \beta(x))$ has an escaping set $D$ if transition matrix $P$ has the same structure as in the formula above. In other words, MC can be in a set $D$ only at the initial moment. Later we will use the following simple proposition.

Proposition 2.12. If $O S$ model $M$ has an escaping set $D$ and $q(x) \geq c(x)+P q(x)$ for all $x \in S=$ $X \backslash D$. Then $v(x)=q(x)$ if $x \in S$.

The proof of Proposition 2.12 is similar to the proof of Proposition 2.8.
Remark 2.13. The usage of the full-size matrices $P_{i}$ also allows to obtain the value function at the end of elimination stage. Let $D_{i}$ be a set eliminated on a $i$-th step, $D_{i}=\left\{x: q(x)-\left(c_{i}+P_{i} q(x)<0\right\}\right.$, $i=1,2, \ldots, k, S_{i}=X \backslash D_{i}$. Denote the value function on a $i$-th step by $v_{i}=q_{D_{i}}: v_{i}(x)=q(x)$ if $x \in S_{i}$, and $v_{i}(x)=c_{i}(x)+P_{i+1} q$, if $x \in D_{i}$. We always have $D_{i} \subset D_{i+1}$ and $g \leq \ldots \leq v_{i} \leq v_{i+1} \leq$ $\ldots \leq v$. Therefore, if, for some $k$, we have $D_{k+1}=D_{k}$, it means that calculation is done, and it also happened that we have obtained the optimal stopping set $S=S_{k}$ and value function $v(x)=v_{k}(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Using Corollary 1 and formulas for the elimination steps it is easy to show the important feature of SEA, namely, that the elimination of sets $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ in two steps is equivalent to elimination of a set $D_{1} \cup D_{2}$ in one step. This feature implies also that we can eliminate only one point at a time. Therefore, the implementation of SE algorithm can be pretty straightforward, it only needs the formulas for one step of elimination. It starts with $D=\emptyset$ and it can recursively eliminate states one by one until $D_{n}=D_{n+1}$.

### 2.4 State Elimination and Insertion

The equations from the previous sections are useful when there is no need to insert states back into the model. The full-size matrices complexity to eliminate single state using full-size matrices is $O(|X||X \backslash D|)$ because columns, corresponding to states $x \in D$ contain zeroes. In this section we develop an algorithm, allowing insertion of $x \in D$ back to the model, the complexity of elimination or insertion is $O\left(|X|^{2}\right)$.

Denote by $W_{D}$ the matrix, obtained after elimination of set $D$. Set $W_{\emptyset}=P$. Apply elimination of single state $z$ exactly as before

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{D \cup z}(\cdot, y)=w_{D}(\cdot, y)+w_{D}(\cdot, z) \frac{1}{1-w_{D}(z, z)} w_{D}(z, y) \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

with one important difference: we apply this equation to all states $x \in X$, even to the states from $x \in D$.

Remark 2.14. Given $D$ and $x, y \in X \backslash D$, the equation 2.27 is using only elements inside of $X \backslash D$, therefore $p_{D}(x, y)=w_{D}(x, y)$. Therefore, the portion of $W_{D}$, corresponding to the $X \backslash D$ is exactly
equal to the values in $P_{D}$. In general, $W_{D}$ contains non-zero elements at columns, corresponding to $x \in D$, whereas $P_{D}$ has zeroes at these columns.

Remark 2.15. The equation (2.10) to eliminate state $z$ for cost function $c$ uses only elements $P_{D}$ from $X \backslash D$, therefore we can use $W_{D}$ in this equation, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{D \cup z}(y)=c_{D}(y)+w_{D}(y, z) \frac{1}{1-w_{D}(z, z)} c_{D}(z), \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 2.16. Elimination can be performed on a matrix $W_{D}$, transition matrix $P_{D}$ is obtained from $W_{D}$ by setting columns, corresponding to set $D$ to zero.

Transition matrix $P_{D}$ can be treated as matrix with $|X|-|D|$ columns and $|X|$ rows, matrix $W_{D}$ has size $|X|$ rows and columns, regardless of the set $D$. As a result, elimination on $W_{D}$ is computationally more expensive than on $P_{D}$. The main advantage of elimination on $W_{D}$ is the ability to perform inverse operation to elimination, i.e. insertion of any state $j \in D$. Indeed, by applying simple algebra to equation 2.27 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{D}(\cdot, y)=w_{D \cup j}(\cdot, y)-w_{D \cup j}(\cdot, z) \frac{1}{1+w_{D \cup j}(j, j)} w_{D \cup j}(j, y) \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{D}(y)=c_{D \cup j}(y)-w_{D \cup j}(y, z) \frac{1}{1+w_{D \cup j}(j, j)} c_{D \cup j}(z) . \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Matrix form for $W_{D}$ is

$$
W_{D}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
Q N & N T \\
R N & P_{0}+R N T
\end{array}\right]
$$

where new elements $Q N$ and $R N$ have the following meaning

- for $x \in S, y \in D$, the element $(R N)_{x y}$ is expected number of times state $y$ is visited while chain stays in $D$ given that chain enters to $D$ through $x$,
- for $x \in D, y \in D$, the element $(Q N)_{x y}=(N-I)_{x y}$ is expected number of times state $y$ is visited while chain stays in $D$ given that chain enters to $D$ through $x$, in other words even though chain starts in $D$, the first state is not counted.

Since $P_{D}$ is exactly the same as in previous section, all statements, derived for $P_{D}$, in particular relations between $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$, and relations between $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are still true.

Remark 2.17. Elimination with $W_{D}$ instead of $P_{D}$ provides tradeoff between slightly increased complexity and new functionality, i.e., ability to insert.

Remark 2.18. Elimination of $z$ and insertion of $j$ has simpler form

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{D \cup z}(\cdot, z)=\frac{w_{D}(\cdot, z)}{1-w_{D}(z, z)},  \tag{2.31}\\
& c_{D \cup z}(z)=\frac{c_{D}(z)}{1-w_{D}(z, z)},  \tag{2.32}\\
& w_{D}(\cdot, j)=\frac{w_{D \cup j}(\cdot, j)}{1+w_{D \cup j}(j, j)},  \tag{2.33}\\
& c_{D}(j)=\frac{c_{D \cup j}(j)}{1+w_{D \cup j}(j, j)} . \tag{2.34}
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.5 Three Abstract Optimization Problems

The common part of all three problems described above in Section 2 is a maximization over the set of all positive stopping times $\tau$, or, equivalently, over all partitions of the state set $X$ into two sets, continuation and stopping (restart) regions. This is a special case of a very general situation.

Let us consider the following three abstract optimization problems 1, 2, and 3. Suppose there is an abstract index set $U$, let $A=\left\{a_{u}\right\}$ and $B=\left\{b_{u}\right\}$ be two sets of real numbers indexed by the elements of $U$. Suppose that the following assumption holds

$$
-\infty<a_{u} \leq a<\infty, \quad 0<b \leq b_{u} \leq 1
$$

We assume, that DM knows sets $U, A$, and $B$ in all three problems.

Problem 2.19 (Restart Problem). Find solution(s) of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=\sup _{u \in U}\left[a_{u}+\left(1-b_{u}\right) h\right] \equiv H(h) . \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that equation (2.35) is a Bellman (optimality) equation for the "value of the game," i.e., the supremum over all possible strategies in the optimization problem with two equivalent interpretations. In both cases set $U$ represents a set of available actions, which we call "buttons." A DM can select one of them and push (test). She obtains a reward $a_{u}$, and, according to the first interpretation, with probability $b_{u}$, the game is terminated, and, with complimentary probability
$1-b_{u}$, she is again in an initial situation, i.e., she can select any button and push. Her goal is to maximize the total (undiscounted) reward.

According to the second interpretation, the game is continued sequentially without possibility of random termination, but the value $1-b_{u}$ is now not a probability, but a discount factor applied to the future rewards after a button $u$ was used at the first step.

Our second optimization problem is

Problem 2.20 (Ratio (cycle) Problem). Find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\sup _{u \in U} \frac{a_{u}}{b_{u}} \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

The interpretation of this problem is straightforward: a DM can push some button $u$ only once and her goal is to maximize the ratio in (2.36), the one step reward per "chance of termination." Since the game is terminated after the first push anyway, $1 / b_{u}$ has an interpretation of a "multiplicator" applied to a "direct" reward $a_{u}$.

In the sequel we shall use shorthand notation $a \vee b$ for $\max (a, b)$. Let $H(k)$ be a function defined in the right side of (2.35).

Problem 2.21 (A Parametric Family of Retirement Problems). Find $w$ defined as follows: given parameter $k,-\infty<k<\infty$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(k)=k \vee H(k), \quad w=\inf \{k: v(k)=k\} \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this problem, given number $k$, a DM has the following one step choice: to obtain $k$ immediately, or to push some button $u$ once, then obtain a reward $a_{u}$, after that, additionally with probability $1-b_{u}$, to obtain $k$, and, with complimentary probability, to obtain zero.

Using the fact that functions $H(k)$ and $v(k),-\infty<k<\infty$, are nondecreasing, continuous, and convex (concave up), the following theorem was proved in Sonin [2011].

Theorem 2.22 (Abstract Optimization Equality). a) Solution $h$ of equation (2.35) is finite and unique;
b) $h=\alpha=w$, and
c) the optimal index, or an optimizing sequence for any of the three problems is the optimal index (an optimizing sequence) for the other two problems.

See the brief discussion of one more problem initially analyzed in one page seminal paper Mitten [1960], and its relation to the classical- and generalized Gittins index in Sonin [2008].

Theorem 2.22 shows the equivalence of three abstract problems, but leaves an open question: which one of them should be solved. Probably, there is no general answer to this question. It is possible that in some situations Problem 1 will be the easiest, and in some other-Problem 2. At the same time Problem 3 provides the most general approach, since its solution breaks up into two stages: a solution for a particular $k$, and finding $w$. This exact situation occurs in Markov reward model and three related indices. Let us formally show how the three problems, described in sections 1 and 2 can be presented as abstract problems.

Given a reward model with termination $M=(X, P, c(x), \beta(x))$ and an initial point $x$, let us define the set $U=\{u\}=\{$ set of all Markov moments $\tau>0\}, \tau=\tau_{G}=\min \left(n: Z_{n} \in G \cup e\right), G \subset X, x \notin G$. We define rewards $a_{u}$ as $a_{u}=R^{\tau}(x)=E_{x} \sum_{n=0}^{\tau-1} c\left(Z_{n}\right)$, the total expected reward till moment $\tau$; the probabilities $b_{u}$ are defined as $Q^{\tau}(x)=P_{x}\left(Z_{\tau}=e\right)$, the probability of termination on $[0, \tau)$. These are quantities participating in (2.3). Then the function $H(k)$ coincides with $\sup _{\tau>0} E_{x} q\left(Z_{\tau}\right)$, where $g(x)=k$. Respectively, $v(x \mid k)=k \vee H(k)=\sup _{\tau \geq 0} E_{x} q\left(Z_{\tau}\right)$, i.e., $v(x \mid k)$ is the value function in an OS for MC in model $M(k)$.

Also note that the equivalence of the three problems does not lend itself to the solution of these problems. The set of all partitions of $X$, which gives the size of the set $U$, grows exponentially with $|X|=n$; but the algorithm in Sonin [2008] to calculate generalized Gittins index is polynomial with complexity of order $O\left(n^{3}\right)$. A similar algorithm to calculate the classical Gittins index was obtained in Niño-Mora [2007].

## CHAPTER 3: ALGORITHM FOR CQR MODEL

Consider the CQR model $(X, P, A, c(x), q(x), r(x))$ with single restart point $s \in X$, as defined in Introduction. We follow previous assumption that the discount factor $\beta(x)$ is already factored in into the transition probabilities by using transition to the terminal state $e$. Our final goal is find optimal strategy $\pi$, maximizing the value function $h(x)$.

The algorithm is based on solving an equivalent problem. The equivalence of problems is established using three abstract optimization problems, i.e. theorem 2.22.

The derivation consists of several steps. First step is to write value function for CQR problem in form of abstract optimization problem. Second step is to define indices $\alpha$, $w$, and $h$ in modified form. Modified indices are defined for every state, however, the theorem 2.22 is applicable to the restart state $s$ only. The last step is define a family of models, corresponding to the modified index $w(s)$ and to develop algorithm to find this index.

### 3.1 Value function for CQR model

An action set $A(x)$ at each point $x$ consists of three actions $\{c, q, r\}$ : continue, quit, and restart (to a fixed point $s$ ). The exception is a restart point $s$, where action set consists only of two actions, $\{c, q\}$. In addition, the absorbing state, $e$, has only continue action, $A(e)=\{c\}$. In order to simplify all equations, we consider, that state $s$ still has restart fee, $r(s):=0$. Also, the absorbing state has all fees equal to 0 .

Respectively a stationary strategy $\pi$ is defined as a 3-partition of $X=C \cup S_{q} \cup S_{r}$, where $C$ is a continuation region, $S_{q}$ is a quit region, $S_{r}$ is a restart region. Denote the value function in this model as

$$
h(x)=\sup _{\pi} h^{\pi}(x) .
$$

Since three possible actions are available at each state $x$, the value function $h(x)$ satisfies optimality equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x)=q(x) \vee(r(x)+h(s)) \vee(c(x)+P h(x)), \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v(e)=0$, and, for any function $g$, defined on states, $P g(x)=\sum_{y} p(x, y) g(y)$. Notice that $h(s)$ has simpler form, $v(s)=q(s) \vee(c(s)+P v(s))$.

Define a "stopping set" as set of point outside of continue action, $S=X \backslash C=S_{q} \cup S_{r} \cup\{e\}$. Given a strategy $\pi=\left\{C, S_{q}, S_{r}\right\}$, let the stopping time $\tau=\tau(S)=\tau(\pi)$ be a moment of a first visit to $S$. The moment $\tau$ is a moment when a cycle ends, i.e., when a DM stops (quits or restarts).

The following expected rewards and probabilities help with rewriting value function in terms of moment $\tau$. Define the probability of the termination (of a cycle) on $[0, \tau]$ as probability of choosing quit action or reaching absorbing state at the moment $\tau$

$$
Q^{\pi}(x)=\mathbb{P}_{x}\left[Z_{\tau} \in S_{q}\right]+\mathbb{P}_{x}\left[Z_{\tau}=e\right]
$$

Define $R^{\pi}(x)$, the total expected reward obtained during one cycle as sum of rewards for continue action, obtained before moment $\tau$, plus reward at moment $\tau$, which can be either reward for quit action or reward for restart to $s$ action

$$
R^{\pi}(x)=\mathbb{E}_{x}\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\tau-1} c\left(Z_{n}\right)+I\left(Z_{\tau} \in S_{q}\right) q\left(Z_{\tau}\right)+I\left(Z_{\tau} \in S_{r}\right) r\left(Z_{\tau}\right)\right]
$$

do not forget that all rewards at absorbing state are zero.
Then, using the standard results from the theory of MDP we have value function for strategy $\pi$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{\pi}(x)=R^{\pi}(x)+\left(1-Q^{\pi}(x)\right) h^{\pi}(s) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation means that, starting from state $x$ we obtain expected reward during one cycle, $R^{\pi}(x)$, then, with complimentary probability to the termination probability $Q^{\pi}(x)$, we obtain $h^{\pi}(s)$.

Taking supremum over all possible strategies in (3.2), using $x=s$ and assumption that $r(s)=0$, we obtain that the optimality equation (3.1) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(s)=\sup _{\pi}\left[R^{\pi}(s)+\left(1-Q^{\pi}(s)\right) h(s)\right]=q(s) \vee \sup _{\pi: A(s)=c}\left[R^{\pi}(s)+\left(1-Q^{\pi}(s)\right) h(s)\right] . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore we represented value function for a restart point, $h(s)$, as one of the three abstract problems, namely, restart problem, as in equation 2.35.

### 3.2 Definition of modified indices

In order to find optimal strategy, we need to move away from the value function in CQR model and define modified indices.

The idea is to introduce indices $\alpha(x), \tilde{h}(x)$, and $w(x)$ for all initial states $x$ in such a way, that,

Figure 3.1: Graph of $g(x, k)$ for fixed state $x$, red dashed lines correspond to $q(x)$ and $r(x)+k$.

on one hand, the theorem 2.1 is preserved for all $x$, and, on the other hand, the value $\tilde{h}(x)$ for $x=s$ will coincide with value function $h(s)$ as defined in (3.3). Then, we can reduce problem of finding strategy, maximizing value function $h(s)$ to finding optimal strategy, maximizing modified index $\tilde{h}(x)$.

The modified indices $w(x)$ and $t(x)$ require introduction of a Whittle family of models $M(k)$ with the same state space $X$, transition probability $P$, action set consisting only from two actions, $A(x)=\{$ continue, stop $\}$, with the same as CQR model $c(x)$ and terminal reward function defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x, k)=q(x) \vee(r(x)+k), x \neq e \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

the absorbing state has the same properties, i.e. it has only one action, continue; in order to simplify notation, we set $g(e, k)=0$. In short, $M(k)=(X, P, A=\{$ continue, stop $\}, c(x), g(x, k), k \in \mathbb{R})$. The graph of $g(x, k)$ is given in Figure 3.1.

Problem 3.1 (Modified Restart index $\tilde{h}(x))$. We define an index $\tilde{h}(x)$ for all $x \in X$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{h}(x) & =\sup _{\pi}\left[R^{\pi}(x)-r(x)+\left(1-Q^{\pi}(x) \tilde{h}(x)\right]\right.  \tag{3.5}\\
& =(q(x)-r(x)) \vee \sup _{\pi: A(x)=c}\left[R^{\pi}(x)-r(x)+\left(1-Q^{\pi}(x) \tilde{h}(x)\right]\right.
\end{align*}
$$

where strategy $\pi$ is a partition $\left(C, S_{q}, S_{r}\right)$ of $X, \tau=\tau_{S}, S=S_{q} \cup S_{r} \cup\{e\} ;$ notation $\pi: A(x)=c$ means that $x \in C$, so moment $\tau>0$.

In other words, we define $\tilde{h}(x)$ as a value function for CQR problem with an initial point $x$ not $s$, where, additionally, we subtract extra "initiation" fee $r(x)$ from expected reward during one cycle.

Condition $r(s)=0$ implies that $h(s)$ defined by (3.3) coincides with $\tilde{h}(\mathrm{~s})$. In general, $\tilde{h}(x) \neq h(x)$ for $x \neq s$ even if $r(x)=0$ because the return points are different, $x$ for the index $\tilde{h}(x)$, and $s$ for $h(x)$.

The reason for subtracting $r(x)$ will become clear when a modified index $w(x)$ is introduced.
Problem 3.2 (Modified Gittins index $\alpha(x)$ ). We define index $\alpha(x)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(x)=\sup _{\pi} \frac{R^{\pi}(x)-r(x)}{Q^{\pi}(x)}=(q(x)-r(x)) \vee \sup _{\pi: A(x)=c} \frac{R^{\pi}(x)-r(x)}{Q^{\pi}(x)}, \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi, R^{\pi}(x)$, and $Q^{\pi}(x)$ are defined as before.
We can use any index to find value of all others, for CQR find value of all indices through generalized index $w(x)$.

Problem 3.3 (Modified index $w(x)$ and index $t(x)$ ). These indices are defined on Whittle family of models, $M(k)$, defined above. The strategy for this model is defined by stopping set $S \subset X$, where $S=\{x: A(x)=s t o p\}$. Let stopping time $\tau$ for $M(k)$ be the moments of a first visit to sets $S \subset X$. Then, $v^{\tau}(x, k)$ the value of a strategy $\tau$ at point $x$, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{\tau}(x, k)=E_{x}\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\tau-1} c\left(Z_{n}\right)+g\left(Z_{\tau}, k\right) .\right. \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
v(x, k)=\sup _{\tau \geq 0} v^{\tau}(x, k)
$$

be the value function for model $M(k)$. The optimality equation has a standard form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(x, k)=g(x, k) \vee(c(x)+P v(x, k))=g(x, k) \vee \sup _{\tau>0} \mathbb{E}_{x} g\left(Z_{\tau}\right) . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we can define modified indices $w(x)$ and $t(x)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
w(x) & =\inf _{k}\{k: v(x, k)=r(x)+k\},  \tag{3.9}\\
t(x) & =\sup _{k \leq w(x)}\{k: v(x, k)=q(x)\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Even though, the indices $w(x)$ and $t(x)$ are defined for family of Whittle models $M(k)$, we can show that $w(s)=\tilde{h}(s)=h(s)$.

Similarly to the statement in Sonin [2008] we have the following proposition.

Figure 3.2: Graph of $v(x, k)$ for fixed state $x$, red dashed lines correspond to $q(x)$ and $r(x)+k$. Case when optimal strategy, as function of $k$, consists of quit, continue, and restart.


Figure 3.3: Graph of $v(x, k)$ for fixed state $x$, red dashed lines correspond to $q(x)$ and $r(x)+k$. Case when optimal strategy, as function of $k$, consists of quit and restart.


Proposition 3.4. The indices $t(x)$ and $w(x)$ satisfy $t(x) \leq w(x)<\infty$. Value function $v(x, k)$ is concave upward and

$$
\begin{aligned}
v(x, k) & =r(x)+k, \quad k \geq w(x) \\
v(x, k) & >g(x, k), \quad k \in(t(x), w(x)), \\
v(x, k) & =q(x), \quad k \leq t(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from the proposition, that $x \in S(k)$ if $k \leq t(x)$ or $k \geq w(x)$. The function $v(x, k)$ for given state $x$ is shown at Figures 3.2-3.4. As the result of this chapter, we prove that function $v(x, k)$ is continuous, concave upward and can have three shapes. The graphs are given here in advance in order to help with understanding of the rest of the section.

The set of all strategies on $M(k)$ consist of partition of state space $X$ into two sets, $S$ and $X \backslash S$.

Figure 3.4: Graph of $v(x, k)$ for fixed state $x$, red dashed lines correspond to $q(x)$ and $r(x)+k$. Case when optimal strategy, as function of $k$, consists of continue and restart.


However, the set of all strategies for CQR problem is richer, consists of partition of $X$ into three sets: $S_{q}, S_{r}$, and $X \backslash\left(S_{q} \cup S_{r}\right)$. In order to apply Theorem 2.22, the abstract optimization equality, we need to transform equation (3.8) to an equation with supremum over all 3-partitions.

Lemma 3.5. Value function $v(x, k)$ satisfies an equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(x, k)=q(x) \vee(r(x)+k) \vee \sup _{\pi: A(x)=c}\left[R^{\pi}(x)+\left(1-Q^{\pi}(x)\right) k\right] . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Given stopping set $S$ and value $k$, use the reward function $g(x, k)$ to partition set $S$ into $S_{q}(k)$ and $S_{r}(k)$. Set $S_{q}(k)$ is subset of $S$ where $g(x, k)=q(x), S_{q}(k)=\{x \in S: q(x) \geq r(x)+k\}$, set $S_{r}(k)$ is subset of $S$ where $g(x, k)=r(x)+k, S_{r}(k)=S \backslash S_{q}(k)=\{x \in S: q(x)<r(x)+k\}$. Denote a strategy, resulting from this partition by $\pi_{0}$.

For any set $S \subset X$ by definition of $\tau=\tau_{S}$ and definition of function $g(x, k)$ we have

$$
\mathbb{E}_{x}^{\pi_{0}} g\left(Z_{\tau}\right)=\mathbb{E}_{x}^{\pi_{0}}\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\tau-1} c\left(Z_{n}\right)+I\left(Z_{\tau} \in S_{q}\right) q\left(Z_{\tau}\right)+I\left(Z_{\tau} \in S_{r}\right)\left(r\left(Z_{\tau}\right)+k\right)\right]
$$

Since $\sum_{n=0}^{\tau-1} c\left(Z_{n}\right)$ does not depend on how stopping set $S$ is partitioned into $S_{q}$ and $S_{r}$, then, for all partitions $\pi$ with the same set $S$, the expectations of the first sum are equal, $\mathbb{E}_{x}^{\pi}\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\tau-1} c\left(Z_{n}\right)\right]=$ $\mathbb{E}_{x}^{\pi_{0}}\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\tau-1} c\left(Z_{n}\right)\right]$.

Also, partition $\pi_{0}$ of the set $S$ differs from partition $\pi$ by the fact, that $\pi_{0}$ uses the maximal reward, $q(x)$ or $r(x)+k$, therefore

$$
\mathbb{E}_{x}^{\pi}\left[I\left(Z_{\tau} \in S_{q}^{\pi}\right) q\left(Z_{\tau}\right)+I\left(Z_{\tau} \in S_{r}^{\pi}\right)\left(r\left(Z_{\tau}\right)+k\right)\right] \leq
$$

$$
\leq \mathbb{E}_{x}^{\pi_{0}}\left[I\left(Z_{\tau} \in S_{q}^{\pi_{0}}\right) q\left(Z_{\tau}\right)+I\left(Z_{\tau} \in S_{r}^{\pi_{0}}\right)\left(r\left(Z_{\tau}\right)+k\right)\right]
$$

As a result $R^{\pi}(x)+\left(1-Q^{\pi}(x)\right) k \leq R^{\pi_{0}}(x)+\left(1-Q^{\pi_{0}}(x)\right) k$. Finally,

$$
\sup _{\pi: A(x)=c}\left[R^{\pi}(x)+\left(1-Q^{\pi}(x)\right) k\right]=\sup _{\tau>0}\left[R^{\pi_{0}(\tau)}(x)+\left(1-Q^{\pi_{0}(\tau)}(x)\right) k\right] .
$$

Note that equation (3.10) for the value function can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(x, k)-r(x)=(q(x)-r(x)) \vee k \vee \sup _{\pi: A(x)=c}\left[R^{\pi}(x)-r(x)+\left(1-Q^{\pi}(x)\right) k\right] . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.6. The three modified indices defined for a general CQR model coincide, i.e. $\alpha(x)=$ $\tilde{h}(x)=w(x)$. If $\pi=\left(C, S_{q}, S_{r}\right)$ is an optimal strategy in Problem 3.1, then it is also an optimal strategy in Problem 3.2 and set $S=S_{q} \cup S_{r}$ is an optimal stopping set $S(k)$ in OS Problem $M(k)$ for $k=w(x)$.

Proof. A similar theorem was proved in Sonin [2008] for the case when $q(x)=-\infty$ and $r(x)=0$ for all $x \in X$. Here we prove this theorem differently by using theorem 2.22. Given $x \in X$ let us introduce the set of indices $U=\{\pi: A(x)=c\} \cup\{\pi: A(x) \neq c\}$, where $\pi: A(x) \neq c$ is an index such that $a_{0}=q(x)-r(x), b_{0}=1$. Then, according to formulas (3.5), (3.6), and (3.11), three Problems 3.1-3.3 are represented as three abstract problems, therefore we can apply theorem 2.22 (Abstract Optimization Equality).
3.3 Main Theorem for the Whittle Family of Optimal Stopping Models

In a previous section we defined Whittle family of models $M(k)=(X, P, c(x), g(x \mid k))$ and indices $w(x), t(x)$ for this model. We established, that, according to the theorem 3.6, the value function at state $s$ for CQR model, $h(s)$, is equal to index $w(s)$. Moreover, the optimal stopping set for model $M(k)$ when $k=w(s)$ is also an optimal strategy for CQR model. Therefore, instead of finding optimal strategy for CQR directly, we can find index $w(s)$ and optimal stopping set for model $M(w(s))$.

For a given value of $k$, the model $M(k)$ become a standard optimal stopping problem, therefore it is straightforward to obtain value functions $v(\cdot, k)$ by applying State Elimination (SE) algorithm.

Define function $G(x, k)$ as

$$
G(x, k)=g(x, k)-[c(x)+P g(x, k)]
$$

For a fixed $k, G(x, k)$ has the same meaning, as $G(x)$ of SE algorithm. Negative value of $G(x, k)$ means that stopping at state $x$ produces lower expected reward compared to making one step and stopping after that. Also, the equation (2.25) is valid for $G(x, k)$.

### 3.3.1 Whittle family with no quit action

First study the case when quit action is not allowed. The goal of this section is to develop intuition and main facts, applicable to more general case.

The removal of quit action can be achieved by setting $q=-\infty$. Then the terminal reward function becomes $g(x, k)=r(x)+k$, function $P g(x, k)$ can be written in simplified form, $P g(x, k)=$ $\sum_{y \neq e} p(x, y)(r(y)+k)$. The equation for $G(x, k)$ becomes

$$
G(x, k)=(1-\beta(x)) k+r(x)-c(x)-\operatorname{Pr}(x), \quad-\infty<k<\infty
$$

where $\beta(x)$ has the meaning of discount factor and defined as $\beta(x)=\sum_{y \neq e} p(x, y)$.
For each state $x$ denote by $d(x)$ the value of $k$ which makes $G(x, k)=0$. The value of $d(x)$ can be found as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(x)=\frac{c(x)-r(x)+\operatorname{Pr}(x)}{1-\beta(x)} . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since for any state $x$ there is positive probability to go to an absorbing state $e$, the slope $\beta(x)$ satisfies inequality $0<(1-\beta(x)) \leq 1$. Therefore, function $G(x, k)$ is a linear function with strictly positive slope.

Remark 3.7. The case when all restart rewards are zero, we obtain the problem, which was studied in Sonin [2008]: when $r(x):=0$ for all $x \in X$, the function $G(x, k)=(1-\beta(x)) k-c(x)$ and $d(x)=c(x) /(1-\beta(x))$.

Let $\pi^{*}(x, k)$ be the optimal strategy for the model $M(k)$ and $S(k)$ be the corresponding optimal stopping set. In other words, $S(k)$ is a mapping from $\mathbb{R}$ to set of all subsets of state space $X$. If state $x$ belongs to the stopping set $S(k)$, the value function $v(x, k)=r(x)+k$. If state $x$ belongs to $X \backslash S(k)$, then $v(x, k) \geq r(x)+k$, moreover, this inequality is strict unless it is indifferent for the value function whether to stop at this state or continue.

Define $k_{s}$ and $k_{c}$ as values of $k$, such that for all $x \in X, G\left(x, k_{s}\right)>0$ and $G\left(x, k_{c}\right)<0$. By
proposition 2.8 positivity of all $G\left(x, k_{s}\right)$ means that the optimal stopping set coincides with state space, $S\left(k_{s}\right)=X$. From the other hand, all $G\left(x, k_{c}\right)<0$, which means that it is optimal not to stop at all states and optimal stopping set is empty, $S\left(k_{c}\right)=\emptyset$.

Proposition 3.8. For given $x \in X$, the optimal strategy $\pi^{*}(x, k)$ is to continue on $[-\infty, w(x)]$ and to stop on $[w(x), \infty]$. The index $w(x)$ is the only value when $G(x, k)=0$.

Proof. The proof is done through direct calculation of optimal strategy $\pi^{*}(x, k)$. Let us start from $k=k_{s}$. The optimal strategy for any $k>k_{s}$ is to stop at every state $S(k)=X$.

Functions $G(x, k)$ are linear with positive slope, therefore, if we continually decrease $k$, we will reach first $G(y, k)=0$ for some $y \in X$. Denote this value of $k$ by $d^{+}$. The optimal stopping set for $k<d^{+}$does not contain state $y$ because $G(y, k)<0$ for any $k<d^{+}$. Therefore, $v(y, k)=r(x)+k$ for $k>d^{+}$and $v(y, k)>r(x)+k$ for $k<d^{+}$. In other words we found $w(y)$ and have proven the proposition for state $y$.

The rest of state space $X \backslash\{y\}$ can be dealt with recursively. Construct a new model, $M_{\{y\}}(k)$ by eliminating state $y$ from the state space and by applying state elimination equations (2.6), (2.10) to transition matrix $P$ and reward function $c$. Since $G\left(y, d^{+}\right)=0$, therefore, by the equation (2.16), the sign of functions $G\left(x, d^{+}\right)$does not change. As a result, by elimination theorem 2.9 , the optimal strategy for reduced model $M_{\{y\}}$ coincides with optimal strategy for the original model for $k<d^{+}$.

The proof gives an algorithm to compute $w(x)$. Notice, that we do not know the order in which $w(x)$ are calculated, but once we have $w(s)$, we also obtain the solution for CQR problem: $h(s)=w(s)$ and $\pi^{*}(w(s))$ is the optimal strategy.

The algorithm is given in algorithm 3.1. The algorithm uses set notation because it is possible to have several states with $G(x, k)=0$ for the same value of $k$. The elimination can be done one-by-one or by applying matrix equations. The complexity of single iteration of the algorithm is $O\left(n^{2}\right)$, the number of iterations, in general case, is $O(n)$, therefore the total complexity of the algorithm is $O\left(n^{3}\right)$.

### 3.3.2 Whittle model

Let us go back to the general case. Our goal is the same, we need to find value of index $w(s)$. The stop reward for the state $x$ is piecewise linear function $g(x, k)=q(x) \vee(r(x)+k)$. The value $q(x)-r(x)$ is a threshold where $g(x, k)$ changes its value from $q(x)$ to $r(x)+k$. It is convenient

```
Algorithm 3.1 Finding \(h(s)\) and optimal strategy for CQR problem with no quit
    Input: CQR model with no quit \(M(X, P, c, r)\), return state \(s \in X\)
    Output: optimal strategy \(\pi\) for the model, value function \(h(s)\) for state \(s\)
    \(C \leftarrow \emptyset, S_{r} \leftarrow X\left\{C\right.\) is a continue set, \(S_{r}\) is a restart to \(s\) set \(\}\)
    while \(w(s)\) not found do
        \(d^{+} \leftarrow \max \left(k: G(x, k)=0, x \in S_{r}\right)\) \{use 3.12 to solve \(\left.G(x, k)=0\right\}\)
        \(D \leftarrow\left\{x: G\left(x, d^{+}\right) \leq 0, x \in S_{r}\right\}\)
        \(w(x)=d^{+}\), for \(x \in D\)
        \(S_{r} \leftarrow S_{r} \backslash D, C \leftarrow C \cup D\)
        \((P, c) \leftarrow\) update model: use algorithm 2.1 to eliminate \(D\) from \((X, P, c)\)
        if \(w(s)\) is found then
            set optimal strategy \(\pi\) as partition into continue set \(C\) ans restart to \(s\) set \(S_{r}\)
            \(h(s) \leftarrow w(s)\)
            return \(\pi\) and \(h(s)\)
        end if
    end while
```

to define threshold value by $\gamma(x)=q(x)-r(x)$. Function $G(x, k)$ is linear function of $g(x, k)$, therefore it should be piecewise linear function too.

Define partial discount factor as a function of $k$ as

$$
\beta(x, k)=\sum_{\gamma(y) \leq k} p(x, y),
$$

it plays important role in function $G(x, k)$. In particular:

Lemma 3.9. For any fixed $x \in X$ function $G(x, k)$, as a function of $k$, is continuous, piecewise linear function. The slope of $G(x, k)$ is changing when $k=\gamma(y)$, moreover, the slope is

- negative, $-\beta(x, k)$, which is decreasing in $k$, if $k<\gamma(x)$, and
- positive, $1-\beta(x, i)$, which is increasing in $k$, if $k \geq \gamma(x)$.

Proof. First, let us write $\operatorname{Pg}(x, k)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Pg}(x, k) & =\sum_{\gamma(y)>k} p(x, y) q(y)+\sum_{\gamma(y) \leq k} p(x, y) r(y)+k \sum_{\gamma(y) \leq k} p(x, y) \\
& =\sum_{\gamma(y)>k} p(x, y) q(y)+\sum_{\gamma(y) \leq k} p(x, y) r(y)+k \beta(x, k) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $G(x, k)=g(x, k)-[c(x)+P g(x, k)]$, the slope $G(x, k)$ is $-\beta(x, k)$ for $k<\gamma(x)$ and $1-\beta(x, i)$ for $k \geq \gamma(x)$. Lastly, since $\beta(x, k)$ is partial sum, conditional on $k$, it is only decreasing when $k$ is decreasing.

Corollary 3.10. Function $G(x, k)$ is minimal when $k=\gamma(x)$.

Figure 3.5: Graph of $G(x, k)$ for fixed state $x$, states $x_{j}$ and $x_{k}$ are some states from $X, x_{j} \neq x$, $x_{k} \neq x$.


Corollary 3.11. For all $x \in X$, function $G(x, k)$ changes slope at points $\gamma(y), y \in X$. In other words, for all states $x$, functions $G(x, k)$ change slope at the same set of values of $k$.

It is convenient to introduce intervals $\Delta_{i}, i=1 \ldots M$ as set of ordered intervals, where all functions are linear. The first interval has form $\Delta_{1}=\left(-\infty, \min _{x} \gamma(x)\right]$, last interval has form $\Delta_{M}=\left[\max _{x} \gamma(x), \infty\right)$, all other intervals contain pairs of values $\gamma(x)$. In general, we can have at most $|X|+1$ intervals, and less intervals, if not all $\gamma(x)$ are different.

The graph of $G(x, k)$ as a function of $k$ is given in Figure 3.5. The graphs shows intervals $\Delta_{i}$, values of $\gamma(y)$ and illustrates main properties of $G(x, k)$.

It is useful to write down full equations for $G(x, k)$, these equations are used in the algorithm later, if $k<\gamma(x)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x, k)=-\beta(x, k) k+q(x)-c(x)-\sum_{\gamma(y)>k} p(x, y) q(y)-\sum_{\gamma(y) \leq k} p(x, y) r(y) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, if $k>\gamma(x)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x, k)=(1-\beta(x, k)) k+r(x)-c(x)-\sum_{\gamma(y)>k} p(x, y) q(y)-\sum_{\gamma(y) \leq k} p(x, y) r(y) . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof for the main theorem needs introduction of several more definitions. Let $k_{0}$ be some fixed value of $k$. The model $M\left(k_{0}\right)$ is the standard optimal stopping problem, therefore it has optimal stopping set $S\left(k_{0}\right)=S_{q}\left(k_{0}\right) \cup S_{r}\left(k_{0}\right)$. Since we are only considering model at point $k_{0}$, let us just write $S, S_{q}$, and $S_{r}$. Let the set $C$ be the complement of $S, C=X \backslash S$.

If $x \in S$, then

- $x \in S_{q}$, if $v\left(x, k_{0}\right)=q(x) \Longleftrightarrow \gamma(x) \leq k_{0}$, for $k=\gamma(x)$ we choose $S_{q}$.
- $x \in S_{r}$ if $v\left(x, k_{0}\right)=r(x)+k_{0} \Longleftrightarrow \gamma(x)>k_{0}$.

By $M_{S}(k)$ define result of SE algorithm, performed on Whittle model $M(k)$. In other words, for the model $M_{S}(k)$, the transition matrix $P_{S}$ and continue reward $c_{S}$ are the result of application of elimination equations (2.18) and (2.20) to $P$ and $c$ with $S$ being set to eliminate.

Define by $G_{S}(x, k)$ function $G$ for model $M_{S}(k)$.
Remark 3.12. The function $G_{S}(x, k) \geq 0$ for $x \in S$.
Remark 3.13. It follows from the Theorem 2.9 , that the set $S$ is no longer an optimal stopping set for the model $M(k), k<k_{0}$, when at least one of $G_{S}(x, k)$ changes sign.

We are interested in the largest value $k<k_{0}$ such that the function $G_{S}(x, k)$ changes its sign for some $x$. The algorithm behavior depends on the way, the sign is changed, in order to accommodate this difference, we can define values $d^{+}$and $d^{-}$as

$$
d^{+}=\inf \left\{k<k_{0}: x \in S_{r}, G_{S}(x, k)>0\right\}
$$

and

$$
d^{-}=\sup \left\{k<k_{0}: x \in C, G_{S}(x, k)<0\right\}
$$

in cases when $d^{+}\left(d^{-}\right)$does not exist is convenient to assign value of $-\infty$ to $d^{+}\left(d^{-}\right)$.

Theorem 3.14 (General step of iteration). Suppose that in a Whittle model $M_{S}(k)$ at least one of the following inequalities is true: $d^{+}>-\infty, d^{-}>-\infty$. Then

1. If $d^{+}>d^{-}$, then $w(x)=d^{+}$for all $x$ such that $G_{S}\left(x, d^{+}\right)=0$.
2. If $d^{-}>d^{+}$, then $t(x)=d^{-}$for all for $x$ such that $G_{S}\left(x, d^{-}\right)=0$
3. If $d^{-}=d^{+}$, then $w(x)=d^{+}$for all $x \in S_{r}$ such that $G_{S}\left(x, d^{+}\right)=0$, and $t(x)=d^{-}$for all for $x \in C$ such that $G_{S}\left(x, d^{-}\right)=0$.

Proof. First, define the set $D$ as the set where we found first change of sign for $G_{S}(x, k), D=$ $\left\{x: G_{S}\left(x, \max \left(d^{+}, d^{-}\right)\right)=0\right\}$. Now let us prove each statement one by one.

Case $d^{+}>d^{-}$. Definition of $d^{+}$, inequality $d^{+}>d^{-}$and Proposition 2.12 imply that $S=S_{q} \cup S_{r}$ is an optimal stopping set for model $M(k)$ for all $k \in\left[d^{+}, k_{0}\right)$, and for any small $\varepsilon>0$

$$
G_{S}\left(x, d^{+}\right)=0, x \in D, G_{S}\left(x, d^{+}-\varepsilon\right)<0, x \in C \cup D, G_{S}\left(x, d^{+}-\varepsilon\right)>0, x \in S_{r} \backslash D
$$

These inequalities, definitions of $S_{q}$ and $S_{r}$ and Proposition 2.12 immediately imply that $w(x)=$ $d^{+}$for all $x \in D$ and there is no other values of $w(x)$ or $t(x)$ on the interval $\left[d^{+}, k_{0}\right)$.

In addition, since $G_{S}\left(x, d^{+}-\varepsilon\right)<0$ for $x \in C \cup D$, the optimal stopping set $S\left(d^{+}-\varepsilon\right)=$ $S\left(k_{0}\right) \backslash D$. Since it is irrelevant, whether to stop or continue for $x \in D$ at $k=d^{+}$, we can set $S\left(d^{+}\right)=S\left(d^{+}-\varepsilon\right)$.

Create a new model $M_{S\left(d^{+}\right)}$by using applying elimination procedure to $M_{S}$ with eliminated set $D$. Because $G_{S}\left(x, d^{+}\right)=0$ for all $x \in D$, then by equation $(2.25)$ the sign of all $G_{S\left(d^{+}\right)}\left(x, d^{+}\right)$ coincides with sign of $G_{S}\left(x, d^{+}\right)$. By Proposition 2.8 this means that $S\left(d^{+}\right)$is an optimal stopping set for model $M(k)$ at $k=d^{+}-\varepsilon$.

Case $d^{-}<d^{+}$. This part is very similar to the previous one, the difference is that set $D$ will be added to the stopping set $S$, and for this case we obtain $t(x)$. Definition of $d^{+}$, inequality $d^{+}>d^{-}$ and Proposition 2.12 imply that $S=S_{q} \cup S_{r}$ is an optimal stopping set for model $M(k)$ for all $k \in\left[d^{-}, k_{0}\right)$, and for any small $\varepsilon>0$

$$
G_{S}\left(x, d^{-}\right)=0, x \in D, G_{S}\left(x, d^{-}-\varepsilon\right)<0, x \in C \backslash D, G_{S}\left(x, d^{-}-\varepsilon\right)>0, x \in S_{q} \cup D
$$

These inequalities, definitions of $S_{q}$ and $S_{r}$ and Proposition 2.12 immediately imply that $t(x)=$ $d^{-}$for all $x \in D$ and there is no other values of $w(x)$ or $t(x)$ on the interval $\left[d^{-}, k_{0}\right)$.

The rest is analogous to the previous case, using the same derivation, the set $S\left(d^{-}\right)=S\left(k_{0}\right) \cup D$ is the optimal stopping set for for model $M(k)$ at $k=d^{+}-\varepsilon$.

Case $d^{-}=d^{+}$. This case is a combination of previous two cases, the proof is exactly the same: define $D^{+}$and $D^{-}$as sets, which lead to $d^{+}$and $d^{-}$, then set $w(x)=d^{+}$for $x \in D^{+}$, set $t(x)=d^{-}$ for $x \in D^{-}$, then define $S\left(d^{+}\right)=\left(S\left(k_{0}\right) \backslash D^{+}\right) \cup D^{-}$. Using the same derivation, $S\left(d^{+}\right)$is the optimal stopping set for for model $M(k)$ at $k=d^{+}-\varepsilon$.

Corollary 3.15. Similarly to $C Q R$ model with no quit, for large enough $k_{0}$, the optimal strategy for general case is to stop at all $x \in X$ and obtain reward $g\left(x, k_{0}\right)=r(x)+k$, in other words, $S\left(k_{0}\right)=X$, moreover $S_{r}=X$. Using this large $k_{0}$ as initial point, we can use proof of theorem as a basis of algorithm to find $w(s)$ together with optimal strategy $\pi^{*}(w(s))$.

Remark 3.16. It could happen that for some $x$ the $G_{S}(x, k)$ is never zero. Then, $x \in S(k)$ for all values of $k$, in particular, for $k=\gamma(x)$, the value function is $v(x, \gamma(x))=r(x)+\gamma(x)$, and $v(x, \gamma(x)-\varepsilon)=q(x)$. Therefore, for such states $x, w(x)=\gamma(x)$.

Corollary 3.17. From previous remark and Lemma 3.9 and the fact that elimination does not change sign of $G_{S}(x, k)$, if $w(x)$ is not found when $k=\gamma(x)$, we immediately have that $w(x)=$ $t(x)=\gamma(x)$. The meaning of this special case is that optimal strategy for this state, as a function of $k$ is always to stop-and obtain $q(x)$ or $r(x)+k$. The graph of value function $v(x, k)$ for this case is given in Figure 3.3.

Remark 3.18. Previous corollary means that $w(x)$ exists for each state $x$. It might happen that $t(x)$ does not exists, it means that for this state $x, v(x, k)>q(x)$ for all $k$. The graph of value function $v(x, k)$ for this case is given in Figure 3.4.

### 3.4 Algorithm

Theorem 3.14 and Corollary 3.17 serve as foundation of the algorithm. Indeed, for large enough $k$, all $G_{S}(x, k)$ are positive, therefore, function $G_{S}(x, k)$ should either be equal to zero for some $k$ or stay positive. Therefore, Theorem 3.14 and Corollary 3.17 cover all possible cases and allow us to compute $w(x)$ for all $x$.

Corollary 3.15 providing starting value $k_{0}$ and optimal strategy for this $k_{0}$, i.e., $S_{r}=S\left(k_{0}\right)=X$. The results of previous section allow calculation algorithm to directly track sets $S_{r}(k), S_{q}(k)$, and $C$ in the following way

- we begin with $S_{r}=X$,
- elimination can only happen to $x \in S_{r}$, it means that $x$ moves from set $S_{r}$ to set $C$,
- insertion can only happen if $x \in C$, it means that $x$ moves from set $C$ to set $S_{q}$,
- application of Corollary 3.17 to $x$ also means that $x$ moves from set $S_{r}$ to $S_{q}$.

Remark 3.19. By the Abstract Optimization Theorem, Theorem 2.22, the sets $S_{r}, S_{q}$, and $C$ at $k=w(s)$ provide optimal strategy for CQR problem. Namely, the optimal strategy is to continue if $x \in C$, restart to $s$ if $x \in S_{r}$, and to quit if $x \in S_{q}$.

Remark 3.20. The values of $\gamma(x)$ do not change with elimination or insertion, as a result, the intervals $\Delta_{i}$ stay the same with elimination or insertion.

Theorem 3.14 provides recursive way to compute $w(x)$ and $t(x)$, moreover, after $w(x)$ or $t(x)$ is found, we need to recompute all $G_{S}(x, k)$. Therefore it is more convenient to consider $k$ in intervals $\Delta_{i}$ one by one, starting from $\Delta_{M}$. Each $G_{S}(x, k)$ is linear on intervals $\Delta_{i}$, which simplifies solution of equation $G_{S}(x, k)=0$.

The algorithm works in two directions. From the one hand it tracks change in the function $G_{S}(x, k)$ caused by moving $k$ from one interval $\Delta_{i}$ to another, from the other hand, evolves model $M_{S}(k)$, which changes when optimal stopping set is being changed.

The algorithm is given in Algorithm 3.2. The complexity of the algorithm is $O\left(n^{3}\right)$, where $n=|X|$.

```
Algorithm 3.2 Finding \(h(s), t(s)\) in CQR problem
    Input: CQR model \(M(X, P, c, q, r)\), return state \(s \in X\)
    Output: optimal strategy \(\pi\) for the model, value function \(h(s)\) for state \(s\)
    \(S_{q} \leftarrow \emptyset, C \leftarrow \emptyset, S_{r} \leftarrow X\)
    \(k_{0} \leftarrow \infty\)
    \(\gamma(x) \leftarrow q(x)-r(x), x \in X\)
    \(\Delta_{i} \leftarrow\) intervals based on ordered set of \(-\infty,\{\gamma(x)\}, \infty\)
    \(M \leftarrow|\Delta|\)
    for \(i=M\) to 1 do
        repeat
            \(d^{+} \leftarrow \max \left(k: G_{S}(x, k)=0, x \in S_{r}, k<k_{0}, k \in \Delta_{i} ;-\infty\right)\left\{\right.\) set \(d^{+}\)to \(-\infty\) if it does not exists \(\}\)
            \(d^{-} \leftarrow \max \left(k: G_{S}(x, k)=0, x \in C, k<k_{0}, k \in \Delta_{i} ;-\infty\right)\left\{\right.\) set \(d^{-}\)to \(-\infty\) if it does not exists \(\}\)
            if \(d^{+}>-\infty\) or \(d^{-}>-\infty\) then
                \(D^{+} \leftarrow \emptyset\)
                    \(D^{-} \leftarrow \emptyset\)
                if \(d^{+}>d^{-}\)or \(d^{+}=d^{-}\)then
                \(D^{+} \leftarrow\left\{x: G_{S}\left(x, d^{+}\right)=0, x \in S_{r}\right\}\)
                \(w(x) \leftarrow d^{+}, x \in D^{+}\)
            end if
            if \(d^{-}>d^{+}\)or \(d^{+}=d^{-}\)then
                \(D^{-} \leftarrow\left\{x: G_{S}\left(x, d^{-}\right)=0, x \in C\right\}\)
                \(t(x) \leftarrow d^{-}, x \in D^{-}\)
                    end if
                    \((P, c) \leftarrow\) use equations (2.27)-(2.30) to eliminate set \(D^{+}\)and insert set \(D^{-}\)
                    \(S_{r} \leftarrow S_{r} \backslash D^{+}, S_{q} \leftarrow S_{q} \cup D^{-}, C \leftarrow\left(C \cup D^{+}\right) \backslash D^{-}\)
                    \(k_{0} \leftarrow \max \left(d^{+}, d^{-}\right)\)
                    if \(w(s)\) is found then
                    set optimal strategy \(\pi\) based on partition into sets \(S_{q}, C\), and \(S_{r}\)
                    \(h(s) \leftarrow w(s)\)
                    return \(\pi\) and \(h(s)\)
                    end if
            end if
        until there was elimination or insertion on interval \(\Delta_{i}\)
        \(k_{0} \leftarrow \min \left(\Delta_{i}\right)\left\{k_{0}\right.\) is equal to the leftmost point of interval \(\left.\Delta_{i}\right\}\)
        \{check condition of Corollary 3.17: find non-eliminated states for which \(G_{S}(x, k)\) is minimal\}
        if \(\gamma(x)=k_{0}\) for some \(x \in S_{r}\) then
            \(D \leftarrow\left\{x: \gamma(x)=k_{0}, x \in S_{r}\right\}\) \{apply Corollary 3.17\}
            \(w(x) \leftarrow k_{0}, t(x) \leftarrow k_{0}, x \in D\)
            \(S_{r} \leftarrow S_{r} \backslash D, S_{q} \leftarrow S_{q} \cup D\)
            if \(w(s)\) is found then
                    set optimal strategy \(\pi\) based on partition into sets \(S_{q}, C\), and \(S_{r}\)
                    \(h(s) \leftarrow w(s)\)
                    return \(\pi\) and \(h(s)\)
            end if
        end if
    end for
```


## CHAPTER 4: ALGORITHM ANALYSIS

### 4.1 Complexity

This section is devoted to estimation Let us find the The algorithm consists of two main parts: find $d^{+}, d^{-}$and elimination/insertion.

The elimination and insertion, done by equations (2.27)-(2.30). The elimination/insertion on $W_{D}$ have exactly the same complexity. Let us compute complexity to eliminate single state $z$, it involves

- one addition and two multiplications to compute new value of $w(x, y)$, except for $w(x, z)$,
- one multiplication to compute new value for column $w(\cdot, z)$,
- one addition and one multiplication to compute new value of $c(y)$, except for $c(z)$,
- one multiplication to compute new value of $c(z)$.

In total, elimination/insertion step requires $n(n-1)+n-1=n^{2}-1$ additions, let us round number of additions to $n^{2}$, and $2 n(n-1)+n+n=2 n^{2}$ multiplications.

Corollary 4.1. Complexity to eliminate or insert one state is $2 n^{2}$ multiplications and $n^{2}$ additions.
Another time consuming step of the algorithm is to find solution for linear equation $G_{S}(x, k)=0$ for the given interval $\Delta_{i}$. The equations for this step are equations (3.13)-(3.14). For given $x$, only one of these equations is used, the complexity for these equations is the same

- finding slope of $G_{S}(x, k)$ requires partial summation of $p(x, y)$, consider the worst case, then we have $n$ additions for this step,
- finding intercept is done by summation of $p(x, y) f(y)$, where is $f(y)$ can be $q(y)$ or $r(y)$, depending on the test $\gamma(y)>k$, therefore, intercept requires $n$ multiplications and $n$ additions. Therefore, finding $d^{+}$or $d^{-}$for each state $x$, requires $2 n$ multiplications and $n$ additions. If $x \in S_{q}$, then it is impossible for $G_{S}(x, k)$ to become zero again, therefore we do not have to solve for $G_{S}(x, k)=0$. However, we do not know in advance how big $S_{q}$ will be, and, it is possible for $S_{q}$ to be empty at the end of algorithm, therefore, assume worst case scenario, and consider the complexity of this step to be $2 n^{2}$ multiplications and $n^{2}$ additions. It also involves $n$ divisions, which we ignore.

Corollary 4.2. Complexity to find $d^{+}$and $d^{-}$is $2 n^{2}$ multiplications and $n^{2}$ additions.

Remark 4.3. It is possible to avoid recomputing equations (3.13)-(3.14) completely, when current interval changes from $\Delta_{i}$ to $\Delta_{i-1}$ : the change of current interval changes result of only one comparison $\gamma(y)>k$, therefore, we can only apply this change to recompute slope and intercept of $G_{S}(x, k)$.

In general, we do not know when algorithm finds $w(s)$, in addition, it is hard to assume what would be the distribution of optimal strategies for given problem. Therefore, it makes sense to consider worst case scenario, when we have to perform the most amount of work possible.

Worst case scenario means that $w(s)$ is found at the last interval after eliminating and inserting all other states. Therefore, we have $n-1$ insertions and $n-1$ eliminations, which amounts to $4 n^{3}$ multiplications and $2 n^{3}$ additions. Moreover, for each elimination or insertion, we have to recompute $d^{+}$and $d^{-}$, this gives another $4 n^{3}$ multiplications and $2 n^{3}$ additions.

Recomputation of $d^{+}$and $d^{-}$when current interval changes from $\Delta_{i}$ to $\Delta_{i-1}$ has complexity of $2 n(n+1)$ additions and $n(n+1)$ divisions, which we ignore.

Remark 4.4. The constant before $n^{2}$ term for number of multiplications and additions is less than 4, it is implementation dependent and can be ignored.

Corollary 4.5. The worst case complexity for the $C Q R$ algorithm is $8 n^{3}$ multiplications and $4 n^{3}$ additions.

### 4.2 Linear Programming Formulation

Let us develop some alternate way to calculate optimal strategy for CQR model. The generic, yet, still efficient alternate way is to find optimal strategy for models, maximizing total discounted expected reward for infinite time horizon, is to use linear programming approach, for more details see Puterman [2005].

Consider model with multiple restart points,

$$
M=\left(X, B, P, A(x), c(x), q(x), r_{j}(x), j=1,2, \ldots, m, \beta(x),|X|=n\right)
$$

We assume that this problem is well-defined and has finite solution. For example, the problem in this formulation could have infinite solution in case when restart loops with positive reward exist.

Since we can easily obtain the value function given strategy $\pi$, the simplest possible approach could be the total enumeration of all strategies. Unfortunately, the complexity of this approach is
exponential. At each state the number of possible actions is $m+1$ for states to which restart is possible and $m+2$ for states, not used as restart destinations. Therefore, the number of possible strategies is $(m+2)^{n-m}(m+1)^{m}$. The complexity to compute value function is $O\left(n^{3}\right)$, which might be possible to decrease to $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ if we consider only changes in strategies, for estimation of the complexity, we can safely assume that it is $O\left(n^{2}\right)$. Since this approach is inefficient for number of states greater than 20 , we need to look into more efficient methods.

The solution of CQR problem is the minimal solution of corresponding Bellman equation, therefore it can be written as

$$
\frac{\geq c}{} \begin{array}{rcl}
\text { (quit) } & I v & \geq q \\
\text { (restart) } & v_{i}-v_{j} & \geq r_{j}(i), i \neq j
\end{array}
$$

where $i=1 . . n, j=1 . . m$. Each constraint corresponds to the appropriate action

- continue constraint means that value $v_{i}$ should be greater or equal than continue reward plus value, obtained from $(P v)_{i}$, i.e. $v_{i} \geq c_{i}+(P v)_{i}$, which can be written in a matrix form as $(I-P) v \geq c$,
- quit constraint means that each value $v_{i}$ should be greater or equal than the quit reward $c_{i}$,
- restart constraint means for every restart state $j, j=1 \ldots m$, and every state $i, i \neq j, v_{i}$ is greater than restart reward and value, obtained at restart point, i.e. $v_{i} \geq r_{j}(i)+v_{j}$.

There are $n$ continue constraints, $n$ quit constraints. Each restart constraint does not include restart point which gives total of $m(n-1)$ restart constraints. Total number of constraints is equal to $2 n+(n-1) m$, for single restart point, the number of constraints is $3 n-1$.

Change the inequality sign, and, in order to simplify further notation, let us write the linear programming problem in the matrix form, which also serves as definition of vector $b$ and matrix $A$

$$
\min \sum v_{i}
$$

subject to

$$
\begin{equation*}
-A v \quad \leq-b \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

here

- $b_{k}$ corresponds for continue, quit, and restart costs,
- $A=\left(a_{k l}\right)$ is a matrix corresponding to left side of constraints,
- $l=1 . . . n, k=1 . .2 n+(n-1) m$.

The dual problem can be written as

$$
\max \sum y_{k} b_{k}
$$

subject to

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{k} y_{k} a_{k l} & =1  \tag{4.3}\\
y_{k} & \geq 0
\end{align*}
$$

The dual problem is written in the standard form and can be used as an input to the simplex algorithm without any further modifications.

The quit constraint in primal problem is $I v \geq q$. The columns in dual problem, corresponding to the quit constraint are very convenient choice for initial basis for the simplex algorithm.

Since the dual problem has $n$ constraints, its optimal solution $y^{*}$ contains exactly $n$ non-zero values. By the theorem on complementary slackness, knowing, which optimal values are non-zero, or form the basis of the simplex algorithm, gives us the optimal strategy for the primal problem.

The complexity to solve the problem in linear programming formulation is not less, than our algorithm, simply because solution of linear programming problem, at least implicitly, involves matrix inversion of the size $n \times n$, which is already $O\left(n^{3}\right)$. Moreover, our algorithm can be started at arbitrary value of $k_{0}$ and has transparent probabilistic meaning.
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## APPENDIX A: SAMPLE CALCULATION

The goal of this appendix is to show how algorithm works for both cases, considered in the text, case with no quit action, and general case. Both sample calculations are performed on a sample chain with 5 states and variable discount factor. The transition matrix for both cases does not correspond to the full graph, this done intentionally in order to show how elimination and insertion change transition matrix. Transitions are shown on Figure

All probabilities in transition matrix, rewards, and calculated values are shown rounded to two digits after decimal point.

## A. 1 Sample calculation for case with no quit action

The transition matrix and costs are given in Table A.1. First we need to introduce absorbing state $e$ and apply discount factor to obtain transition matrix, required for the algorithm. The graph of $G(x, k)$ for step 1 is shown in Figure A.2.

The calculation in total takes 3 steps, the elimination step is performed twice. The optimal strategy for CQR problem is determined by the optimal strategy for Whittle family $M(k)$ at point $w(s)$, which is to continue at states $\{b, d, s\}$, restart at states $\{a, c\}$. Note again, that algorithm works on a family of OS problems $M(k)$, however it finds solution for CQR problem. The correctness of this solution was verified by running simplex method for the dual formulation of corresponding linear programming problem.

## A. 2 Sample calculation for general case

Let us add quit action to the problem. The $w(s)$ is found on step 6 of the algorithm. Note, that optimal strategy for $s$ is continue, if we were to find $t(s)=w(s)$, then the optimal strategy would

Figure A.1: Graph for the transition matrix in CQR sample calculation


Table A.1: Sample data for CQR problem with no quit action

| Original transition matrix |  |  |  |  |  | Rewards |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $a$ | $b$ | $c$ | $d$ | $s$ | $\beta(x)$ | $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{x})$ | $\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x})$ |
| $a$ | 0.3 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.7 | 1 | 0 |
| $b$ | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 6 | 1 |
| $c$ | 0.2 | 0 | 0.2 |  | 0.6 | 0.7 | 1 | 4 |
| $d$ | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.5 | 1 | 6 |
| $s$ | 0 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 1 | 0 |

Figure A.2: CQR problem with no quit action. Graph of $G(x, k)$ for step 1. The green thick line on the right in the middle correspond to the maximal value of $d^{+}(x)$.


Table A.2: CQR problem with no quit action, step 1. This is the first step of calculation, $k_{0}$ is set to $\infty, S_{r}=X$, no states are eliminated. The value $d^{+}(x)$ is maximal for the state $b$, which means that we need to eliminate state $b$ for the next step, also we found $w(b)=8.07$.

| Transition matrix $P$, state $e$ not shown |  |  |  |  | Rewards |  | Calculated values |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $a$ | $b$ | $c$ | $d$ | $s$ | $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{x})$ | $\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x})$ | $d^{+}(x)$ | $w(x)$ | $\pi\left(\max d^{+}(x)\right)$ |
| $a$ | 0.21 | 0 | 0.14 | 0.35 | 0 | 1 | 0 | -14.10 |  | restart |
| $b$ | 0 | 0.21 | 0 | 0.28 | 0.21 | 6 | 1 | 8.07 | 8.07 | continue |
| $c$ | 0.14 | 0 | 0.14 | 0 | 0.42 | 1 | 4 | -1.47 |  | restart |
| $d$ | 0.49 | 0.07 | 0 | 0.14 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 4.30 |  | restart |
| $s$ | 0 | 0.03 | 0.24 | 0 | 0.21 | 0 | 0 | 1.86 |  | restart |

Table A.3: CQR problem with no quit action, step 2. Set $k_{0}$ to the last found $w(x), k_{0}=8.07$. State $b$ is eliminated and is no longer in consideration. The matrix shown in the output is no longer transition matrix, transition matrix can be obtained by setting values in row $b$ to zero. The value $d^{+}(x)$ is maximal for the state $d$, which means that we need to eliminate state $d$ for the next step, also we found $w(d)=4.68$.

| Matrix $W$, eliminated states: $b$ |  |  |  | Rewards |  | Calculated values |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $a$ | $b$ | $c$ | $d$ | $s$ | $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{x})$ | $\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x})$ | $d^{+}(x)$ | $w(x)$ | $\pi\left(\max d^{+}(x)\right)$ |
| $a$ | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0 | -14.10 |  | restart |
| $b$ | 0.00 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 0.35 | 0.27 | 7.59 | 1 |  | 8.07 | continue |
| $c$ | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.42 | 1.00 | 4 | -1.47 |  | restart |
| $d$ | 0.35 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.01 | 1.38 | 6 | 4.68 | 4.68 | continue |
| $s$ | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.24 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 1.23 | 0 | 2.06 |  | restart |

Table A.4: CQR problem with no quit action, step 3 . Set $k_{0}$ to the last found $w(x), k_{0}=4.68$. Found $w(s)$ and optimal strategy: continue at states $\{b, d, s\}$, restart at states $\{a, c\}$

| Matrix $W$, eliminated states: $b, d$ |  |  |  |  | Rewards |  | Calculated values |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $a$ | $b$ | $c$ | $d$ | $s$ | $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{x})$ | $\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x})$ | $d^{+}(x)$ | $w(x)$ | $\pi\left(\max d^{+}(x)\right)$ |
| $a$ | 0.35 | 0.03 | 0.14 | 0.40 | 0.01 | 1.55 | 0 | -6.46 |  | restart |
| $b$ | 0.14 | 0.29 | 0.00 | 0.40 | 0.27 | 8.15 | 1 |  | 8.07 | continue |
| $c$ | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.42 | 1.00 | 4 | -1.47 |  | restart |
| $d$ | 0.40 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.02 | 1.56 | 6 |  | 4.68 | continue |
| $s$ | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.24 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 1.24 | 0 | 2.09 | 2.09 | continue |

Figure A.3: CQR problem with no quit action. Graph of $G(x, k)$ for step 3. The black line labeled k 0 correspond to the values $k$, already covered by the algorithm. The green thick line on the right in the middle correspond to the maximal value of $d^{+}(x)$.


Table A.5: Sample data for CQR problem

| Original transition matrix |  |  |  |  |  | Rewards |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $a$ | $b$ | $c$ | $d$ | $s$ | $\beta(x)$ | $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{x})$ | $\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x})$ | $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{x})$ |
| $a$ | 0.3 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.7 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| $b$ | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 6 | 1 | 2 |
| $c$ | 0.2 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 1 | 4 | 1 |
| $d$ | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.7 | 1 | 6 | 0 |
| $s$ | 0 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

Figure A.4: CQR problem. Graph of $G(x, k)$ for step 1.

be to quit at state $s$.
Optimal strategy for the CQR problem: continue at states $\{b, s\}$, quit at states $\{c, d\}$, restart at states $\{a\}$. The correctness of this solution was verified by running simplex method for the dual formulation of corresponding linear programming problem.

Table A.6: CQR problem, step 1. Note, that states are reordered in order of decreasing $\gamma(x)$. This is the first step of calculation, $k_{0}$ is set to $\infty$, working interval $\Delta_{i}=[6, \infty), S_{r}=X$, no states are eliminated. Found $w(b)=14.73$, state $b$ is eliminated for step 2 .

| Matrix $W$ |  |  |  |  |  | Rewards |  |  | Calculated values |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $d$ | c | $s$ | $b$ | $a$ | $\mathbf{c}(\mathrm{x})$ | $\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x})$ | $\mathbf{r}(\mathrm{x})$ | $d^{+}(x)$ or $d^{-}(x)$ | $t(x)$ | $w(x)$ | $\pi$ |
| $d$ | 0.21 | 0 | 0.14 | 0.35 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 8.70 |  |  | restart |
| $c$ | 0 | 0.21 | 0 | 0.28 | 0.21 | 6 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  | restart |
| $s$ | 0.14 | 0 | 0.14 | 0 | 0.42 | 1 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  | restart |
| $b$ | 0.49 | 0.07 | 0 | 0.14 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 14.73 |  | 14.73 | continue |
| $a$ | 0 | 0.03 | 0.24 | 0 | 0.21 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | restart |

Table A.7: CQR problem, step 2. Value $k_{0}$ is set to $k_{0}=14.73$, working interval $\Delta_{i}=[6, \infty)$. Found $w(d)=9.19$. State $d$ is eliminated for step 3. Note, that these 2 steps are performed exactly in the same way, as in case with no quit.

| Matrix $W$, eliminated states: $\{b\}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Rewards |  | Calculated values |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $d$ | $c$ | $s$ | $b$ | $a$ | $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{x})$ | $\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x})$ | $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{x})$ | $d^{+}(x)$ or $d^{-}(x)$ | $t(x)$ | $w(x)$ | $\pi$ |
| $d$ | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.49 | 1.53 | 0 | 3 | 9.19 |  | 9.19 | continue |
| $c$ | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 1.00 | 1 | 2 | 1.87 |  | restart |  |
| $s$ | 0.01 | 0.24 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 1.23 | 4 | 1 | 2.06 |  |  | restart |
| $b$ | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 7.59 | 6 | 0 | 14.73 |  | 14.73 | continue |
| $a$ | 0.35 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | -4.10 |  |  | restart |

Table A.8: CQR problem, step 3. Value $k_{0}$ is set to $k_{0}=9.19$, working interval $\Delta_{i}=[6, \infty)$. Not found any $d^{+/-}$on working interval. Proceeding to the next interval $\Delta_{i}=[3,6]$.

| Matrix $W$, eliminated states: $\{b, d\}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rewards |  | Calculated values |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $d$ | $c$ | $s$ | $b$ | $a$ | $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{x})$ | $\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x})$ | $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{x})$ | $d^{+}(x)$ or $d^{-}(x)$ | $t(x)$ | $w(x)$ | $\pi$ |  |
| $d$ | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.11 | 0.59 | 1.83 | 0 | 3 |  |  | 9.19 | continue |  |
| $c$ | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 1.00 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  | restart |  |
| $s$ | 0.01 | 0.24 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 1.25 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  | restart |  |
| $b$ | 0.42 | 0.00 | 0.27 | 0.30 | 0.21 | 8.24 | 6 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | 0.42 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.42 | 1.64 | 0 | 0 |  |  | continue |  |  |

Table A.9: CQR problem, step 4. Value $k_{0}$ is set to $k_{0}=6$, working interval $\Delta_{i}=[3,6]$. For the state $G(d, k)=0$ for $k=3.95$, since state $d$ is eliminated, it mean that we found $d^{-}=3.95$; $t(d)=3.95$ and state $d$ should be inserted back.

| Matrix $W$, eliminated states: $\{b, d\}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rewards |  |  | Calculated values |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $d$ | $c$ | $s$ | $b$ | $a$ | $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{x})$ | $\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x})$ | $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{x})$ | $d^{+}(x)$ or $d^{-}(x)$ | $t(x)$ | $w(x)$ | $\pi$ |  |
| $d$ | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.11 | 0.59 | 1.83 | 0 | 3 | 3.95 | 3.95 | 9.19 | quit |  |
| $c$ | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 1.00 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  | restart |  |
| $s$ | 0.01 | 0.24 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 1.25 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  | restart |  |
| $b$ | 0.42 | 0.00 | 0.27 | 0.30 | 0.21 | 8.24 | 6 | 0 | 13.25 |  | 14.73 | continue |  |
| $a$ | 0.42 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.42 | 1.64 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | restart |  |

Figure A.5: CQR problem. Graph of $G(x, k)$ for step 4. Think black line starts at value $k_{0}=6$. Eliminated states are $b$ and $d$.


Table A.10: CQR problem, step 5. Value $k_{0}$ is set to $k_{0}=3.95$, working interval $\Delta_{i}=[3,6]$. Not found any $d^{+/-}$on working interval. Since $\gamma(c)=3$ and we reached $k_{0}=0$, we found $t(c)=w(c)=$ 3 , change optimal strategy for $c$ to quit. Proceed to the next interval $\Delta_{i}=[0,3]$.

| Matrix $W$, eliminated states: $\{b\}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rewards |  |  | Calculated values |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $d$ | $c$ | $s$ | $b$ | $a$ | $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{x})$ | $\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x})$ | $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{x})$ | $d^{+}(x)$ or $d^{-}(x)$ | $t(x)$ | $w(x)$ | $\pi$ |
| $d$ | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.49 | 1.53 | 0 | 3 |  | 3.95 | 9.19 | quit |
| $c$ | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 1.00 | 1 | 2 |  | 3 | 3 | quit |
| $s$ | 0.01 | 0.24 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 1.23 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| $b$ | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 7.59 | 6 | 0 |  |  | restart |  |
| $a$ | 0.35 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 14.73 | continue |

Figure A.6: CQR problem. Graph of $G(x, k)$ for step 5 . Think black line starts at value $k_{0}=3.95$. Note, that $G(x, k)$ for state $c$ is minimal at $k=3$.


Table A.11: CQR problem, step 6 . Value $k_{0}$ is set to $k_{0}=3$, working interval $\Delta_{i}=[0,3]$. Found $w(s)$. Set optimal strategy for $s$ to be continue. Found optimal strategy for the CQR problem: continue at states $\{b, s\}$, quit at states $\{c, d\}$, restart at states $\{a\}$.

| Matrix $W$, eliminated states: $\{b\}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rewards |  |  | Calculated values |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $d$ | $c$ | $s$ | $b$ | $a$ | $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{x})$ | $\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x})$ | $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{x})$ | $d^{+}(x)$ or $d^{-}(x)$ | $t(x)$ | $w(x)$ | $\pi$ |
| $d$ | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.49 | 1.53 | 0 | 3 |  | 3.95 | 9.19 | quit |
| $c$ | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 1.00 | 1 | 2 |  | 3 | 3 | quit |
| $s$ | 0.01 | 0.24 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 1.23 | 4 | 1 | 2.34 |  | 2.34 | continue |
| $b$ | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 7.59 | 6 | 0 |  |  | 14.73 | continue |
| $a$ | 0.35 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 1.63 |  | restart |  |

Figure A.7: CQR problem. Graph of $G(x, k)$ for step 6 . Think black line starts at value $k_{0}=3$. Found $w(s)$. The figure on the right shows the final state of the transition matrix after elimination of states with continue as optimal strategy, colors are responsible for the optimal strategy.



APPENDIX B: PROGRAM LISTING

We used Visual Basic for Applications in Excel 2007 as language of choice. The reasons to choose this language were: ease of input test data, including pretty large matrices, ability to plot results. Since algorithm is fairly quick, we did not need any high-performance language.

## B. 1 StateEliminationInsertion.bas

This module is responsible for performing elimination and insertion step.

Option Explicit
Option Private Module
, Performs state elimination and insertion procedures on the transition , matrix and cost function of Markov Decision Process
,
, Note, that size of transition matrix $P$ is not changing with elimination;
, also, eliminated state still has non-zero values in P.
, These values should be ignored while calculating most of value functionals,
, they are needed to perform insertion of the state back to the MDP.
, Requirements:
, Expects substochastic matrix as input.
, The eliminated state $z$ should have $p(z, z)<1$
,
, Usage:
, Call appropriate function, provide transition matrix, and, , possibly cost function
,
, Limitations:
,
, define elimination enum
Public Enum stateElimStatus

```
    sesInclude , initial state, the state is included in the calculation
    sesEliminate , state is eliminated
    sesFinalInclude , final include, after state was eliminated and put back
```

```
End Enum
' Checks dimensions of the input arrays. The dimensions should match.
,
, Variables:
, [P] in - transition matrix
, [cntCost] in - continue cost vector
,
, Return:
, True if dimensions are OK
, False if dimensions do not match
,
Private Function checkDimensions(P() As Double, cntCost() As Double,
    idxState As Long) As Boolean
    ' lower bound should be 1
    If LBound(P)}<>1\mathrm{ Or LBound(P, 2) < 1 Or LBound(cntCost) < 
        checkDimensions = False
        Exit Function
        End If
    ' upper bound should match
        Dim size As Long
        size = UBound(cntCost)
        If UBound(P) <> size Or UBound(P, 2) <> size Then
        checkDimensions = False
        Exit Function
    End If
End Function
, Performs elimination of single state
,
, Variables:
, [P] in/out - transition matrix
, [cntCost] in/out - continue cost vector
, [idxState] in - index of state to eliminate
```

, Remarks:
,
Public Sub eliminateState ( P() As Double, cntCost() As Double, idxState As Long) Dim size As Long
size $=$ UBound (cntCost)
, need to compute continue cost first, then change the probability matrix Dim cz As Double ' c(z)

Dim nz As Double ' $1 /(1-\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{z})$
$\mathrm{cz}=\operatorname{cntCost}(\mathrm{idxState})$
$\mathrm{nz}=1 \# /(1 \#-\mathrm{P}($ idxState,$\quad$ idxState $))$

Dim idxRow As Long, idxCol As Long, idx As Long
, compute new continue cost
For idxRow $=1$ To size

$$
\text { If idxRow }=\text { idxState Then }
$$

' transformation is the same, but has simpler form if $x=z$ $\operatorname{cntCost}($ idxRow $)=\mathrm{nz} * \mathrm{cz}$

Else
$\operatorname{cntCost}($ idxRow $)=\operatorname{cntCost(idxRow)}+\mathrm{P}($ idxRow, idxState) $* \mathrm{nz} * \mathrm{cz}$
End If
Next idxRow
, carefully compute new transition matrix
, 1. Go over all rows and columns in all states, except eliminated state For idxRow $=1$ To size

For $\operatorname{idxCol}=1$ To size
If idxCol $<$ idxState And idxRow $<$ idxState Then
$\mathrm{P}($ idxRow, idxCol$)=\mathrm{P}($ idxRow, idxCol$)+_{-}$

```
P(idxRow, idxState) * nz * P(idxState, idxCol)
```

End If
Next idxCol
Next idxRow
, 2. Compute the values for eliminated state. Again, the formula is the same as in (1.), but it has simpler form

For $\mathrm{idx}=1$ To size
, need to have this comparison in order to avoid divinding $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{z})$ twice If idx $\ll$ idxState Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{idxState}, \mathrm{idx})=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{idxState}, \operatorname{idx}) * \mathrm{nz} \\
& \mathrm{P}(\text { idx, idxState })=\mathrm{P}(\text { idx, idxState }) * \mathrm{nz}
\end{aligned}
$$

Else
, idx is equal to idxState $\mathrm{P}($ idxState, idxState$)=\mathrm{P}($ idxState, idxState$) * \mathrm{nz}$

End If
Next idx
End Sub
, Performs insertion of single state
,
, Variables:
, [P] in/out - transition matrix
, [cntCost] in/out - continue cost vector
, [idxState] in $\quad$ index of state to eliminate
,
, Remarks:
,

Public Sub insertState (P() As Double, cntCost () As Double, idxState As Long) Dim size As Long

```
size = UBound(cntCost)
```

, need to compute continue cost first, then change the probability matrix
Dim cz As Double , c(z)
Dim nz As Double , $1 /(1+\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{z})$
$c z=\operatorname{cntCost}(i d x S t a t e)$
$n z=1 \# /(1 \#+P($ idxState,$~ i d x S t a t e))$

Dim idxRow As Long, idxCol As Long, idx As Long
, compute new continue cost
For idxRow $=1$ To size
If idxRow $=$ idxState Then
, transformation is the same, but has simpler form if $x=z$
cntCost(idxRow) $=\mathrm{nz} * \mathrm{cz}$
Else
$\operatorname{cntCost}($ idxRow $)=\operatorname{cntCost(idxRow)}-\mathrm{P}($ idxRow, idxState) $* \mathrm{nz} * \mathrm{cz}$
End If
Next idxRow
, carefully compute new transition matrix
, 1. Go over all rows and columns in all states, except eliminated state For idxRow $=1$ To size

For $\operatorname{idxCol}=1$ To size
If idxCol $<$ idxState And idxRow $<$ idxState Then $\mathrm{P}($ idxRow, idxCol$)=\mathrm{P}($ idxRow, idxCol$)-_{-}$ $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{idxRow}, \mathrm{idxState}) * \mathrm{nz} * \mathrm{P}($ idxState, idxCol$)$

End If
Next idxCol
Next idxRow
, 2. Compute the values for eliminated state.
, Again, the formula is the same as in (1.), but it has simpler form

For $\mathrm{idx}=1$ To size
' need to have this comparison in order to avoid divinding $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{z})$ twice If idx $\ll$ idxState Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{idxState}, \mathrm{idx})=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{idxState}, \mathrm{idx}) * \mathrm{nz} \\
& \mathrm{P}(\text { idx, idxState })=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{idx}, \text { idxState }) * \mathrm{nz}
\end{aligned}
$$

Else
, idx is equal to idxState

$$
\mathrm{P}(\text { idxState }, \operatorname{idxState})=\mathrm{P}(\text { idxState }, \operatorname{idxState}) * \mathrm{nz}
$$

End If
Next idx
End Sub

## B. 2 ModelCqr.cls

This module is responsible for storing definition of CQR model.

## Option Explicit

, Reward Model with continue, quit, and restart
, All arrays start with index 1
Private m_name As String ' name
Private m_stateNames () As String , state names
Private m_transitionMatrix () As Double original transition matrix
Private m_restartCost() As Double original restart cost,
, has the same size as transition matrix
Private m_restartAllowed () As Boolean $\quad$ vector of restart flags, has true,
, if restart to this state is allowed
Private m_contCost() As Double cost function for continue
Private m_quitCost() As Double , cost function for quit
Private m_terminationProb() As Double , probability of termination
Private m_size As Long ' size of original model,
, not including terminal state


```
, Expose elements to user
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
, name
Public Property Get name() As String
    name = m_name
```

End Property
Public Property Let name(modelName As String)
$\mathrm{m}_{\text {_name }}=$ modelName
End Property
, Transition Matrix
Public Property Get transitionMatrix () As Double()
transitionMatrix $=m$ _transitionMatrix
End Property
Public Property Let transitionMatrix (matrix () As Double)
m _transitionMatrix $=$ matrix

End Property
, State Names
Public Property Get stateNames() As String()
stateNames $=$ m_stateNames
End Property
Public Property Let stateNames(names() As String) m _stateNames $=$ names

End Property
, Cost
Public Property Get contCost() As Double()
contCost $=\mathrm{m}_{-}$contCost
End Property
Public Property Let contCost(costVector () As Double) $\mathrm{m}_{\text {_ }}$ contCost $=$ costVector

End Property
, Cost

```
Public Property Get quitCost() As Double()
    quitCost = m_quitCost
End Property
Public Property Let quitCost(costVector() As Double)
    m_quitCost = costVector
End Property
, Probability of termination
Public Property Get terminationProb() As Double()
    terminationProb = m_terminationProb
End Property
Public Property Let terminationProb(terminationProbability() As Double)
    m_terminationProb = terminationProbability
End Property
, Restart cost
Public Property Get restartCost() As Double()
    restartCost = m_restartCost
End Property
Public Property Let restartCost(restartCostMatrix() As Double)
    m_restartCost = restartCostMatrix
End Property
, Restart allowed
Public Property Get restartAllowed() As Boolean() restartAllowed \(=\mathrm{m} \_\)restartAllowed
End Property
Public Property Let restartAllowed(restartAllowedVector () As Boolean) m_restartAllowed \(=\) restartAllowedVector
End Property
, size
Public Property Get size() As Long size = m_size
End Property
```

$\qquad$
, Error check

Public Function VerifyInput() As Boolean
Dim size As Long
On Error GoTo errHandler

If LBound $\left(m_{2}\right.$ transitionMatrix $) \ll 1$ Or LBound (m_transitionMatrix, 2) $>1$ Or_
LBound $\left(\mathrm{m}_{\text {_ }}\right.$ restartCost) $<1$ Or LBound $\left(\mathrm{m}_{\text {_ }}\right.$ restartCost, 2) $>1$ Then
VerifyInput $=$ False
Exit Function
End If

If LBound (m_stateNames) $<1$ Or LBound (m_contCost) $<1$ Or LBound $\left(m_{\text {_ }}\right.$ quitCost $) \ll 1$ Or LBound $\left(m_{\text {_ }}\right.$ terminationProb $)<1$ Or LBound (m_restartAllowed) $<1$ Then

VerifyInput $=$ False
Exit Function
End If
size $=$ UBound $\left(m_{-}\right.$terminationProb)
m _size $=$ size

If UBound $\left(m_{\text {_ }}\right.$ transitionMatrix $)<>$ size Or
UBound $\left(\mathrm{m}_{-}\right.$transitionMatrix, 2$)<\operatorname{size}$ Or UBound (m_restartCost) $<>$ size Or
UBound (m_restartCost, 2) $>$ size Then
VerifyInput $=$ False
Exit Function
End If

If UBound $\left(\mathrm{m}_{\text {_ }}\right.$ stateNames $)<$ size Or UBound $\left(\mathrm{m}_{\text {_ }}\right.$ contCost) $<$ size Or _
$\operatorname{UBound}\left(\mathrm{m}_{\text {_ }}\right.$ quitCost) $<$ size Or UBound $\left(\mathrm{m}_{\text {_ }}\right.$ terminationProb) $<$ size Or
UBound $\left(\mathrm{m}_{\text {_ }}\right.$ restartAllowed $)<$ size Then

```
VerifyInput = False
Exit Function
```

End If

```
    VerifyInput = True
    Exit Function
errHandler:
    VerifyInput = False
```

End Function

## B. 3 SimplexMethodDirect.cls

This module solves linear programming problem using simplex method, assuming that initial feasible basis is given as input.

Option Explicit
, simplex method for problems in the form
,
, max sum $c_{-} \mathrm{j} * \mathrm{x} \_\mathrm{j}$
, subject to
, $\quad$ sum $a_{-} i j * x_{-} j=b_{-} i$
,
, the input also contains the list of basis variables

, Initial variables

Private m_objective() As Double objective function [vector]
Private m_rhs() As Double , rhs of constraints [vector],
' will be made positive
Private m_lhs() As Double , lhs of constraints [matrix]
Private m_basis() As Long , current basis
Private m_numVar As Long , number of variables
Private m_numCon As Long , number of constraints


```
, these variables are created during solution
Private m_M() As Double ' simplex table
, Enumeration for single iteration of simplex method
Private Enum SimplexStatus
    ssOK
    ssUnbounded
    ssFoundSolution
```

End Enum
, Prints current state of the solver to worksheet
,
, Variables:
, [sheet] in - sheet to use as output
, [row] in - sheet row where output should be started
, [col] in - sheet column where output should be started
, [step] in - step \#
, [message] in - custom message
,

Public Function StatePrint(sheet As Worksheet, ByVal row As Long, $\qquad$ ByVal col As Long, step As Long, message As String) As Long StatePrint = row

Exit Function
, print current step and name of the model
sheet. Cells(row, col).value = "Algorithm: simplex method"
row $=$ row +1
sheet. Cells (row, col).value = "Status: " \& message
row $=$ row +1

```
    sheet.Cells(row, col).value = "Iteration: " & (step)
    row = row +1
    OutputMatrixArbitrary sheet, row, col, "Simplex Matrix", m_M
    StatePrint = row + m_numCon + 1 + 2
End Function
    , Purpose:
    , Initialize solver
    ,
, Variables:
, objective [in] -- objective function coefficients, c_j
, lhs [in] -- matrix of left hand side coefficients, a_ij
, rhs [in] -- vector of right hand side coefficients, b_i
, Side effects:
, Changes values of internal class variables
```

Public Sub setup (objective() As Double, lhs () As Double, rhs() As Double,
basis () As Long)
m_objective $=$ objective
$\mathrm{m}_{-}$rhs $=\mathrm{rhs}$
m _lhs $=\mathrm{lhs}$
$\mathrm{m}_{\text {_basis }}=$ basis
, check dimensions
$\mathrm{m}_{-}$numVar $=$UBound $(\mathrm{m}$ _objective $)$
$\mathrm{m} \_$numCon $=$UBound $\left(\mathrm{m} \_\right.$rhs $)$
, left hand side should have dimension m_numCon, m_numVars

Err. Raise 513, "Simplex Method", "Dimensions do not match"
End If

ReDim m_varSlack (1 To m_numCon) As Long
ReDim m_varExcess (1 To m_numCon) As Long
ReDim m_varArt (1 To m_numCon) As Long
'ReDim m_basis (1 To m_numCon) As Long
, setup is done
End Sub
Public Function solve (sheet As Worksheet, ByRef row As Long, ByRef col As Long,
basis () As Long) As Boolean
Dim idxRow As Long
Dim idxCol As Long

ReDim variableValues (1 To m_numVar) As Double
, PHASE 1
, construct simplex table
ReDim m_M(0 To m_numCon, 1 To m_numVar + 1) As Double
, 1. Cost (objective) function
For $\operatorname{idxCol}=1$ To m_numVar $\mathrm{m}_{-} \mathrm{M}(0, \quad$ idxCol $)=-\mathrm{m}$ _objective (idxCol)

Next idxCol
m_M $\left(0, \mathrm{~m}_{-}\right.$numVar +1$)=0 \#$
, 2. LHS
Dim multiplier As Double
For idxRow $=1$ To $\mathrm{m}_{-}$numCon
For $\operatorname{idxCol}=1$ To m_numVar

```
        copy initial LHS
        m_M(idxRow, idxCol) = m_lhs(idxRow, idxCol)
    Next idxCol
    m_M(idxRow, m_numVar + 1) = m_rhs(idxRow)
Next idxRow
, 3. Subtract current basis from objective function
Dim basisColumn As Long
For idxRow = 1 To m_numCon
    basisColumn = m_basis(idxRow)
    For idxCol=1 To m_numVar + 1
        , subtract
        m_M(0, idxCol ) = m_M(0, idxCol ) + m_M(idxRow, idxCol ) *_
                        m_objective(basisColumn)
        round to 0
        If Abs(m_M(0, idxCol )) < 0.00000000001 Then
            m_M(0, idxCol ) = 0
        End If
    Next idxCol
Next idxRow
```

Dim sStatus As SimplexStatus
Dim stepNumber As Long
stepNumber $=1$
, print initial setup
row $=$ StatePrint (sheet, row, col, stepNumber, "Phase 1")

```
, loop until solution is found (or until error)
Do
    Debug.Print "Phase 1 step # " & stepNumber
    stepNumber = stepNumber + 1
    sStatus = simplexStep
    , print current step
    row = StatePrint(sheet, row, col, stepNumber, "Phase 1")
Loop While sStatus = ssOK
If sStatus < ssFoundSolution Then
    solve = False
    Exit Function
```

End If

```
, return basis
basis = m_basis
solve = True
```

End Function
Private Sub pivotStep (ByVal newBasisCol As Long, ByVal oldBasisRow As Long)
, Update basis matrix
$m_{\text {_ basis }}($ oldBasisRow $)=$ newBasisCol
, pivoting step
Dim ratio As Double
Dim idxRow As Long, idxCol As Long
ratio $=1 \# / m_{-} M($ oldBasisRow, newBasisCol)
, 1. Make incoming m_M 1.0

For $\operatorname{idxCol}=1$ To m_numVar +1
m_M(oldBasisRow, $\operatorname{idxCol})=m \_M($ oldBasisRow, $\operatorname{idxCol}) *$ ratio
Next idxCol
, put 1.0 at new basis
m_M(oldBasisRow, newBasisCol $)=1 \#$
, 2. All values in pivoting column should be 0.0 , except for the basis For idxRow $=0$ To m_numCon

If idxRow $<>$ oldBasisRow Then

```
                    ratio = -m_M(idxRow, newBasisCol)
```

For $\operatorname{idxCol}=1$ To m_numVar +1 $\mathrm{m}_{-} \mathrm{M}($ idxRow, $\operatorname{idxCol})=\mathrm{m}_{-} \mathrm{M}($ idxRow, idxCol$)+$ ratio $*_{-}$ m_M(oldBasisRow, idxCol)
, round to 0
If $\operatorname{Abs}\left(\mathrm{m}_{-} \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{idxRow}, \mathrm{idxCol})\right)<0.00000000001$ Then m_M(idxRow, $\operatorname{idxCol})=0$
End If
Next idxCol
' make values exactly 0.0
m_M(idxRow, newBasisCol) $=0 \#$
End If
Next idxRow

End Sub
Private Function simplexStep () As SimplexStatus
, find 1 st negative
Dim idxRow As Long
Dim idxCol As Long

Dim newBasisCol As Long, this variable will be introduced to
, the basis, this index goes from 1 to m_numVar Dim oldBasisRow As Long , this variable goes out of the basis, _
, this index goes from 1 to m_numCon

```
newBasisCol = -1#
oldBasisRow = -1#
```

For $\operatorname{idxCol}=1$ To m_numVar
If m_M(0, idxCol) < 0 Then
newBasisCol $=$ idxCol
Exit For
End If

Next idxCol
' no negative coefficients $\Longrightarrow$ found optimal solution
If newBasisCol $=-1$ Then
simplexStep $=$ ssFoundSolution
Exit Function
End If

Dim pivotFound As Boolean , indicates that at least one
Dim minRatio As Double
Dim currRatio As Double
pivotFound $=$ False
, find which variable to take out
For idxRow $=1$ To m_numCon
If m_M(idxRow, newBasisCol $)>0 \#$ And m_M(idxRow, m_numVar +1 ) $>0 \#$ Then currRatio $=$ m_M(idxRow, m_numVar +1 ) / m_M(idxRow, newBasisCol)

```
differentiate 1st pivot vs all other
    If pivotFound Then
        If currRatio < minRatio Then
            minRatio = currRatio
            oldBasisRow = idxRow
    End If
    Else
    minRatio = currRatio
    oldBasisRow = idxRow
End If
pivotFound = True
End If
Next idxRow
, if pivot is not found \(\Longrightarrow\) unbounded solution
If pivotFound \(=\) False Then
simplexStep \(=\) ssUnbounded
Exit Function
End If
pivotStep newBasisCol, oldBasisRow
simplexStep \(=\) ssOK
```

End Function

## B. 4 SolverCqrLPDual.cls

Solver for the CQR model. Uses Linear Programming dual formulation. The solution is obtained by calling simplex method.

Option Explicit

Solver for the CQR model. Uses Linear Programming dual formulation.

```
, The solution is performed using simplex method.
,
, Requirements:
' CQR model should have substochastic matrix.
,
, Usage:
, Call setModel to pass CQR model
, Call solve after that
,
, Limitations:
' Currently only 1 restart point is supported. It is possible
, to extend this solver to support arbitrary restart points.
,
Private m_cqrModel As ModelCQR ' input CQR model
Private m_size As Long , size of the model
Private m_P() As Double , transition matrix
Private m_Cc() As Double , continue cost function
Private m_Cq() As Double , quit cost function
Private m_Cr() As Double , restart to the single point cost function
Private m_stateName() As String ' state names
Private m_restartIdx As Long , index for the state with restart
, Set model to the solver
,
, Variables:
, [cqrModel] In - input CQR model
,
Public Sub setModel(cqrModel As ModelCQR)
    Set m_cqrModel = cqrModel
    PrepareForCalc
End Sub
, Prepare for calculation
```

```
, Resizes all arrays, and initializes all calc variables
,
, Side effects:
, All member variables are reset
,
Public Sub PrepareForCalc()
    m_cqrModel.VerifyInput
    m_size = m_cqrModel.size
```

    ReDim m_stateName (1 To m_size + 1) As String
    ReDim m_P \(\left(1\right.\) To \(m \_\)size +1 , 1 To \(m \_\)size +1\()\) As Double
    ReDim m_Cc \((1\) To m_size + 1) As Double
    ReDim m_Cq(1 To m_size + 1) As Double
    ReDim m_Cr(1 To m_size + 1) As Double
    Dim idxState As Long
    For idxState \(=1\) To \(m \_\)size
        If m_cqrModel.restartAllowed ()(idxState) Then
            \(m \_r e s t a r t I d x=\) idxState
        End If
        \(m_{\text {_stateName }}(\mathrm{idxState})=\mathrm{m}\) _cqrModel.stateNames () (idxState)
    Next idxState
    m_stateName(m_size + 1) = "*"
    ResetCalcVariables
    End Sub
, Initializes all calc variables using CQR model as input
,
, Side effects:

```
, All member variables are reset
Private Sub ResetCalcVariables()
    Dim idxRow As Long
    Dim idxCol As Long
    For idxRow = 1 To m_size
        For idxCol = 1 To m_size
            m_P(idxRow, idxCol) = _
                m_cqrModel.transitionMatrix()(idxRow, idxCol) * _
                (1# - m_cqrModel.terminationProb()(idxRow))
            m_P(m_size + 1, idxCol ) = 0#
    Next idxCol
    m_P(idxRow, m_size + 1) = m_cqrModel.terminationProb()(idxRow)
    m_Cc(idxRow) = m_cqrModel.contCost()(idxRow)
    m_Cq(idxRow) = m_cqrModel.quitCost()(idxRow)
    m_Cr(idxRow) = m_cqrModel.restartCost()(idxRow, m_restartIdx)
Next idxRow
m_P(m_size + 1, m_size + 1) = 1#
m_Cc(m_size + 1) = 0#
m_Cq(m_size + 1) = 0#
m_Cr(m_size + 1) = 0#
```

End Sub
, Solves CQR model using linear programming formulation
, [sheet] In - worksheet to output results
, [sheetRow] In/out - worksheet row where results should go
,
, Side effects:

```
, variable sheetRow is updated to point to the 1st empty line in worksheet
,
Public Sub solve(sheet As Worksheet, ByRef sheetRow As Long)
```

PrepareForCalc

Dim objectiveFunction () As Double
Dim actionName() As String
Dim actionState () As Long
Dim lhs () As Double
Dim rhs () As Double

Dim numVar As Long, numCon As Long
Dim idxVar As Long, idxCon As Long

Dim sheetCol As Long
sheetCol $=1$
numVar $=3 * m_{-}$size -1
numCon $=\mathrm{m}$ _size

ReDim objectiveFunction (1 To numVar) As Double
ReDim actionName (1 To numVar) As String
ReDim actionState (1 To numVar) As Long
ReDim rhs (1 To numCon) As Double
ReDim lhs (1 To numCon, 1 To numVar) As Double
, value of underlying variables
Dim variableValues () As Double
, define objective function
Dim counter As Long
counter $=1$
For idxVar $=1$ To $\mathrm{m}_{-}$size
, logic for continue
objectiveFunction (idxVar) = m_Cc(idxVar)
actionName(idxVar) = "Continue"
actionState(idxVar) $=$ idxVar
, logic for quit
objectiveFunction (idxVar $+\mathrm{m}_{-}$size) $=\mathrm{m}_{-} \mathrm{Cq}(\mathrm{idxVar})$
actionName (idxVar $+\mathrm{m}_{\text {_ }}$ size $)=$ "Quit"
actionState (idxVar $+\mathrm{m}_{-}$size) $=$idxVar
, logic for restart
If idxVar $\ll \mathrm{m}$ restartIdx Then
objectiveFunction (counter $+2 * \mathrm{~m}_{-}$size) $=\mathrm{m}_{-} \operatorname{Cr}(\mathrm{idx} \operatorname{Var})$
actionName (counter $+2 * \mathrm{~m}_{\text {_ }}$ size $)=$ "Restart"
actionState (counter $+2 * m_{-}$size) $=$idxVar
counter $=$ counter +1
End If
Next idxVar
, LHS, transition matrix P is transposed
For $\operatorname{idxCon}=1$ To m_size
counter $=1$
For idxVar $=1$ To m_size
If idxCon $=$ idxVar Then
$\operatorname{lhs}(i d x C o n, ~ i d x V a r)=1 \#-m \_P(i d x V a r, ~ i d x C o n)$
lhs (idxCon, idxVar $+\mathrm{m}_{-}$size) $=1 \#$
Else
$\operatorname{lhs}(i d x C o n, \quad i d x V a r)=-m_{-} P(i d x V a r, \quad i d x C o n)$
lhs (idxCon, idxVar $+\mathrm{m}_{-}$size) $=0 \#$
End If
, take care of restart
If idxVar $\ll m$ _restartIdx Then

## If idxCon $<m$ restartIdx Then

If idxVar $=$ idxCon Then
lhs (idxCon, counter $+2 * \mathrm{~m}_{\text {_ }}$ size $)=1$
End If
Else
$\operatorname{lhs}\left(i d x C o n\right.$, counter $+2 * \mathrm{~m} \_$size $)=-1$
End If
counter $=$ counter +1
End If

Next idxVar
Next idxCon
, RHS is equal to 1.0
For $\operatorname{idxCon}=1$ To m_size
rhs (idxCon) $=1 \#$
Next idxCon
' create basis, it points to 'quit' dual variables
Dim basis () As Long
ReDim basis (1 To numCon) As Long
For $\operatorname{idxCon}=1$ To $\mathrm{m}_{-}$size
basis (idxCon $)=\mathrm{m}_{-}$size +idxCon
Next idxCon
, call Simplex Method
Dim simplexMethod As New SimplexMethodDirect
simplexMethod.setup objectiveFunction, lhs, rhs, basis
Dim success As Boolean
success $=$ simplexMethod.solve (sheet, sheetRow, sheetCol, basis)
, output result in case of success
If success Then

Dim action () As String

```
ReDim action (1 To m_size +1 ) As String
, assign action based on optimal basis
For \(\operatorname{idxCon}=1\) To m_size
    \(\operatorname{action}(\operatorname{actionState}(\operatorname{basis}(\operatorname{idxCon})))=\operatorname{actionName}(\operatorname{basis}(\operatorname{idxCon}))\)
```

Next idxCon
sheet. Cells (sheetRow, sheetCol) $=$ "Solution using Linear " \& " Programming for dual formulation"
sheetRow $=$ sheetRow +1

OutputVector sheet, sheetRow, sheetCol, "State", m_stateName, m_size +1

OutputVector sheet, sheetRow, sheetCol, "Action", action, m_size + 1
sheetRow $=$ sheetRow $+\mathrm{m}_{\text {_size }}+1+1+1$
End If

End Sub

## B. 5 SolverCqrSEA.cls

State elimination algorithm.
Option Explicit
,
' Solver for the CQR model, handles 2 cases
, * no restart points

* single restart point with known value function
,
, Requirements:
' CQR model should have substochastic matrix.
, Usage:
, Call setModel to pass CQR model
, Call solve after that
,
, Limitations:
, Currently only 1 restart point is supported. It is possible
, to extend this solver to support arbitrary restart points.

Private m_cqrModel As ModelCQR , input CQR model
Private m_size As Long , size of the model
Private m_P() As Double transition matrix after elimination
Private m_Cc() As Double continue cost function after elimination
Private m_Cq() As Double , quit cost function
Private m_Cr() As Double restart to the single point cost function
Private m_stateStatus () As stateElimStatus, current elimination status
Private m_stateName() As String , state names
Private m_restartidx As Long , index for the state with restart
, Set model to the solver
,
, Variables:
, [cqrModel] In - input CQR model
,

Public Sub setModel(cqrModel As ModelCQR)
Set m_cqrModel $=$ cqrModel
PrepareForCalc

End Sub
, Prepare for calculation
, Resizes all arrays, and initializes all calc variables ,
, Side effects:
, All member variables are reset
Public Sub PrepareForCalc ()
$\mathrm{m}_{-}$cqrModel. VerifyInput
$\mathrm{m} \_$size $=\mathrm{m} \_$cqrModel.size

ReDim m_stateName (1 To m_size +1 ) As String ReDim m_stateStatus (1 To m_size + 1) As stateElimStatus ReDim m_P(1 To m_size + 1, 1 To m_size + 1) As Double ReDim m_Cc(1 To m_size + 1) As Double ReDim m_Cq(1 To m_size + 1) As Double ReDim m_Cr(1 To m_size +1 ) As Double

Dim idxState As Long
$m_{\text {_restartIdx }}=-1$

For idxState $=1$ To m_size
If m_cqrModel.restartAllowed ()(idxState) Then m_restartIdx $=$ idxState

End If
m_stateName(idxState) = m_cqrModel.stateNames () (idxState)
Next idxState
m_stateName $\left(\mathrm{m} \_\right.$size +1$)=" * "$

## ResetCalcVariables

End Sub

```
, Initializes all calc variables using CQR model as input
```

,
, Side effects:
, All member variables are reset

Private Sub ResetCalcVariables ()
Dim idxRow As Long
Dim idxCol As Long

For idxRow $=1$ To m_size
For $\operatorname{idxCol}=1$ To $m \_$size
$\mathrm{m}_{-} \mathrm{P}($ idxRow, idxCol$)=$
m_cqrModel.transitionMatrix () (idxRow, idxCol) * _
(1\# - m_cqrModel.terminationProb () (idxRow))
m _ $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{m} \_\right.$size $+1, \quad$ idxCol $)=0 \#$
Next idxCol
$m_{-} \mathrm{P}\left(\right.$ idxRow, $\left.m_{-} \operatorname{size}+1\right)=\mathrm{m}_{-}$cqrModel.terminationProb()(idxRow)
$\mathrm{m}_{-} \mathrm{Cc}($ idxRow $)=\mathrm{m}_{-}$cqrModel. $\operatorname{cont} \operatorname{Cost}()$ (idxRow)
$\mathrm{m}_{\text {_ }} \mathrm{Cq}($ idxRow $)=\mathrm{m}$ _cqrModel. quitCost () (idxRow)
$\mathrm{m}_{-} \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{idxRow})=\mathrm{m}$ _cqrModel.restartCost() (idxRow, m_restartIdx)
Next idxRow
$\mathrm{m}_{-} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{m} \_\right.$size $+1, \mathrm{~m}_{-}$size +1$)=1 \#$
$\mathrm{m}_{-} \mathrm{Cc}\left(\mathrm{m} \_\right.$size +1$)=0 \#$
$\mathrm{m}_{-} \mathrm{Cq}\left(\mathrm{m}_{-}\right.$size +1$)=0 \#$
$\mathrm{m}_{-} \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{m}$ _size +1$)=0 \#$

End Sub
, Prints current state of the model
, Return: number of rows used
Public Function StatePrintSEA (sheet As Worksheet, _
ByVal row As Long, ByVal col As Long, step As Long) As Long
Exit Function
Dim status () As String
Dim w As Variant
Dim $t$ As Variant

Dim c() As Double
Dim beta () As Double
Dim dpm() As Double
Dim $\operatorname{sign}()$ As String
Dim slope() As Double

ReDim status (1 To m_size + 1) As String
$\operatorname{ReDim} \mathrm{w}(1$ To m_size +1$)$ As Variant
$\operatorname{ReDim} t(1$ To m_size +1$)$ As Variant
ReDim c (1 To m_size + 1) As Double
ReDim beta ( 1 To m_size + 1) As Double
ReDim dpm (1 To m_size +1 ) As Double
ReDim $\operatorname{sign}(1$ To m_size +1$)$ As String
ReDim slope (1 To m_size + 1) As Double

Dim idxRow As Long
Dim isnegative As Boolean

For idxRow $=1$ To $m_{-}$size +1
status(idxRow) $=$ "Include"
If m_stateStatus(idxRow) $=$ sesEliminate Then
status (idxRow) $=$ "Eliminate"
End If
If m_stateStatus(idxRow) = sesFinalInclude Then status(idxRow) $=$ "FinalInclude"

End If

Next idxRow
, print current step and name of the model
sheet. Cells (row, col). value $=$ m_cqrModel. name

```
row \(=\) row +1
sheet. Cells (row, col).value = "Iteration: " \& (step)
row \(=\) row +1
OutputVector sheet, row, col, "State", m_stateName, m_size +1
OutputVector sheet, row, col, "Status", status, m_size +1
OutputVector sheet, row, col, "Continue", m_Cc, m_size + 1
OutputVector sheet, row, col, "Quit", m_Cq, m_size + 1
OutputMatrixColorCols sheet, row, col, "Transition Matrix", m_P, _
    m_stateStatus, m_size +1
StatePrintSEA \(=\) row \(+\mathrm{m}_{\text {_ }}\) size \(+1+2\)
End Function
Public Function solve (restartStateValue As Double, _ useRestartValue As Boolean, sheet As Worksheet, _ ByRef sheetRow As Long) As Boolean
    , prepare for calculation
PrepareForCalc
```

Dim idxRow As Long, idxCol As Long
Dim elementStatus () As String , action, continue, quit, or restart
Dim value() As Double, value function

ReDim elementStatus (1 To m_size + 1) As String
ReDim value (1 To m_size + 1) As Double
, if restart point shouldn't be considered set restart index to nonexisting element

If useRestartValue $=$ False Then
$m \_r e s t a r t I d x=-1$
End If

If useRestartValue Then
, if restart point value is known, use it
, apply restartStateValue $=\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{s} \mid \mathrm{s})$ to all states except s
For idxRow $=1$ To m_size
If idxRow $<m_{\text {_ }}$ restartIdx Then
elementStatus(idxRow) $=$ "Quit"
If m_Cq(idxRow) < m_Cr(idxRow) + restartStateValue Then
$\mathrm{m}_{-} \mathrm{Cq}($ idxRow $)=\mathrm{m}_{-} \mathrm{Cr}($ idxRow $)+$ restartStateValue elementStatus (idxRow) = "Restart"

End If
End If
Next idxRow
' make restart state 's' to be the absorbing state
' with $\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{s} \mid \mathrm{s})$, $\mathrm{c}=-\mathrm{inf}$
elementStatus (m_restartIdx) = "Quit"
If m_Cq(m_restartIdx $)<$ restartStateValue Then
elementStatus $(m$ _restartIdx $)=$ "Continue"
End If
$m_{-} C q\left(m_{\text {_ }}\right.$ restartIdx $)=$ restartStateValue
$m_{-} C c\left(m_{\text {_ }}\right.$ restartIdx $)=-1 \mathrm{E}+300$
For idxCol =1 To m_size
$\mathrm{m}_{-} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{m} \_\right.$restartIdx, $\left.\operatorname{idxCol}\right)=0 \#$
Next idxCol
$\mathrm{m}_{-} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{m}_{\text {_ }}\right.$ restartIdx, $\mathrm{m}_{-}$size +1$)=1 \#$
value $\left(\mathrm{m}_{\text {_ }}\right.$ restartIdx $)=$ restartStateValue

Else
, restart point value is not used
' we're solving plain $C Q$ (continue and quit) problem
For idxRow $=1$ To m_size elementStatus(idxRow) $=$ "Quit"

Next idxRow
End If
' success of the current step
Dim elimination As Boolean
Dim gValue As Double
Dim rowToEliminate As Long
sheetRow $=$ StatePrintSEA (sheet, sheetRow, 1, 0)

Do
elimination $=$ False
rowToEliminate $=-1$
For idxRow $=1$ To $\mathrm{m}_{-}$size
process only included states
If m_stateStatus(idxRow) $=$ sesInclude And
idxRow $<\mathrm{m}_{\text {_restartIdx }}$ Then
gValue $=0 \#$
gValue $=\mathrm{m}_{-} \mathrm{Cq}($ idxRow $)-\mathrm{m}_{-} \mathrm{Cc}($ idxRow $)$
For $\operatorname{idxCol}=1$ To m_size
If m_stateStatus (idxCol) $<>$ sesEliminate Then
$\mathrm{gValue}=\mathrm{gV}$ alue $-\mathrm{m} \_\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{idxRow}, \quad \mathrm{idxCol}) * \mathrm{~m}_{-} \mathrm{Cq}(\mathrm{idxCol})$
End If
Next idxCol

If $g$ Value $<0$ Then
, it is optimal to continue here

```
elimination = True
rowToEliminate = idxRow
    m_stateStatus(idxRow) = sesEliminate
    eliminateState m_P, m_Cc, idxRow
    elementStatus(idxRow) = "Continue"
    Exit For
```

    End If
    End If
Next idxRow
sheetRow $=$ StatePrintSEA (sheet, sheetRow, 1, 0)

Loop While elimination $=$ True
' loop over states and calculate value function
' all the states, which are not eliminated are 'Quit'
For idxRow $=1$ To m_size
If m_stateStatus(idxRow) $=$ sesInclude And idxRow $<m_{\text {_ }}$ restartIdx Then value (idxRow) = m_Cq(idxRow)

End If
If $m$ _stateStatus (idxRow) $=$ sesEliminate And idxRow $<m_{\text {_ }}$ restartIdx Then
value(idxRow ) = m_Cc(idxRow)
For $\mathrm{idxCol}=1$ To m _size If m_stateStatus (idxCol) $>$ sesEliminate Then
value(idxRow) $=$ value (idxRow) + m_P(idxRow, idxCol$) * \mathrm{~m}_{-} \mathrm{Cc}(\mathrm{idxCol})$

End If
Next idxCol
End If
Next idxRow
' continue states need precomputed values for 'Quit/Restart' states
For idxRow $=1$ To m_size

```
If \(m\) _stateStatus (idxRow \()=\) sesEliminate And idxRow \(\ll m\) _restartIdx Then
    value(idxRow) = m_Cc(idxRow)
    For \(\operatorname{idxCol}=1\) To \(m_{-}\)size
        If m_stateStatus (idxCol) \(>\) sesEliminate Then
            value (idxRow) \(=\) value (idxRow) \(+_{-}\)
                        m_P(idxRow, idxCol) * value(idxCol)
```

        End If
    Next idxCol
    End If
    Next idxRow
, print result
sheetRow $=$ sheetRow +1
sheet. Cells (sheetRow, 1).value = "Results of SEA algorithm"
sheetRow $=$ sheetRow +1

Dim col As Long
$\operatorname{col}=1$
OutputVector sheet, sheetRow, col, "State", m_stateName, m_size + 1
OutputVector sheet, sheetRow, col, "Value", value, m_size +1
OutputVector sheet, sheetRow, col, "Action ", elementStatus, m_size +1

End Function

## B. 6 SolverCqrNoQuit.cls

Solves CQR problem for the case when there is no quit action.

Option Explicit

```
, Solver for the CQR model with single restart point and no quit action.
, The main algorithm of this solver finds h(s),
, the value at the restart state.
, Then it calls SE algorithm to verify optimality
, of strategy found at point h(s)
,
, Requirements:
, CQR model should have substochastic matrix.
,
, Usage:
, Call setModel to pass CQR model
, Call solve after that
,
, Limitations:
C Currently only 1 restart point is supported. It is possible
, to extend this solver to support arbitrary restart points.
,
Private m_cqrModel As ModelCQR , input CQR model
Private m_size As Long , size of the model
Private m_stateName() As String , state names, sorted against gamma
Private m_restartIdx As Long , index for the state with restart
Private m_stateStatus() As stateElimStatus , current elimination status
Private m_P() As Double , transition matrix after elimination
Private m_Cc() As Double continue cost function after elimination
Private m_Cr() As Double , restart to the single point cost function
Private m_wIndex() As Double
Private m_wIndexKnown() As Boolean
Private m_h_s_s As Double , index h(s)
Private m_hsFound As Boolean , flag, indicating if h(s) is found
, Set model to the solver
, Variables:
```

```
, [cqrModel] In - input CQR model
,
Public Sub setModel(cqrModel As ModelCQR)
    Set m_cqrModel = cqrModel
    ' set -inf to the quit action
    Dim q() As Double
    q = m_cqrModel.quitCost
    Dim idxRow As Long
    For idxRow = 1 To UBound(q)
        q(idxRow ) = 0#
    Next idxRow
    m_cqrModel.quitCost = q
    PrepareForCalc
End Sub
, Prepare for calculation
, Resizes all arrays, and initializes all calc variables
,
, Side effects:
, All member variables are reset
,
Public Sub PrepareForCalc(Optional ByVal reorderGamma As Boolean = True)
    m_size = m_cqrModel.size
    ReDim m_stateName(1 To m_size + 1) As String
    ReDim m_stateStatus(1 To m_size + 1) As stateElimStatus
    ReDim m_P(1 To m_size + 1, 1 To m_size + 1) As Double
    ReDim m_Cc(1 To m_size + 1) As Double
    ReDim m_Cq(1 To m_size + 1) As Double
    ReDim m_Cr(1 To m_size + 1) As Double
    ReDim m_gamma(1 To m_size + 1) As Double
    ReDim m_gammaIdx(1 To m_size + 1) As Long
```

ReDim m_gammaInverseIdx (1 To m_size + 1) As Long
ReDim m_tIndex (1 To m_size + 1) As Double
ReDim m_wIndex (1 To m_size +1 ) As Double
ReDim m_tIndexKnown (1 To m_size + 1) As Boolean
ReDim m_wIndexKnown(1 To m_size + 1) As Boolean
Dim idxState As Long
For idxState $=1$ To $m \_s i z e$ $m_{\text {_ }}$ stateStatus (idxState) $=$ sesInclude If m_cqrModel.restartAllowed () (idxState) Then $m \_r e s t a r t I d x=$ idxState

End If
$\mathrm{m}_{\text {_ }}$ stateName (idxState) $=\mathrm{m}_{\text {_ }}$ cqrModel.stateNames () (idxState)
Next idxState
$m_{\text {_stateName }}\left(\mathrm{m}_{\text {_ }}\right.$ size +1$)=" * "$
ResetCalcVariables
End Sub
, Initializes all calc variables using CQR model as input
,
, Side effects:
, All member variables are reset
,
Private Sub ResetCalcVariables ()
Dim idxRow As Long
Dim idxCol As Long

For idxRow $=1$ To m_size
For $\mathrm{idxCol}=1$ To $\mathrm{m}_{-}$size
$\mathrm{m}_{-} \mathrm{P}($ idxRow, $\quad \mathrm{idxCol})=$
m_cqrModel.transitionMatrix () (idxRow, idxCol) *_ (1\# - m_cqrModel.terminationProb () (idxRow))
$\mathrm{m}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{m} \_\right.$size $\left.+1, \mathrm{idxCol}\right)=0 \#$
Next idxCol

```
m_P(idxRow, m_size + 1) = m_cqrModel.terminationProb()(idxRow)
m_Cc(idxRow) = m_cqrModel.contCost () (idxRow)
m_Cr(idxRow) = m_cqrModel.restartCost()(idxRow, m_restartIdx)
```

Next idxRow

```
\(m_{-} P\left(m_{-}\right.\)size \(+1, m_{-}\)size +1\()=1 \#\)
\(\mathrm{m}_{-} \mathrm{Cc}(\mathrm{m}\) _size +1\()=0 \#\)
\(\mathrm{m}_{-} \mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{m} \_\right.\)size +1\()=0 \#\)
```

End Sub
, Prints current state of the solver to worksheet
,
, Variables:
, [sheet] in - sheet to use as output
, [row] in - sheet row where output should be started
, [col] in - sheet column where output should be started
, [step] in - step \#
, [message] in - custom message
,
Public Function StatePrint(sheet As Worksheet, ByVal row As Long, _ ByVal col As Long, step As Long, message As String) As Long

Dim status () As String
Dim w As Variant
Dim $t$ As Variant
Dim c() As Double
Dim beta () As Double
Dim dpm() As Double
Dim slope() As Double

ReDim status (1 To m_size + 1) As String
ReDim w(1 To m_size +1 ) As Variant
$\operatorname{ReDim} \mathrm{c}(1$ To m_size +1$)$ As Double
ReDim beta ( 1 To m_size + 1) As Double
$\operatorname{ReDim} \operatorname{dpm}(1$ To m_size +1$)$ As Double
ReDim slope (1 To m_size + 1) As Double

Dim idxRow As Long
Dim isnegative As Boolean

For idxRow $=1$ To $\mathrm{m}_{-}$size +1
status (idxRow) = "Include"
If m_stateStatus(idxRow) = sesEliminate Then status(idxRow) $=$ "Eliminate"

End If
If m_stateStatus(idxRow) $=$ sesFinalInclude Then status(idxRow) $=$ "FinalInclude"

End If

If m_wIndexKnown(idxRow) Then $\mathrm{w}($ idxRow $)=\mathrm{m}$ _wIndex (idxRow)

End If
$\mathrm{c}($ idxRow $)=$ calculateC (idxRow)
beta(idxRow) $=$ calculateBeta(idxRow)

If idxRow $<=$ m_size Then calcGIntersection idxRow, dpm(idxRow) slope(idxRow) $=1 \#-\operatorname{beta}(i d x R o w)$

End If

Next idxRow

```
, print current step and name of the model
sheet.Cells(row, col).value = "Model name: " & m_cqrModel.name
row = row +1
sheet.Cells(row, col).value = "Algorithm: finding h(s) " &
    "with no quit action allowed"
row = row +1
sheet.Cells(row, col).value = "Status: " & message
row = row +1
sheet.Cells(row, col).value = "Iteration: " & (step)
row = row +1
OutputVector sheet, row, col, "State", m_stateName, m_size + 1
OutputVector sheet, row, col, "Status", status, m_size + 1
OutputVector sheet, row, col, "Continue", m_Cc, m_size + 1
```

OutputVector sheet, row, col, "Restart", m_Cr, m_size + 1
OutputVector sheet, row, col, "w", w, m_size +1
OutputVector sheet, row, col, "C(x)", c, m_size + 1

```
    OutputVector sheet, row, col, "beta(x)", beta, m_size + 1
    OutputVector sheet, row, col, "Slope", slope, m_size + 1
    OutputVector sheet, row, col, "d+", dpm, m_size + 1
    OutputMatrixColorCols sheet, row, col, "Transition Matrix (full)", _
    m_P, m_stateStatus, m_size + 1
    StatePrint = row + m_size + 1 + 2
End Function
    , calculate function C(x)
,
, Variables:
, [rowX] In - index of the state x
, Return value:
' value of C(x) for the case of no quit
,
Private Function calculateC(ByVal rowX As Long)
    Dim Result As Double
    Dim idxCol As Long
    Result = m_Cc(rowX) - m_Cr(rowX)
    , don't add eliminated columns
    For idxCol = 1 To m_size
        If m_stateStatus(idxCol)}<>\mathrm{ sesEliminate Then
            Result = Result + m_P(rowX, idxCol) * m_Cr(idxCol)
        End If
```

Next idxCol

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Result }=\text { Result }-m_{-} \operatorname{Cr}(\text { row } X) \\
& \text { calculate } C=\text { Result }
\end{aligned}
$$

End Function
, calculate function beta( $x$ )
,
, Variables:
, [rowX] In - index of the state $x$
,
, Return value:
, value of beta(x) for the case of no quit
,

Private Function calculateBeta (rowX As Long)
Dim Result As Double
Dim idxCol As Long

Result $=0$
For $\operatorname{idxCol}=1$ To $\mathrm{m}_{-}$size
If m_stateStatus (idxCol) $<>$ sesEliminate Then Result $=$ Result + m_P(rowX, idxCol)

End If
Next idxCol
calculateBeta $=$ Result
End Function
Private Sub calcGIntersection ( _
rowX As Long,
ByRef intersection As Double)

Dim c As Double
Dim beta As Double

Dim G As Double
$\mathrm{c}=$ calculate C (rowX)
beta $=$ calculateBeta (rowX)
intersection $=-1 E+300$
, beta shouldn't be 1.0 , check for it
If beta $<1 \#-0.000000000000001$ Then
intersection $=c /(1-$ beta $)$
End If

End Sub
Public Function solve (sheet As Worksheet, ByRef sheetRow As Long) As Boolean
solve $=$ True
PrepareForCalc

Dim idxRow As Long, idxCol As Long
m_hsFound $=$ False
, go over each interval Delta_i
Dim idxInterval As Long , current interval for onsideration
Dim foundSolution As Boolean ', true' if found solution on current interval
Dim k0 As Double , the smallest value k0 used so far,
, initially it is + INF
, intermediate calculation results
Dim isnegative As Boolean

```
Dim k As Double
, message to be printed
Dim statusMessage As String
Dim iteration As Long
iteration = 1
' prepare everything for calculation (reset model)
ResetCalcVariables
statusMessage = "Initial model"
sheetRow = StatePrint(sheet, sheetRow, 1, iteration, statusMessage)
k0 = 1E+300
Dim maxK As Double
Dim maxKIndex As Long
, loop until all elements are eliminated
Do
```

```
foundSolution = False
```

foundSolution = False
, reset max value/index
, reset max value/index
maxK}=-1\textrm{E}+30
maxK}=-1\textrm{E}+30
maxKIndex =-1
maxKIndex =-1
, loop through all states
, loop through all states
For idxRow = 1 To m_size
For idxRow = 1 To m_size
' need to process only included states
' need to process only included states
If m_stateStatus(idxRow) <> sesEliminate Then

```
    If m_stateStatus(idxRow) <> sesEliminate Then
```

```
foundSolution = True
, find intersection
calcGIntersection idxRow, k
, check if it is intersection with maximal k
If maxKIndex < 0 Or k > maxK Then
    maxKIndex = idxRow
    maxK}=\textrm{k
```

End If
End If
Next idxRow
, analyze if solution is found
If foundSolution Then
, found w index, eliminate state
m _stateStatus (maxKIndex) $=$ sesEliminate
$\mathrm{m} \_$wIndex $($maxKIndex $)=\operatorname{maxK}$
m_wIndexKnown(maxKIndex) = True
$\mathrm{k} 0=\operatorname{maxK}$
, check if we found $h(s)$
If $m$ _restartIdx $=$ maxKIndex Then
m _h_s_s $=\operatorname{maxK}$
$\mathrm{m}_{\text {_ }}$ hsFound $=$ True
End If
, eliminate state and print matrix
statusMessage $=$ "Eliminated state: " \& m_stateName(maxKIndex)
eliminateState m_P, m_Cc, maxKIndex
sheetRow $=$ StatePrint (sheet, sheetRow, 1 , iteration, statusMessage)
End If
iteration $=$ iteration +1
Loop While foundSolution $=$ True 'And m_hsFound $=$ False

```
If m_hsFound Then
    statusMessage \(=\) "Found \(h(s)=" \& m_{-} h s_{-} s \&_{-}\)
            ". End of algorithm, continue to SE algorithm."
        sheetRow \(=\) StatePrint (sheet, sheetRow, 1 , idxInterval, statusMessage)
    Dim solverSea As New SolverCqrSEA
    solverSea.setModel m_cqrModel
    solverSea.solve m_h_s_s, True, sheet, sheetRow
End If
```

End Function

## B. 7 SolverCqrSingleR.cls

Main solver for CQR problem.

Option Explicit
,
, Solver for the CQR model with single restart point.
, The main algorithm of this solver finds $h(s)$,
, the value at the restart state. The strategy at this point
, is the optimal strategy for CQR problem. Then it calls SE
, algorithm to verify optimality of found strategy.
,
, Requirements:
, CQR model should have substochastic matrix.
,
, Usage:
, Call setModel to pass CQR model
, Call solve after that
,
, Limitations:


End Sub
, Prepare for calculation

```
, Resizes all arrays, and initializes all calc variables
```

,
, Side effects:
, All member variables are reset
Public Sub PrepareForCalc (Optional ByVal reorderGamma As Boolean $=$ True)
$\mathrm{m}_{-}$size $=\mathrm{m}$ _cqrModel.size
ReDim m_stateNameSorted (1 To m_size + 1) As String
ReDim m_stateStatus (1 To m_size + 1) As stateElimStatus
ReDim m_P (1 To m_size +1 , 1 To m_size +1 ) As Double
ReDim m_Cc $(1$ To m_size + 1) As Double
ReDim m_Cq(1 To m_size + 1) As Double
ReDim m_Cr (1 To m_size + 1) As Double
ReDim m_gamma(1 To m_size + 1) As Double
ReDim m_gammaIdx (1 To m_size + 1) As Long
ReDim m_gammaInverseIdx (1 To m_size + 1) As Long
ReDim m_tIndex (1 To m_size +1 ) As Double
ReDim m_wIndex (1 To m_size +1 ) As Double
ReDim m_tIndexKnown (1 To m_size +1 ) As Boolean
ReDim m_wIndexKnown (1 To m_size + 1) As Boolean
Dim idxState As Long
For idxState $=1$ To $m \_$size
$m_{\text {_ }}$ stateStatus (idxState) $=$ sesInclude
If m_cqrModel.restartAllowed () (idxState) Then
$m \_r e s t a r t I d x=$ idxState
End If
Next idxState
$m_{n}$ stateNameSorted $\left(\mathrm{m}_{\text {_size }}+1\right)=" * "$
calcGamma (reorderGamma)
ResetCalcVariables

End Sub
Private Sub calcGamma(Optional reorderGamma As Boolean $=$ True)

Dim idxRow As Long

For idxRow $=1$ To $m_{-}$size
m_gamma(idxRow) $=\mathrm{m}$ _cqrModel. quitCost () (idxRow) -
m_cqrModel.restartCost() (idxRow, m_restartIdx)
m_gammaIdx (idxRow) = idxRow
Next idxRow
$\mathrm{m} \_$gammaIdx $\left(\mathrm{m}_{\text {_ }} \operatorname{size}+1\right)=\mathrm{m} \_\operatorname{size}+1$
, sort gammas
If reorderGamma Then
Dim i As Long, j As Long
Dim tmpDbl As Double, tmpLong As Long
For $\mathrm{i}=1$ To $\mathrm{m}_{-}$size
For $j=1$ To $m_{-}$size -1
If m_gamma( $\mathrm{j}+1)>\mathrm{m} \_\operatorname{gamma}(\mathrm{j})$ Then
, swap both gamma and gammaIdx
$\operatorname{tmpDbl}=\mathrm{m} \_\operatorname{gamma}(\mathrm{j}+1)$
m_gamma( $\mathrm{j}+1)=\mathrm{m} \_$gamma $(\mathrm{j})$
m _gamma( j$)=\mathrm{tmpDbl}$
tmpLong $=\mathrm{m} \_$gammaIdx $(\mathrm{j}+1)$
$\mathrm{m} \_\operatorname{gammaIdx}(\mathrm{j}+1)=\mathrm{m} \_\operatorname{gammaIdx}(\mathrm{j})$
m_gammaIdx $(\mathrm{j})=$ tmpLong
End If
Next j
Next i
End If
, create backward map
For idxRow $=1$ To $\mathrm{m}_{-}$size +1
m_gammaInverseIdx (m_gammaIdx (idxRow $)$ ) = idxRow
If idxRow $<=$ m_size Then
$\mathrm{m}_{-}$stateNameSorted (idxRow $)=-$
m_cqrModel.stateNames ()$($m_gammaIdx(idxRow $))$

End If
Next idxRow
End Sub
, Initializes all calc variables using CQR model as input
,
, Side effects:
, All member variables are reset
,

Private Sub ResetCalcVariables ()
Dim idxRow As Long
Dim idxCol As Long

For idxRow $=1$ To m_size
For $\operatorname{idxCol}=1$ To $\mathrm{m}_{-}$size
m_P(idxRow, $\quad$ idxCol $)=$ _
m_cqrModel.transitionMatrix () (m_gammaIdx (idxRow) ,
m_gammaIdx $(\mathrm{idxCol})){ }^{*}$ _ (1\# - m_cqrModel.terminationProb()(m_gammaIdx(idxRow)))
$\mathrm{m}_{-} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{m} \_\right.$size $\left.+1, \mathrm{idxCol}\right)=0 \#$
Next idxCol
$\mathrm{m}_{-} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{idxRow}, \quad \mathrm{m} \_\right.$size +1$)=$ _
m_cqrModel.terminationProb() (m_gammaIdx (idxRow))
$m_{\text {_ }}$ Cc(idxRow $)=m$ _cqrModel. $\operatorname{contCost()(m\_ gammaIdx(idxRow))~}$
m_Cq(idxRow) = m_cqrModel. quitCost () (m_gammaIdx (idxRow) )
m_Cr(idxRow $)=$
m_cqrModel.restartCost() (m_gammaIdx(idxRow), m_restartIdx)
Next idxRow
$\mathrm{m}_{-} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{m} \_\right.$size $+1, \mathrm{~m}_{-}$size +1$)=1 \#$
$\mathrm{m}_{-} \mathrm{Cc}\left(\mathrm{m} \_\right.$size +1$)=0 \#$
$m_{-} C q\left(m_{-}\right.$size +1$)=0 \#$
$\mathrm{m}_{-} \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{m}$ _size +1$)=0 \#$

End Sub
, Calculate value of $g(x \mid k)=\max (q(x), r(x)+k)$
Private Function calcGreward(state As Long, k As Double)
If m_Cq(state) $>\mathrm{m}_{-} \mathrm{Cr}($ state $)+\mathrm{k}$ Then
calcGreward $=\mathrm{m}_{-} \mathrm{Cq}($ state $)$
Else
calcGreward $=\mathrm{m}_{-} \mathrm{Cr}($ state $)+\mathrm{k}$
End If
End Function
, Calculate value of $G$-function for given state and value of parameter k
Private Function calcGValue (state As Long, k As Double) As Double
Dim Result As Double
Dim idx As Long
, initial value
Result $=$ calcGreward $($ state,$k)-m \_C c(s t a t e)$

For $\operatorname{idx}=1$ To $m_{-}$size
, transition probability to eliminated state is 0
If m_stateStatus (idx) $>$ sesEliminate Then

$$
\text { Result }=\text { Result }-m_{-} \mathrm{P}(\text { state }, \operatorname{idx}) * \text { calcGreward }(\mathrm{idx}, \mathrm{k})
$$

End If
Next idx
calcGValue $=$ Result
End Function
, Calculate value of G-function at all points of Gamma(i) and 2 more on sides
, The first row of the gTable array contains $g(x \mid k)$ arguments
, Resizes two input arrays
,

Private Sub CalcGTable(args() As Double, gTable() As Double)
, resize args to have $n+2$ values
ReDim args (1 To m_size + 2) As Double
, resize G function values table
ReDim gTable (1 To m_size +1 , 1 To m_size +2 ) As Double

Dim idxRow As Long
Dim idxCol As Long
, initialize arguments
For $\mathrm{idxCol}=2$ To $\mathrm{m} \_$size +1
$\operatorname{args}(\mathrm{idxCol})=\mathrm{m} \_$gamma $\left(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{C}}\right.$ size $\left.+2-\mathrm{idxCol}\right)$
Next idxCol

Dim argSpan As Double , difference between smallest gamma and largest gamma
$\operatorname{argSpan}=\operatorname{Abs}\left(\operatorname{args}\left(\mathrm{m}_{-} \operatorname{size}+1\right)-\operatorname{args}(1)\right)$
If $\operatorname{argSpan}<1$ Then $\operatorname{argSpan}=1$
$\operatorname{args}\left(\mathrm{m}_{-} \operatorname{size}+2\right)=\operatorname{args}\left(\mathrm{m}_{-} \operatorname{size}+1\right)+\operatorname{argSpan} * 2$
$\operatorname{args}(1)=\operatorname{args}(2)-\operatorname{argSpan} * 2$
, copy arguments to the first row of gTable
For $\operatorname{idxCol}=1$ To $\mathrm{m}_{-}$size +2
$\operatorname{gTable}(1, \quad \mathrm{idxCol})=\operatorname{args}(\mathrm{idxCol})$
Next idxCol
, calculate value of function $G$ for each state
For idxRow $=1$ To m_size
For $\operatorname{idxCol}=1$ To $m_{-}$size +2 gTable (idxRow $+1, \operatorname{idxCol})=$ calcGValue (idxRow, $\operatorname{args}(i d x C o l))$

Next idxCol
Next idxRow

End Sub
, Return: number of rows used
Public Function StatePrint(sheet As Worksheet, ByVal row As Long, _
ByVal col As Long, step As Long, gammaMax As Double,
gammaMin As Double, message As String) As Long
Exit Function
Dim status () As String
Dim chartTitle() As String
Dim w As Variant
Dim $t$ As Variant
Dim c() As Double
Dim beta () As Double
Dim dpm() As Double
Dim $\operatorname{sign}()$ As String
Dim slope () As Double

ReDim status (1 To m_size +1 ) As String
ReDim chartTitle (1 To m_size + 1) As String
ReDim w(1 To m_size + 1) As Variant
$\operatorname{ReDim} \mathrm{t}(1$ To m_size +1$)$ As Variant
ReDim c(1 To m_size +1$)$ As Double
ReDim beta ( 1 To m_size + 1) As Double
ReDim $\operatorname{dpm}(1$ To m_size +1 ) As Double
ReDim $\operatorname{sig} n(1$ To m_size +1$)$ As String
ReDim slope (1 To m_size +1 ) As Double

Dim gTableArgs () As Double ' G Table
Dim gTableVals () As Double 'G table arguments

Dim idxRow As Long

```
Dim isnegative As Boolean
CalcGTable gTableArgs, gTableVals
' create chart title
chartTitle(1) = "k"
For idxRow = 1 To m_size
        chartTitle(idxRow + 1) = m_stateNameSorted(idxRow)
Next idxRow
For idxRow = 1 To m_size + 1
    status(idxRow) = "Include"
    If m_stateStatus(idxRow) = sesEliminate Then
        status(idxRow) = "Eliminate"
    End If
    If m_stateStatus(idxRow) = sesFinalInclude Then
        status(idxRow) = "FinalInclude"
    End If
    If m_wIndexKnown(idxRow) Then
        w(idxRow) = m_wIndex(idxRow)
    End If
    If m_tIndexKnown(idxRow) Then
        t(idxRow) = m_tIndex(idxRow)
    End If
    c(idxRow) = calculateC(idxRow, step)
    beta(idxRow) = calculateBeta(idxRow, step)
    If idxRow <= m_size Then
```

```
        calcGSignAndIntersection idxRow, step, gammaMax,
    gammaMin, isnegative, dpm(idxRow)
        sign(idxRow) = " +"
        If isnegative Then
        sign(idxRow) = " -''
        End If
        If idxRow < step Then
        slope(idxRow) = - beta(idxRow)
        Else
        slope(idxRow) = 1# - beta(idxRow)
        End If
    End If
Next idxRow
, print current step and name of the model
sheet.Cells(row, col).value = "Model name: " & m_cqrModel.name
row = row +1
sheet.Cells(row, col).value = "Algorithm: finding h(s)"
row = row +1
sheet.Cells(row, col).value = "Status: " & message
row = row +1
sheet.Cells(row, col).value = "Interval delta index: " & (step)
row = row +1
sheet.Cells(row, col).value = _
    "Interval delta values = [ " & gammaMin & ", " & gammaMax & " ]"
row = row +1
```

```
OutputVector sheet, row, col, "State", m_stateNameSorted, m_size +1
OutputVector sheet, row, col, "Status", status, m_size +1
OutputVector sheet, row, col, "Continue", m_Cc, m_size + 1
OutputVector sheet, row, col, "Quit", m_Cq, m_size + 1
OutputVector sheet, row, col, "Restart", m_Cr, m_size + 1
OutputVector sheet, row, col, "gamma", m_gamma, m_size + 1
OutputVector sheet, row, col, "w", w, m_size +1
OutputVector sheet, row, col, "t", t, m_size +1
OutputVector sheet, row, col, "C(x|i)", c, m_size +1
OutputVector sheet, row, col, "beta(x|i)", beta, m_size +1
OutputVector sheet, row, col, "Sign at the beginning of the interval", sign, m_s
OutputVector sheet, row, col, "Slope", slope, m_size + 1
OutputVector sheet, row, col, "d+-", dpm, m_size +1
OutputMatrixColorCols sheet, row, col, "Transition Matrix (full)", m_P, m_stateS
OutputVector sheet, row, col, "Chart Title", chartTitle, m_size +1
OutputMatrix sheet, row, col, "Value of \(\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{x} \mid \mathrm{k})\) ", gTableVals, m_size +1 , m_size -
StatePrint \(=\) row \(+\mathrm{m}_{-}\)size \(+1+2\)
```

End Function
' calculate function $C(x, i)$ in the terms of rearranged
, variables (all calc variables are rearranged)
Private Function calculateC (ByVal rowX As Long, ByVal rowI As Long)
Dim Result As Double
Dim idxCol As Long

If rowI $=0$ Then

$$
\text { row } I=1
$$

End If

$$
\text { Result }=\mathrm{m}_{-} \mathrm{Cc}(\text { row } \mathrm{X})
$$

$$
\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{x} \mid \mathrm{i})
$$

For $\mathrm{idxCol}=1$ To rowI -1
If m_stateStatus $(i d x C o l)<$ sesEliminate Then Result $=$ Result $+\mathrm{m}_{-} \mathrm{P}($ row $X, \operatorname{idxCol}) * \mathrm{~m}_{-} \mathrm{Cq}(\mathrm{idxCol})$

End If
Next idxCol
, $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{x} \mid \mathrm{i})$
For $\mathrm{idxCol}=$ rowI To $\mathrm{m} \_$size If m_stateStatus (idxCol) $<>$ sesEliminate Then Result $=$ Result $+\mathrm{m}_{-} \mathrm{P}($ rowX, idxCol$) * \mathrm{~m}_{-} \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{idxCol})$

End If
Next idxCol

Result $=$ Result $-m_{-} \operatorname{Cr}($ rowX $)$
calculateC $=$ Result
End Function
, calculate function beta( $x \mid i)$
Private Function calculateBeta (rowX As Long, rowI As Long)
Dim Result As Double
Dim idxCol As Long

If rowI $=0$ Then
row $I=1$
End If

Result $=0$
For $\mathrm{idxCol}=$ rowI To $\mathrm{m} \_$size
If m_stateStatus (idxCol) $<$ sesEliminate Then

$$
\text { Result }=\text { Result }+\mathrm{m}_{-} \mathrm{P}(\text { row } \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{idxCol})
$$

End If
Next idxCol

$$
\text { calculateBeta }=\text { Result }
$$

End Function
Private Sub calcGSignAndIntersection (
rowX As Long,
rowI As Long,
gammaMax As Double, _
gammaMin As Double, _
ByRef isnegative As Boolean,
ByRef intersection As Double)

Dim c As Double
Dim beta As Double
Dim G As Double
$\mathrm{c}=$ calculate $\mathrm{C}($ row X, rowI $)$
beta $=$ calculateBeta (rowX, rowI)
intersection $=-1 E+300$

If rowX $<$ rowI Then
, use formula 50 if gamma $(x)>$ gamma_i, or, alternatively rowX $<$ rowI
, 1. Get G at point gammaMin
$\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{m} \_$gamma $($row X$)-\mathrm{c}-$ beta $*$ gammaMin
If beta $>0$ Then
intersection $=\left(m \_\right.$gamma $($rowX $\left.)-c\right) /$ beta
Else
intersection $=-1 \mathrm{E}+300$
End If
Else
, use formula 51
, 1. Get $G$ at point gammaMin
$\mathrm{G}=-\mathrm{c}+$ gammaMin $*(1 \#-$ beta $)$
intersection $=\mathrm{c} /(1 \#-$ beta $)$
End If
If $G<0 \#$ Then
isnegative $=$ True
Else
isnegative $=$ False
End If
End Sub
Public Function solve (sheet As Worksheet, ByRef sheetRow As Long) As Boolean
solve $=$ True
PrepareForCalc
Dim gammaMin() As Double
Dim gammaMax() As Double

ReDim gammaMin(1 To m_size +1 ) As Double
ReDim gammaMax (1 To m_size +1 ) As Double

Dim idxRow As Long, idxCol As Long
m_hsFound $=$ False

For idxRow $=1$ To $m_{-}$size
' don't forget, that m_gamma is decreasing when index is increasing
gammaMax $(\mathrm{idxRow}+1)=\mathrm{m}$ _gamma(idxRow $)$
gammaMin(idxRow) = m_gamma(idxRow)
Next idxRow
$\operatorname{gammaMax}(1)=1 \mathrm{E}+300$
$\operatorname{gammaMin}\left(\mathrm{m}_{-} \operatorname{size}+1\right)=-1 \mathrm{E}+300$
' go over each interval Delta_i
Dim idxInterval As Long , current interval for onsideration

```
Dim foundSolution As Boolean ','true' if found solution on
    current interval
Dim k0 As Double , the smallest value k0
    , used so far, initially it is +INF
    , d+ and d-, i.e. intersection when going
    , from + to - and when going from - to +
Dim maxDPlus As Double
Dim maxDMinus As Double
Dim maxDPlusIndex As Long
Dim maxDMinusIndex As Long
, intermediate calculation results
Dim isnegative As Boolean
Dim k As Double
, message to be printed
Dim statusMessage As String
    , prepare everything for calculation (reset model)
ResetCalcVariables
Dim iteration As Long
iteration = 1
For idxInterval = 1 To m_size + 1
    statusMessage = "Entering inverval: " & idxInterval
    sheetRow = StatePrint(sheet, sheetRow, 1, idxInterval,
        gammaMax(idxInterval), gammaMin(idxInterval), statusMessage)
    k0 = gammaMax(idxInterval)
    Do
```

```
foundSolution = False
maxDPlusIndex = -1
maxDMinusIndex = -1
```

For idxRow $=1$ To m_size
If m_stateStatus(idxRow) $>$ sesFinalInclude Then
calcGSignAndIntersection idxRow, idxInterval,
gammaMax (idxInterval), gammaMin(idxInterval), _
isnegative, k
End If
, handle included states
If m_stateStatus(idxRow) = sesInclude Then
, there is an intersection on this interval
If isnegative Then
If $\mathrm{k}>=$ gammaMin(idxInterval) And
$\mathrm{k}<=$ gammaMax(idxInterval) Then
If maxDPlusIndex $=-1$ Or $\mathrm{k}>\operatorname{maxDPl}$ us Then
$\operatorname{maxDPlus}=\mathrm{k}$
maxDPlusIndex $=$ idxRow
foundSolution $=$ True
End If
Else
Debug. Print "Something is wrong!"
End If
End If
End If
, handle eliminated states
If $m$ _stateStatus(idxRow) $=$ sesEliminate Then
, there is an intersection on this interval
If isnegative $=$ False Then
If $\mathrm{k}>=$ gammaMin(idxInterval) And
$\mathrm{k}<=$ gammaMax(idxInterval) Then
If maxDMinusIndex $=-1$ Or $\mathrm{k}>\operatorname{maxDMinus}$ Then $\operatorname{maxDMinus}=\mathrm{k}$ maxDMinusIndex $=$ idxRow foundSolution $=$ True

End If
Else
Debug. Print "Something is wrong!"
End If
End If
End If
Next idxRow
' analyze if solution is found
If foundSolution Then
, check which one is bigger
If maxDPlusIndex $>0$ And
( maxDPlus $>=$ maxDMinus Or maxDMinusIndex $<=0$ ) Then
, found w index, eliminate state
$m_{\text {_ }}$ stateStatus (maxDPlusIndex) $=$ sesEliminate
m_wIndex (maxDPlusIndex $)=$ maxDPlus
$m_{\text {_ }}$ wIndexKnown (maxDPlusIndex) $=$ True
$\mathrm{k} 0=\operatorname{maxDPlus}$
, check if we found h(s)
If m_restartIdx $=m$ _gammaIdx $(\operatorname{maxDPlusIndex})$ Then $\mathrm{m}_{-} \mathrm{h}$ _s_s $=$ maxDPlus $\mathrm{m}_{-}$hsFound $=$True

End If
, eliminate state and print matrix
statusMessage $=$ "Eliminated state: " \& m_stateNameSorted (maxDPlusIndex)
eliminateState m_P, m_Cc, maxDPlusIndex
sheetRow $=$ StatePrint (sheet, sheetRow, 1 ,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { idxInterval, gammaMax(idxInterval), } \\
& \text { gammaMin(idxInterval), statusMessage) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## End If

If maxDMinusIndex $>0$ And
( maxDMinus $>$ maxDPlus Or maxDPlusIndex $<=0$ ) Then
, found t index
$m_{\text {_ }}$ stateStatus (maxDMinusIndex) $=$ sesFinalInclude
$m_{-}$tIndex (maxDMinusIndex) $=$maxDMinus
$m_{-}$IIndexKnown (maxDMinusIndex) $=$True
$\mathrm{k} 0=\operatorname{maxDPl}$ us
, insert state and print matrix
statusMessage $=$ "Inserted back state: " \&
m_stateNameSorted (maxDMinusIndex) insertState m_P, m_Cc, maxDMinusIndex sheetRow $=$ StatePrint (sheet, sheetRow, $1, ~-$ idxInterval, gammaMax(idxInterval), gammaMin(idxInterval), statusMessage)

End If
End If
iteration $=$ iteration +1
Loop While foundSolution $=$ True 'And $\mathrm{m}_{\text {_ }}$ hsFound $=$ False

```
, special handling for case when idxInterval is equal to the state
, and state G-function is positive
If idxInterval \(<=m_{\text {_ }}\) size Then 'And \(m_{\text {_ }}\) hsFound \(=\) False Then
    If m_stateStatus(idxInterval) \(=\) sesInclude Then
        \(m \_s t a t e S t a t u s(i d x I n t e r v a l)=\) sesFinalInclude
        \(m_{n}\) tIndex (idxInterval) \(=\) m_gamma(idxInterval)
        m_wIndex (idxInterval) = m_gamma(idxInterval)
        \(m_{\text {_ }}\) IndexKnown(idxInterval) \(=\) True
        m_wIndexKnown(idxInterval) \(=\) True
            If m_restartIdx \(=m \_\)gammaIdx (idxInterval) Then
```

                    m_h_s_s \(=\) m_gamma(idxInterval)
    m _hsFound $=$ True

## End If

```
statusMessage = "Found h(x) and t(x) for state " &
    "with always positive G(x|k,i). State: " &
    m_stateNameSorted(idxInterval)
sheetRow = StatePrint(sheet, sheetRow, 1,
    idxInterval, gammaMax(idxInterval),
    gammaMin(idxInterval), statusMessage)
```

End If
End If
If m_hsFound Then
'Exit For
End If
Next idxInterval

If m_hsFound Then

$$
\text { statusMessage }=\text { "Found } \mathrm{h}(\mathrm{~s})=" \& \mathrm{~m}_{-} \mathrm{h} \_ \text {s_s } \&-
$$

". End of algorithm, continue to SE algorithm."
sheetRow $=$ StatePrint (sheet, sheetRow, 1, idxInterval, _
$\operatorname{gammaMax}\left(\mathrm{m}_{-}\right.$size +1$), \operatorname{gammaMin}\left(\mathrm{m}_{-}\right.$size +1$)$, statusMessage $)$
, call state elimination to verify that it
, finds the same optimal strategy
Dim solverSea As New SolverCqrSEA
solverSea.setModel m_cqrModel
solverSea.solve m_h_s_s, True, sheet, sheetRow
End If

End Function

